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The changes included in this current protocol version affect accreditation requirements. The new deadline for implementing this protocol version is reflected in the above accreditation date.

For accreditation purposes, this protocol should be used for the following procedures AND tumor types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resection</td>
<td>Includes specimens designated marginal and wide resections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germ cell tumors</td>
<td>Includes pediatric and adult patients with germ cell tumors located in the mediastinum, sacrococcygeal area, retroperitoneum, and neck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following should NOT be reported using this protocol:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy (consider Extragonadal Germ Cell Biopsy protocol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testicular germ cell tumors (consider the Testis protocol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian germ cell tumors (consider the Ovary, Fallopian Tube, Peritoneum protocol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS (intracranial only) germ cell tumors (consider the CNS protocol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Accreditation Requirements

This protocol can be utilized for a variety of procedures and tumor types for clinical care purposes. For accreditation purposes, only the definitive primary cancer resection specimen is required to have the core and conditional data elements reported in a synoptic format.

- **Core data elements** are required in reports to adequately describe appropriate malignancies. For accreditation purposes, essential data elements must be reported in all instances, even if the response is “not applicable” or “cannot be determined.”
- **Conditional data elements** are only required to be reported if applicable as delineated in the protocol. For instance, the total number of lymph nodes examined must be reported, but only if nodes are present in the specimen.
- **Optional data elements** are identified with “+” and although not required for CAP accreditation purposes, may be considered for reporting as determined by local practice standards.

The use of this protocol is not required for recurrent tumors or for metastatic tumors that are resected at a different time than the primary tumor. Use of this protocol is also not required for pathology reviews performed at a second institution (i.e., secondary consultation, second opinion, or review of outside case at second institution).

Synoptic Reporting

All core and conditionally required data elements outlined on the surgical case summary from this cancer protocol must be displayed in synoptic report format. Synoptic format is defined as:

- Data element: followed by its answer (response), outline format without the paired Data element: Response format is NOT considered synoptic.
- The data element should be represented in the report as it is listed in the case summary. The response for any data element may be modified from those listed in the case summary, including “Cannot be determined” if appropriate.
- Each diagnostic parameter pair (Data element: Response) is listed on a separate line or in a tabular format to achieve visual separation. The following exceptions are allowed to be listed on one line:
  - Anatomic site or specimen, laterality, and procedure
  - Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM) elements
  - Negative margins, as long as all negative margins are specifically enumerated where applicable
- The synoptic portion of the report can appear in the diagnosis section of the pathology report, at the end of the report or in a separate section, but all Data element: Responses must be listed together in one location.

Organizations and pathologists may choose to list the required elements in any order, use additional methods in order to enhance or achieve visual separation, or add optional items within the synoptic report. The report may have required elements in a summary format elsewhere in the report IN ADDITION TO but not as replacement for the synoptic report ie, all required elements must be in the synoptic portion of the report in the format defined above.
Summary of Changes
v 5.0.0.0

- WHO 5th Edition update to content and Explanatory Notes
- Protocol updated for accreditation requirement
CASE SUMMARY: (EXTRAGONAL GERM CELL TUMOR: Resection)

EXPERT CONSULTATION

+Expert Consultation (Note A)
  ___ Pending - Completion of this CAP Cancer Protocol is awaiting expert consultation
  ___ Completed - This CAP Cancer Protocol or some elements have been performed following expert consultation
  ___ Not applicable (expert consultation not required)

CLINICAL

+Treatment History
  ___ No known preoperative therapy
  ___ Preoperative therapy given
  ___ Not specified

Patient Age (Note B)
  ___ Congenital / neonatal (birth - 6 months)
  ___ Childhood / pre-pubertal (7 months - 10 years)
  ___ Post-pubertal / adult (greater than or equal to 11 years)

SPECIMEN

Procedure
  ___ Debulking
  ___ Gross total resection
  ___ Other (specify): _____________________
  ___ Not specified

TUMOR

Tumor Site (Note C)
  ___ Head and neck region (including thyroid; excluding intracranial): _____________________
  ___ Mediastinum (pericardium, heart, thymus, and lung): _____________________
  ___ Retroperitoneum / abdomen (intraperitoneal): _____________________
  ___ Sacrococcygeal: _____________________
  ___ Vaginal: _____________________
  ___ Other (specify): _____________________
  ___ Not specified

