### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

AMERICAN CLINICAL LABORATORY ASSOCIATION, et al.,	) )
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) Case No.: 4:24-cv-00479-SDJ
UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, et al.,	) ) )
Defendants.	)
ASSOCIATION FOR MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) Case No.: 4:24-cv-00824-SDJ
UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, et al.,	) ) )
Defendants.	)

# PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON THE PARTIES' CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiffs American Clinical Laboratory Association, HealthTrackRx Indiana, Inc., and HealthTrackRx, Inc., and Plaintiffs Association for Molecular Pathology and Dr. Michael Laposata, M.D., Ph.D. (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Defendants the United States Food and Drug Administration, *et al.* ("FDA") have filed cross-motions for summary judgment that are now fully briefed. *See* ECF Nos. 20, 27, 54, 66, 67, 68. Pursuant to Local Rule CV-7(g), Plaintiffs hereby request an oral hearing on the cross-motions for summary judgment.

Plaintiffs respectfully submit that oral argument may be helpful to the Court because this case is being decided on dispositive cross-motions and involves important legal issues arising from a major

new FDA rule with far-reaching implications for the nation's clinical laboratory sector and the many thousands of health care practitioners the new rule would regulate. Defendants have stated that they intend to begin enforcing requirements under the new rule beginning May 6, 2025.

Plaintiffs' counsel conferred with FDA's counsel before filing this submission. FDA takes no position on this request for oral argument.

Dated: January 17, 2025

#### /s/ Michael D. Shumsky

Michael D. Shumsky (admitted pro hac vice)

D.C. Bar. No. 495078

Jeffrey N. Gibbs (admitted pro hac vice)

D.C. Bar. No. 385294

Allyson B. Mullen (admitted pro hac vice)

D.C. Bar No. 1018481

Sara W. Koblitz (admitted pro hac vice)

D.C. Bar No. 1017284

Steven J. Gonzalez (admitted pro hac vice)

D.C. Bar No. 1600850

Sarah L. Wicks (admitted pro hac vice)

D.C. Bar No. 1719206

HYMAN, PHELPS & MCNAMARA, P.C.

700 Thirteenth Street NW, Suite 1200

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 737-5600

mshumsky@hpm.com

Fred D. Raschke

Texas Bar No. 16551450

MILLS SHIRLEY L.L.P.

2200 Market Street, Suite 300

Galveston, TX 77550

409.763.2341

fraschke@millsshirley.com

Counsel for Association for Molecular Pathology and Dr. Michael Laposata, M.D., Ph.D.

#### /s/ Ashley C. Parrish

Ashley C. Parrish (admitted pro hac vice)

D.C. Bar No. 464683

Paul Alessio Mezzina (admitted pro hac vice)

D.C. Bar No. 999325

Alexander Kazam (admitted pro hac vice)

D.C. Bar No. 1708188

KING & SPALDING LLP

1700 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Suite 900

Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (202) 737-0500

aparrish@kslaw.com

pmezzina@kslaw.com

akazam@kslaw.com

Edward F. Fernandes

Texas Bar No. 06932700

Christopher H. Taylor

Texas Bar No. 24013606

KING & SPALDING LLP

500 W. 2nd Street, Suite 1800

Austin, TX 78701

Tel: (512) 457-2000

Fax: (512) 457-2100

efernandes@kslaw.com

ctaylor@kslaw.com

Scott D. Danzis (admitted pro hac vice)

D.C. Bar No. 481426

COVINGTON & BURLING

One CityCenter

850 Tenth Street, NW

Washington, DC 20001-4956

Tel: (202) 662-6000

sdanzis@cov.com

Counsel for American Clinical Laboratory Association, HealthTrackRx Indiana, Inc., and HealthTrackRx, Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 17, 2025, a true and correct copy of this document was served electronically by the Court's CM/ECF system on all counsel of record.

/s/ Ashley C. Parrish
Ashley C. Parrish

Counsel for ACLA Plaintiffs

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

AMERICAN CLINICAL LABORATORY ASSOCIATION, et al.,	) ) )
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) Case No.: 4:24-cv-00479-SDJ
UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, et al.,	) ) )
Defendants.	) )
ASSOCIATION FOR MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) Case No.: 4:24-cv-00824-SDJ
UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, et al.,	) ) )
Defendants.	) )

# ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND SETTING HEARING

Having considered Plaintiffs' Unopposed Requ	uest for Oral Argument on the Parties' Cross-
Motions for Summary Judgment, the Court is of the op	inion that the request should be <b>GRANTED</b> .
The Court will hold an in-person hearing to cor	nsider the pending cross-motions for summary
judgment. The hearing is set for	, 2025, at