



**Educational Discussion: Cystatin C Harmonization**

**2017-A Cystatin C Survey (CYS)**

In the 2017 CYS-A mailing, the lower concentration sample (CYS-01) was prepared from off-the-clot fresh frozen pooled serum. It was prepared essentially identically to the 2014 CYS-A mailing wildcard samples. Our main reason for changing from processed human plasma is a concern that processed human plasma might not represent performance on actual clinical samples because of non-commutability problems (1). The higher cystatin C concentration sample (CYS-02) in the 2017 CYS-A mailing was typical processed human plasma, so absolute accuracy assessment might be impacted by non-commutability issues.

Focusing on the CYS-01 off-the-clot fresh frozen pooled serum sample results, it appears that there has been some improvement in calibration traceability leading to better harmonization of the measured concentration of cystatin C across assay platforms compared to the 2014 CYS-A wildcard studies (2). A table showing the results and the percent bias of the method-specific means and method-specific median results is shown below.

2017 CYS-A CYS-01 Results					
	N	Method-specific mean	% Bias from all-method mean	Method-specific median	% Bias from all-method median
Binding Site SPAPlus	5			0.72	104.5%
Diazyme Laboratories	14	0.691	105.5%	0.70	91.0%
Gentian	21	0.610	93.1%	0.61	91.0%
Roche cobas c series	28	0.715	109.2%	0.71	106.0%
Roche Modular	8			0.72	107.5%
Siemens ADVIA Chemistry Systems	5			0.66	98.5%
Siemens Nephelometer Systems	47	0.592	90.4%	0.58	86.6%
Siemens Dimension Vista	5			0.58	86.6%
All Instruments*	166	0.655		0.67	

\* All instrument = "all method" mean or median

CAP does not report method-specific means when less than 10 laboratories use a specific method. However, comparing the method specific medians gives some sense of harmonization of the various methods reported results. Note that we did not attempt to assign a ERM DA-471/IFCC traceable target value for 2017 CYS-01 sample as we had in the 2014 CYS-A wildcard samples, so little definitively can be said about the trueness/accuracy of any of the specific instrument platform results.

Generally, it appears that the method specific means and method-specific medians are closer to each other than in the 2014 CYS-A wildcard exercise. With the exception of the Siemens



## COLLEGE of AMERICAN PATHOLOGISTS

---

Nephelometer Systems and Siemens Dimension Vista, the method specific medians are all within  $\pm 9\%$  of the all method median and within 16% of each other. The 2014 CYS-A wildcard results showed method-specific medians that varied from -20% to +17% of the target values established with the international certified reference material ERM DA-471/IFCC. Interpretation of Siemens' results is complicated by the fact that Siemens offers two calibration traceabilities in different parts of the world. In most non-US countries, they provide ERM DA-471/IFCC traceable calibration. Because slightly more than half of non-US laboratories enrolled in the 2017 CYS-A CAP Survey used the Siemens' nephelometric platform, the reported results reflect a mixture of two distinct calibration schemes.

Because the 2017 CYS-01 sample is at a low "normal" concentration of cystatin C, we should be cautious about extrapolating these observations to higher concentrations.

John H. Eckfeldt, MD, PhD, FCAP

W. Greg Miller, PhD

Chemistry Resource Committee and Accuracy Based Testing Committee

#### References:

1. Miller WG, Myers GL. Commutability Still Matters. Clin Chem 2013; 59:1291-1293.
2. Eckfeldt JH, Karger AB, Miller WG, Rynders GP, Inker LA. Performance in measurement of serum cystatin C by laboratories participating in the College of American Pathologists 2014 CYS survey. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2015;139:888-893.