Histologic Type (Note D)
  Teratoma
    ___ Mature teratoma
    ___ Immature teratoma
      Percentage of Teratoma Composed of Immature Elements
___ Specify percentage: _________________ %
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined
___ Mature or immature teratoma with associated somatic-type malignancy (specify type, e.g., epithelial malignancy, sarcoma): _________________

**Malignant Germ Cell**
___ Yolk sac tumor
___ Dysgerminoma / Seminoma
___ Embryonal carcinoma
___ Choriocarcinoma
___ Mixed germ cell tumor (any combination of the above, with or without teratoma) (specify components): _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

+Histologic Type Comment: _________________

**Histologic Grade** (applicable to immature teratomas only) (Note E)
___ Not applicable
___ 1 (low grade)
___ 2 (high grade)
___ 3 (high grade)
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

**Tumor Size** (Note F)
___ Greatest dimension in Centimeters (cm): _________________ cm

+Additional Dimension in Centimeters (cm): ____ x ____ cm
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

**Microscopic Coccyx Involvement** (applicable to sacrococcygeal resections only)
___ Not applicable
___ Not identified
___ Present
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

+Tumor Extent (applicable to adult [post-pubertal] extragonadal germ cell tumors)
___ Well-circumscribed without focal adhesions
___ Well-circumscribed with focal adhesions
   ___ Mediastinal (adhesions to pleura or pericardium)
   ___ Retroperitoneal / intraperitoneal (adhesions to peritoneum, viscera and / or vessels)
   ___ Sacrococcygeal (adhesions to muscle, rectum)
___ Confined to the mediastinum with macroscopic and / or microscopic invasion into adjacent structures (i.e., pleura, pericardium, and great vessels) (specify): _________________
___ Retroperitoneal / intraperitoneal extension (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________
___ Not applicable

+Lymphatic and / or Vascular Invasion
___ Not identified
___ Present
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________

**+Perineural Invasion**

___ Not identified
___ Present
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________

**+Treatment Effect (Note G)**

*To include necrosis, fibrosis, and other treatment effects*

___ No known presurgical therapy
___ Not identified
___ Present

**Percentage of Residual Viable Tumor**

___ Specify percentage: _________________ %
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________

**+Percentage of Necrosis**

___ Specify percentage: _________________ %
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________

**+Percentage of Stroma (includes fibrosis and inflammation)**

___ Specify percentage: _________________ %
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________

**+Tumor Comment:** _________________

**MARGINS (Note H)**

**Margin Status**

___ All margins negative for tumor

**+Closest Margin(s) to Tumor**

___ Specify closest margin(s): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________

**+Distance from Tumor to Closest Margin**

*Specify in Centimeters (cm)*

___ Exact distance: _________________ cm
___ Greater than: _________________ cm
___ At least: _________________ cm
___ Less than: _________________ cm
___ Less than 0.1 cm
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________
___ Tumor present at margin

**+Margin(s) Involved by Tumor**

___ Specify involved margin(s): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________
REGIONAL LYMPH NODES

Regional Lymph Node Status
___ Not applicable (no regional lymph nodes submitted or found)
___ Regional lymph nodes present
   ___ All regional lymph nodes negative for tumor
   ___ Tumor present in regional lymph node(s)

   Number of Lymph Nodes with Tumor
   ___ Exact number (specify): _________________
   ___ At least (specify): _________________
   ___ Other (specify): _________________
   ___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

   Nodal Site(s) with Tumor, if known
   ___ Not known
   ___ Specify nodal site(s): _________________
   ___ Cannot be determined: _________________

   +Histologic Type Present in Involved Node(s)
   ___ Specify type(s): _________________
   ___ Cannot be determined: _________________
   ___ Other (specify): _________________
   ___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Number of Lymph Nodes Examined
___ Exact number (specify): _________________
___ At least (specify): _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

+Regional Lymph Node Comment: _________________

DISTANT METASTASIS

Distant Site(s) Involved, if applicable (select all that apply)
___ Not applicable
___ Intrathoracic organ(s) or site(s) (i.e., lymph nodes, lung, etc.) (specify): _________________
___ Liver: _________________
___ Other extrathoracic organ(s) or site(s) (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

+Size of Largest Distant Metastasis
___ Specify in Centimeters (cm): _________________ cm
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

+Histologic Type Present in Distant Metastasis
___ Specify type(s): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

PATHOLOGIC STAGE (Note !)
+Children's Oncology Group Staging for any Malignant Extragonadal Germ Cell Tumor (see also specific staging systems for mediastinal germ cell tumors)

COG Staging is based on pretreatment tumor characteristics. Clinical information required to definitively assign stage (e.g., gross residual disease or presence of metastatic disease) may not be available to the pathologist. If applicable, the appropriate stage group may be assigned by the pathologist.

____ Stage I: Complete resection at any site; coccygectomy for sacrococcygeal site; negative tumor margins
____ Stage II: Microscopic residual; lymph nodes negative
____ Stage III: Lymph nodes involved by metastatic disease. Gross residual or biopsy only, retroperitoneal nodes negative or positive
____ Stage IV: Distant metastases, including liver
____ Staging not assigned

+Moran and Suster Proposed Clinical Staging for Mediastinal Germ Cell Tumors#

# Applies to adult (postpubertal) extragonadal germ cell tumors.

____ Stage I: Well-circumscribed tumor with or without focal adhesions to the pleura or pericardium but without microscopic evidence of invasion into adjacent structures
____ Stage II: Tumor confined to the mediastinum with macroscopic and / or microscopic evidence of infiltration into adjacent structures (i.e., pleura, pericardium, and great vessels)
____ Stage III: Tumor with metastases
____ Stage IIIA: With metastases to intrathoracic organs (lymph nodes, lung, etc)
____ Stage IIIB: With extrathoracic metastases
____ Staging not assigned

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS (Note J)

+Associated Syndrome(s) (select all that apply)
____ Not known
____ Klinefelter
____ Down
____ Gonadal dysgenesis (specify type, if known): _________________
____ Other (e.g., intersex, Li Fraumeni) (specify): _________________

+Germ Cell Associated Hematologic Malignancy (not part of the extragonadal germ cell tumor) (select all that apply)
____ Leukemia (specify): _________________
____ Myelodysplastic syndrome (specify): _________________
____ Other (specify): _________________

+Other Clinical Findings (specify): _________________

SPECIAL STUDIES (Notes K,L)

Immunohistochemistry

+SALL4 IHC
____ Not performed
____ Positive
____ Negative
____ Pending
+OCT3/4 IHC
___ Not performed
___ Positive
___ Negative
___ Pending

+CD30 IHC
___ Not performed
___ Positive
___ Negative
___ Pending

+AFP IHC
___ Not performed
___ Positive
___ Negative
___ Pending

+Glypican-3 IHC
___ Not performed
___ Positive
___ Negative
___ Pending

+Beta-HCG IHC
___ Not performed
___ Positive
___ Negative
___ Pending

+LIN28 IHC
___ Not performed
___ Positive
___ Negative
___ Pending

+CD117 IHC
___ Not performed
___ Positive
___ Negative
___ Pending

+D2-40 IHC
___ Not performed
___ Positive
___ Negative
___ Pending

+Other Immunohistochemistry (IHC) Studies (specify): _________________
Cytogenetic Studies

Results of Cytogenetic Studies (select all that apply)
- ___ Not specified
- ___ Not performed
- ___ Pending
- ___ Normal karyotype
- ___ Isochromosome 12p abnormality [i(12p)]
- ___ Other [e.g., del(5q), trisomy 8, 11q23 abnormality] (specify): _______________________

Serologic Markers

Serologic Marker Testing (select all that apply)
- ___ Not specified
- ___ Not performed
- ___ Pending
- ___ Serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) (specify level): _______________________
- ___ Serum human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) (specify level): _______________________
- ___ Other (specify): _______________________

COMMENTS

Comment(s): ________________________
Explanatory Notes

A. Expert Consultation
Expert consultation is not required. This question has been added to annotate, if so desired, that the case has been sent out for consultation and thus items of the CAP protocol could not be completed pending expert consultation. Completion of the CAP protocol will then be performed following consultation.

B. Patient Age
The behavior of pre-pubertal pediatric vs. adolescent and young adult extragonadal germ cell tumors (EG GCTs) is quite distinct. As outlined below, the prognosis for pre-pubertal GCT is better than for adolescents and young adults. The site and histology of the disease also is distinctly different by age in the pre- vs. post-pubertal child vs. adolescent/young adult. These differences arise in all likelihood from different timing of the origins of GCT. The pre-pubertal GCT arises earlier in development, as demonstrated by incomplete loss of imprinting, whereas the post-pubertal GCT features complete loss of imprinting. These differences in biology and clinical presentation are the basis for the classification system proposed by Oosterhuis and Looffjenga who proposed a classification system that includes all pre-pubertal GCT as Type I and all post-pubertal GCT as Type II GCT.

The notes that follow are divided into congenital/neonatal EG GCTs (birth to 6 months) and childhood/pre-pubertal GCTs (7 months to approximately 10 years) because of the well-documented differences in their pathology and prognosis. Post-pubertal/AYA EGCTs are defined as occurring in patients 11 years and older.

These notes describe important differences in the pathologic diagnosis and prognosis of EG GCTs in different age groups: congenital/neonatal, children (prepubertal), and adult (post-pubertal). They are summarized in Table 1. Within each age group, the significance of anatomic site and morphologic subtyping is emphasized. Other issues discussed include post-chemotherapy evaluation, unique associated malignancies, and associated syndromes. Finally, a discussion of differential diagnoses is presented based on anatomic location and patient age.

Table 1. Key Features of Extragonadal Germ Cell Tumors (EG GCTs)

### Congenital/neonatal/Type I (birth-6 months)
- Sacrococcygeal, head and neck, and retroperitoneal most common
- SCT are 3 x more common in females than males
- All sites appear to behave similarly
- Most are teratomas with or without yolk sac tumor
- Conservative approach with follow-up after surgical excision may be indicated

### Childhood/prepubertal/Type I (7 mo-10 years)
- Rare
- Most contain yolk sac tumor
- More frequent aggressive behavior and worse prognosis than neonatal
- Retroperitoneal not derived from occult gonadal primary

### Adult/adolescent (post-pubertal)/Type II (≥11 years)
- All may be associated with the development of non-germ cell neoplasms
- Non-seminomatous EG GCT are considered "poor risk"
- Site of disease does not impact prognosis in seminomatous EG GCT

### Mediastinal (including thymus)
- Mature teratoma is benign
- Can be associated with HM that share i12P and common origin

### Retroperitoneal
Most are derived from occult gonadal primary
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C. Site
Congenital/Neonatal/Type I
The congenital/neonatal EG GCT is most commonly mature/immature teratoma. Other than direct effects on local vital organs, the behavior of congenital and neonatal extragonadal GCTs seems to be independent of anatomic location. Sacrococcygeal teratomas are the most common GCT of the neonate, occurring three times more frequently in girls than boys. After sacrococcygeal teratomas, other more common sites of neonatal teratoma include the head and neck region (including thyroid), the mediastinum (pericardium, heart, thymus, and lung), and the retroperitoneum. Neonatal teratomas may occur anywhere along the body midline, following the course of migration of the primordial germ cell into the gonadal ridge. These tumors have a similar morphology at each site.

Pre-pubertal/Child/Type I
Sacrococcygeal tumors in the older infant and child are typically Altman Type 4, meaning that there was no externalized mass noted at birth. The likelihood of a malignant component in these tumors is very high; almost always due to yolk sac tumor. It is thought that many of these tumors represent congenital sacrococcygeal GCTs (SGCTs) with an overgrowth of yolk sac tumor, analogous to the malignant recurrences of yolk sac tumors in children with incompletely excised congenital SGCT.

Mixed malignant GCTs comprised of teratoma, yolk sac tumor, embryonal carcinoma, and rarely choriocarcinoma are more frequent with increasing age. Germinoma is generally not seen in children less than 10 years of age. As in congenital teratoma, the prognosis of mediastinal GCTs in children is significantly affected by tumor stage and completeness of surgical excision (see Notes F and G). Rarely, sarcomatous elements are reported in pediatric mediastinal GCTs.

Although pelvic and/or retroperitoneal extension of a sacrococcygeal tumor is not unusual, an exclusively retroperitoneal or abdominal location is uncommon, comprising less than 5% of all EG GCTs.

Post-pubertal/Adult/Type II
The mediastinum is the most common anatomic site for extragonadal GCTs in adolescents and young adults (AYA). Mediastinal GCT are much more common in males than females. The histologic classification of GCTs at this site is as previously described: seminomatous (pure), nonseminomatous (yolk sac tumor, embryonal carcinoma, choriocarcinoma, and mixed GCTs), and teratomas. One important difference is that, unlike the situation in the adult testis or in congenital/pediatric GCT, the distinction between mature and immature teratoma is important in the adult mediastinum.

Sacrococcygeal GCTs in this age group are extremely rare. The location is similar to that seen in pediatric cases except that most are intrapelvic because tumors with an external component would be expected to have been discovered in childhood. Most purely retroperitoneal GCTs in adults represent metastases from an undiscovered or occult primary in the testicle or, rarely, in the ovary. A testicular primary should be excluded by careful ultrasound analysis of the testes; It is not unusual to find evidence of a “burned out” primary tumor.
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D. Histologic Type
The World Health Organization (WHO) classification of germ cell tumors is the basis for most contemporary classifications and is the one generally used for EGCTs. Due to a lack of specific nomenclature, extragonadal germ cell neoplasms can be classified for histopathology using mediastinal nomenclature. In the most recent 2021 WHO classification, mediastinal germ cell neoplasms are divided into seminomas, non-seminomatous germ cell tumors (including mature and immature teratomas, choriocarcinoma, yolk sac tumor, embryonal carcinoma, or mixed germ cell tumor), and germ cell tumors with somatic-type malignancy, including solid and hematologic malignancy. The most common extragonadal germ cell tumors occurring in the perinatal period in order of rank are teratoma, and yolk sac tumor. According to this classification, fetus in fetu is regarded as a form of mature teratoma. In addition, embryonic-type neuroectodermal tumor (ENET; formerly “primitive neuroectodermal tumor”) is another relatively common somatic-type malignancy for which WHO has adopted new terminology. These tumors represent an overgrowth of embryonic central-type neuroectodermal tissue that is commonly seen in teratomas and often categorized as an immature neuroectodermal component.

Congenital/Neonatal/Type I
Most germ cell tumors of the fetus and neonate are histologically benign and comprise teratoma (mature or immature and yolk sac tumors). Somatic mutations are usually not identified in type I teratomas. Yolk sac tumor (endodermal sinus tumor) is the leading malignant germ cell tumor of the perinatal period and throughout childhood. In the fetus and neonate, it occurs most often with a teratoma and adversely affects the prognosis.

The sacrococcygeal area is the location associated with the highest incidence of malignancy, in the form of yolk sac tumor. The overall frequency of neonatal sacrococcygeal teratomas with a yolk sac tumor is approximately 10%. The values cited in the literature range from 2.5%-25%. The incidence of malignancy in the neonate is approximately 10%, approaching almost 100% by 3 years.

Pre-pubertal/Child/Type I
The occurrence of admixed yolk sac tumor or recurrence as yolk sac tumor is more common with the presentation of teratoma in patients older than 6 months. Similarly, in older infants (after 7 months), the incidence of teratoma falls, whereas the incidence of pure yolk sac tumor increases. Most yolk sac tumors are diagnosed between 7 months and the third year of life. Pure embryonal carcinomas are rare before 5 years old. As noted, prognosis worsens with increasing age, and the prognosis (i.e., recurrence rate) of completely resected EGCTs worsens at approximately 7 months. The designation of a child as
prepubertal is sometimes difficult, but at least 1 study out of 9 suggests 11 years or older is a significant age boundary.

**Post-pubertal/Adult/Type II**  
Type II germ cell tumors are malignant, include seminomas and non-seminomatous germ cell tumors, and occur in adolescents and adult men. Approximately 43% of all mediastinal GCTs contain teratoma and include mature teratoma (63%), immature teratoma (4%), and teratoma with other malignant components (i.e., sarcoma, other malignant germ cell element, or carcinoma; 33%). Because histologically mature teratomas behave in a clinically benign fashion regardless of patient age, and immature teratomas have the potential for aggressive behavior, the distinction is critical to patient management in adults. Germinoma/dysgerminoma/seminoma do not develop in the sacrococcygeal region. Mature teratomas are histologically similar to those occurring in the ovary. Despite their similarity to ovarian GCTs, monodermal teratomas such as struma ovarii have not been described in the mediastinum.

Type II tumors are characterized by gain of chromosome 12p, commonly as an isochromosome of 12p. In addition, in seminomas, activating KIT mutations and rarely case KRAS mutation are described. In adults, the most common nonteratomatous component is germinoma/seminoma, but yolk sac tumor, embryonal carcinoma, and choriocarcinoma may also occur. Mediastinal germinoma frequently involves the thymus, with resultant cyst formation and thymic epithelial cell hyperplasia. This may make the recognition of the germinomatous component difficult. A high level of suspicion is necessary in the case of cystic lesions of the thymus, especially if associated with a granulomatous response. The morphology of nonteratomatous components is otherwise identical to those in the gonads and is reviewed in detail elsewhere. All nonteratomatous elements should be regarded as malignant in adults.
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E. Grade (Immature Teratomas)
The histologic grade of the tumor is based on 3 factors: degree of immaturity, presence of a 
neuroepithelial component, and the quantity of the latter. ¹

**Grade 1** is given to neoplasms with some immaturity but with neuroepithelium absent or limited to a rare 
low-power magnification (X40) field within the tumor, and not more than 1 such focus in any slide.

**Grade 2** is given when immaturity and neuroepithelium were present to a greater degree than grade 1. 
Neuroepithelium is common but does not exceed 3 low-power microscopic fields in any 1 slide.

**Grade 3** is given when immaturity and neuroepithelium were prominent, the latter occupying 4 or more 
low-magnification microscopic fields within individual sections.

Care should be taken in establishing a grade on biopsy specimens, and limitations of sampling should be 
noted. Of note, in gonadal (specifically ovarian) immature teratomas, there is a trend to utilize a two-tier 
system of grading, which has somewhat improved reproducibility.² This low versus high grade system 
divides grade 1 tumors into low grade tumors, and a combination of grades 2 and 3 are considered high-
grade tumors. Whether this is applicable in children or in extragonadal tumors remains to be determined.

**Congenital/Neonatal/Type I**
The presence or grade of immaturity, as defined by Norris et al. for ovarian teratomas, is not predictive of 
malignant behavior in congenital EGCTs, although immature teratomas are more likely to have admixed 
yolk sac tumor. It is well recognized that incomplete surgical resection of neonatal teratomas is 
associated with recurrences of a pure yolk sac tumor, as seen in cases of sacrococcygeal teratoma for 
which coccygectomy was not performed.³ In some cases with recurrence, foci of the yolk sac tumor could 
not be identified in the original resected teratoma. It is unclear whether that is due to incomplete sampling 
of the original lesion or whether elements of a residual immature teratoma can give rise to a yolk sac tumor.

**Pre-pubertal/Child/Type I**
Increased patient age, sacrococcygeal location, and grade 2 to 3 immaturity are more frequently 
associated with admixed yolk sac tumor.³

**Post-pubertal/Adult/Type II**
Immature teratomas, like their testicular counterparts, are most commonly identified by cellular spindled 
stroma (i.e., immature mesenchyme) surrounding glandular epithelium. Immature neuroepithelial 
elements similar to those seen in immature teratomas of the ovary may also be identified. Immature 
neuroepithelium should be distinguished from mature ependyma, a relatively common finding in mature 
teratomas. Other admixed immature elements frequently include cartilage and glandular epithelium, but 
the diagnosis of immaturity does not typically depend on these elements. At present, there is no grading
schema for extragonadal immature teratomas; however, it is reasonable to report the percentage of immature elements.
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F. Tumor Size
The number of sections submitted varies with the size and character of the specimen and the nature of the underlying neoplastic process. At least 1 section per centimeter of the tumor’s greatest diameter is recommended if the tumor is a germ cell neoplasm because diverse elements may only be found in this way and might affect the tumor classification.¹

Congenital/Neonatal/Type 1
Large SGCTs may be associated with fetal hydrops because of increased demands on the heart to supply the tumor vasculature and may be associated with fatal intratumoral hemorrhage with delivery. Survival with cervical teratoma depends on the size of the tumor and extent of tissue involvement, with respiratory compromise being the main cause of subsequent morbidity and mortality.² Neonates with cervical teratomas generally have a good outcome when the tumor is resectable. Fetuses have a much lower survival rate than neonates (23% versus 85%). Ten percent of the fetuses are stillborn.
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G. Postchemotherapy Evaluation
Patients with malignant EG GCTs, both children and AYA, often have a diagnostic biopsy at the time of presentation, then adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy, followed by delayed surgical resection post-chemotherapy surgical resection. This clinical practice places an extreme importance on the initial biopsy interpretation and also creates challenges in the evaluation of the resection specimen. The post-therapy tissue usually shows necrosis, fibrosis, mixed inflammatory infiltrates, and xanthogranulomatous inflammation. Because response to therapy is one of the main prognostic variables, the percentage of viable malignant GCT should be reported, a finding present in up to 10% of resection specimens, even after normalization of serum markers.¹ Less than 10% viable tumor cells is a good prognostic factor as defined by the International Germ Cell Consensus Classification Group (IGCCC).² The presence of teratoma (as compared to M-GCT) should also be noted. Residual viable tumor may be relatively focal; therefore, areas of scarring, necrosis, or hemorrhage should be carefully examined.
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**H. Margins/Vascular Invasion**

Because completeness of excision is also an important prognostic factor, the excision specimens should be inked and the margin status should be reported. Any adherence of the mass to other structures should be carefully described. If there is question about adherence, consultation with the surgeon is strongly recommended.

Vascular invasion can involve blood vessels or lymphatics. In suspicious cases, blood vessels can be highlighted with immunoperoxidase staining for factor VIII, CD31, and CD34. Lymphatic markers such as D2-40 (podoplanin) or LYVE1 may confirm lymphatic invasion.

**I. Staging**

Clinical and pathologic staging is very important in the prognosis of EGCTs. Unfortunately, there is not an officially recognized American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC)/International Union Against Cancer (UICC) TNM staging protocol for EGCTs. The Children’s Oncology Group utilizes a 4-tiered staging system for all EGCTs. Surgeons also carefully define anatomic resections specifically of sacrococcygeal EGCTs. The WHO recommends using a modification of the AJCC TNM staging of soft tissue tumors. Moran and Suster have also proposed a novel staging system specifically for mediastinal GCTs based on an outcome study of 322 cases. Staging is not assigned if there is not sufficient data for staging in either classification.

In the Pediatric Oncology Group/Children’s Cancer Group Intergroup study, there were 22 children with completely resected, extragonadal, immature teratomas, including 6 (27%) with admixed yolk sac tumor. None of the patients received adjuvant chemotherapy. Three of the 22 children (14%) developed recurrent yolk sac tumors, including 1 child with no admixed yolk sac tumor at diagnosis. Each of these children was treated for their recurrence with surgery and platinum-based chemotherapy (cisplatin, etoposide, and bleomycin). The conclusion of the study was that complete surgical excision is effective treatment for children with immature teratomas, with or without admixed yolk sac tumor. The authors noted that patients with extragonadal tumors are a higher risk group and require very careful follow-up. Although adjuvant chemotherapy could be considered in these patients, it is not recommended because of the toxicity of unnecessary chemotherapy and the success of salvage therapy for those children who do relapse.

A rare finding in extragonadal teratomas of very young children is the occurrence of nodal gliomatosis in regional lymph nodes draining the teratoma. The authors have had personal experience with such cases in which the finding had no effect on the patient’s clinical course and did not seem to warrant a designation of a “metastasis” upstaging the patient. It is thought that the phenomenon is similar to peritoneal gliomatosis in ovarian teratomas.

**Mediastinal Germ Cell Tumors**

The overall clinical outcome for primary mediastinal immature teratoma and nonteratomatous GCTs is worse than gonadal GCTs, but outcomes are improving with preoperative cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy strategies. With neoadjuvant chemotherapy, good prognostic factors include completeness of resection, less than 10% viable tumor cells, and low-risk group as defined by the IGCCCG’s Moran and Suster Proposed Clinical Staging System for Mediastinal GCTs.
In the IGCCCG groupings, there are prognostic differences among histologic subtypes of GCT. Pure mediastinal seminoma has a better outcome than tumors with a nonseminomatous component. The reported 5-year survival of 90% with cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy parallels the outcome of testicular seminomas. Prognostic features of pure seminoma include liver, bone, brain or other nonpulmonary visceral metastases. Primary mediastinal choriocarcinoma is rare but has a much worse prognosis than other histologic subtypes owing to the hematogenous dissemination at the time of diagnosis. Embryonal carcinoma and yolk sac tumor, whether pure or in association with any other components (seminoma or teratoma), have expected EFS rates of only 40-60%.
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**J. Associated Syndromes and Malignancies**

Some constitutional syndromes are thought to have an increased incidence of EGCTs, including Klinefelter and Down syndrome. The association of hematopoietic malignancies with mediastinal EGCTs was described in the Cytogenetics Note. Sarcomatous differentiation, which is most frequent in the mediastinum, may occur in association with teratomas or, less commonly, with other malignant GCTs. As in the gonads, secondary squamous cell carcinoma, adenosquamous carcinoma, and colonic-type adenocarcinoma may rarely complicate extragonadal teratomas. The presence of sarcomatous or carcinomatous elements portend a very poor prognosis.
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**K. Cytogenetics**

It is well documented that Type I/pediatric GCTs are distinct from Type II/AYA GCTs cytogenetically. Although the majority of AYA malignant GCTs have the isochromosome 12p abnormality, this aberration is detectable in <50% of children younger than 10 years. Recently, alterations in the WNT pathway have been documented in both Type I and Type II GCT.

There is an unusual association between mediastinal GCTs, hematologic malignancies, and cytogenetics. Although it is unknown why they are associated with only mediastinal tumors, genetic studies have demonstrated that both the GCT and hematopoietic components are clonally related. The germ cell component is typically yolk sac tumor, but immature teratomas and other nonseminomatous GCTs are also described. The hematopoietic component frequently shows an isochromosome 12 [i(12p)], the most common genetic alteration in GCTs, but may additionally harbor translocations more typical of the specific morphologic phenotype [e.g., del (5q), trisomy 8]. This finding suggests that the non-i(12p) aberration determines the tumor phenotype.

Germ cell tumor-associated acute leukemias are an ominous finding because they are typically refractory to current treatment modalities, with a reported survival of less than 2 years in all reported patients. The main differential diagnostic consideration in this setting is a therapy-related myelodysplastic syndrome or acute leukemia. Therapy-related diseases can be distinguished by their occurrence later in the course (25 to 60 months), the absence of i(12p), and the possible presence of an etoposide-related translocation such as 11q23.
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**L. Tissue and Serologic Markers**

**Tissue Immunohistochemistry**

Extragonadal GCTs typically show immunoreactivity patterns identical to their gonadal counterparts. In general, embryonal carcinoma, yolk sac tumor, choriocarcinoma, and the epithelial elements of teratoma all show cytokeratin AE1/AE3 reactivity. A dotlike paranuclear reactivity pattern to low-molecular-weight cytokeratin (i.e., CAM 5.2) is seen in up to 80% of mediastinal seminomas.

Strong membranous CD117 (c-KIT) immunoreactivity has been reported in 75%-100% of seminomas; but it is not specific. CD30 is also used in the workup of a poorly differentiated malignant neoplasm because it is positive in more than 80% of embryonal carcinomas, and it also marks a spectrum of hematopoietic malignancies.
Newer markers show better specificity for germ cells tumors. Nearly 100% of seminomas and embryonal carcinomas show nuclear reactivity for OCT4. OCT4 is rapidly becoming the marker of choice for documenting germ cell origin (i.e., seminoma or embryonal carcinoma) in the workup of an undifferentiated neoplasm. Yolk sac tumors and choriocarcinoma show cytoplasmic and membranous reactivity for the oncofetal protein glypican-3, with no significant reactivity in embryonal carcinoma or germinoma. Most recently, SALL4 has been shown to demonstrate strong nuclear staining in germinoma, embryonal carcinoma, and yolk sac tumors. SALL4 appears more sensitive than either glypican-3 or AFP for the diagnosis of yolk sac tumor. The mononuclear trophoblast cells of choriocarcinoma are also reactive for SALL4. LIN28 is a cytoplasmic marker that has a similar staining pattern of germ cell tumors as SALL4, including seminoma, embryonal carcinoma, yolk sac tumor, and choriocarcinoma. LIN28 also stains non-germ cell neoplasms, 7α-Fetoprotein.

α-Fetoprotein
The presence of minute, occult, yolk sac tumor elements in large sacrococcygeal teratomas can be overlooked. Histologic detection of foci of yolk sac tumor in sacrococcygeal teratomas is very important because serum AFP levels are not always helpful as a marker, being normally high in the newborn period as a result of fetal production. Moreover, primitive gut and liver tissues in preterm teratomas also react with the AFP antibody, which makes establishing the histologic diagnosis of this sometimes subtle malignancy difficult.

Most of the tumor recurrences after congenital teratoma are yolk sac tumor, and AFP is useful in following these patients if the tumor is secretory. Neonatal levels are normally elevated, and the initial AFP level does not seem to correlate with the presence or absence of yolk sac tumor in neonatal teratomas. Postoperative monitoring can be useful, because the AFP level should fall after tumor excision, as it normally would in the neonate.

Human Chorionic Gonadotropin
Serum β-HCG immunohistochemistry can be used to identify choriocarcinoma. Isolated syncytial trophoblasts can stain positively in seminomas or other tumor types with syncytiotrophoblasts. Evaluation of serum β-HCG is also helpful in establishing the presence of occult choriocarcinoma.
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