Protocol for the Examination of Specimens from Patients with Cancers of the Oral Cavity

Version: 4.1.0.0  
Protocol Posting Date: June 2021  
CAP Laboratory Accreditation Program Protocol Required Use Date: March 2022  
The changes included in this current protocol version affect accreditation requirements. The new deadline for implementing this protocol version is reflected in the above accreditation date.

For accreditation purposes, this protocol should be used for the following procedures AND tumor types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resection</td>
<td>Includes specimens designated lip and tongue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>Includes squamous cell carcinoma and minor salivary gland carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal Melanoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This protocol is NOT required for accreditation purposes for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary resection specimen with no residual cancer (eg, following neoadjuvant therapy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytologic specimens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following tumor types should NOT be reported using this protocol:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoma (consider the Soft Tissue protocol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma (consider the Hodgkin or non-Hodgkin Lymphoma protocols)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lip (no current protocol)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Accreditation Requirements
This protocol can be utilized for a variety of procedures and tumor types for clinical care purposes. For accreditation purposes, only the definitive primary cancer resection specimen is required to have the core and conditional data elements reported in a synoptic format.

- **Core data elements** are required in reports to adequately describe appropriate malignancies. For accreditation purposes, essential data elements must be reported in all instances, even if the response is “not applicable” or “cannot be determined.”
- **Conditional data elements** are only required to be reported if applicable as delineated in the protocol. For instance, the total number of lymph nodes examined must be reported, but only if nodes are present in the specimen.
- **Optional data elements** are identified with “+” and although not required for CAP accreditation purposes, may be considered for reporting as determined by local practice standards.

The use of this protocol is not required for recurrent tumors or for metastatic tumors that are resected at a different time than the primary tumor. Use of this protocol is also not required for pathology reviews performed at a second institution (ie, secondary consultation, second opinion, or review of outside case at second institution).

Synoptic Reporting
All core and conditionally required data elements outlined on the surgical case summary from this cancer protocol must be displayed in synoptic report format. Synoptic format is defined as:

- Data element: followed by its answer (response), outline format without the paired Data element: Response format is NOT considered synoptic.
- The data element should be represented in the report as it is listed in the case summary. The response for any data element may be modified from those listed in the case summary, including “Cannot be determined” if appropriate.
- Each diagnostic parameter pair (Data element: Response) is listed on a separate line or in a tabular format to achieve visual separation. The following exceptions are allowed to be listed on one line:
  - Anatomic site or specimen, laterality, and procedure
  - Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM) elements
  - Negative margins, as long as all negative margins are specifically enumerated where applicable
- The synoptic portion of the report can appear in the diagnosis section of the pathology report, at the end of the report or in a separate section, but all Data element: Responses must be listed together in one location

Organizations and pathologists may choose to list the required elements in any order, use additional methods in order to enhance or achieve visual separation, or add optional items within the synoptic report. The report may have required elements in a summary format elsewhere in the report IN ADDITION TO but not as replacement for the synoptic report ie, all required elements must be in the synoptic portion of the report in the format defined above.

Summary of Changes
v 4.1.0.0
- General Reformatting
- Revised Margins Section
- Revised Lymph Node Section
- Revised Tumor Site Section
- Added Distant Metastasis Section
- Removed pTX and pNX Staging Classification
Reporting Template

Protocol Posting Date: June 2021
Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

CASE SUMMARY: (ORAL CAVITY)
Standard(s): AJCC-UICC 8

SPECIMEN (Note A)

Procedure (select all that apply)
___ Excision
___ Glossectomy (specify): _________________
___ Buccal mucosal resection (specify): _________________
___ Mandibulectomy (specify): _________________
___ Maxillectomy (specify): _________________
___ Palatectomy
___ Neck (lymph node) dissection (specify): _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Not specified

TUMOR

Tumor Focality
___ Unifocal
___ Multifocal: _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

Multiple Primary Sites (e.g., lower gingiva and floor of mouth)
___ Not applicable (no additional primary site(s) present)
___ Present:

Please complete a separate checklist for each primary site

Tumor Site (Note B)
___ Lip: _________________

+Tumor Subsite (select all that apply)
___ Mucosa of upper lip: _________________
___ Mucosa of lower lip: _________________
___ Oral cavity: _________________

+Tumor Subsite (select all that apply)
___ Lateral border of tongue: _________________
___ Ventral surface of tongue: _________________
___ Dorsal surface of tongue: _________________
___ Anterior two-thirds of tongue: _________________
___ Upper gingiva: _________________
___ Lower gingiva: _________________
___ Anterior floor of mouth: _________________
___ Floor of mouth: _________________
___ Hard palate: _________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buccal mucosa</td>
<td>___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibule of mouth, maxillary</td>
<td>___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibule of mouth, mandibular</td>
<td>___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar process, maxillary</td>
<td>___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar process, mandibular</td>
<td>___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retromolar area</td>
<td>___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (specify)</td>
<td>___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tumor Laterality (select all that apply)
- Right
- Left
- Midline
- Not specified

### Tumor Size (Note E)
- Greatest dimension in Centimeters (cm): ___________ cm
  + Additional Dimension in Centimeters (cm): __ x __ cm
- Cannot be determined (explain): ___________

### Histologic Type (Note C)

#### Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Variants (select all that apply)
- Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Variants
  - Squamous cell carcinoma, conventional
  - Acantholytic squamous cell carcinoma
  - Adenosquamous carcinoma
  - Basaloid squamous cell carcinoma
  - Carcinoma cuniculatum
  - Papillary squamous cell carcinoma
  - Spindle cell squamous carcinoma
  - Verrucous squamous cell carcinoma
  - Verrucous squamous cell carcinoma
  - Lymphoepithelial carcinoma

#### Carcinomas of Minor Salivary Glands
- Mucoepidermoid carcinoma, low grade
- Mucoepidermoid carcinoma, intermediate grade
- Mucoepidermoid carcinoma, high grade
  # If multiple patterns present, select predominant pattern unless solid pattern is greater than 30%, in which case should select solid pattern.
- Adenoid cystic carcinoma, tubular pattern#
  + Percentage of Solid Component
    - Specify percentage: ___________ %
    - Other (specify): ___________
    - Cannot be determined
- Adenoid cystic carcinoma, cribriform pattern#
  + Percentage of Solid Component
    - Specify percentage: ___________ %
    - Other (specify): ___________
    - Cannot be determined
- Adenoid cystic carcinoma, solid pattern#
  + Percentage of Solid Component
___ Specify percentage: ____________________ %
___ Other (specify): ____________________
___ Cannot be determined
___ Acinic cell carcinoma
___ Polymorphous adenocarcinoma, classic, low grade
___ Polymorphous adenocarcinoma, classic, intermediate grade
___ Polymorphous adenocarcinoma, classic, high grade
___ Polymorphous adenocarcinoma, cribriform (cribriform adenocarcinoma of salivary origin), low grade
___ Polymorphous adenocarcinoma, cribriform (cribriform adenocarcinoma of salivary origin), intermediate grade
___ Polymorphous adenocarcinoma, cribriform (cribriform adenocarcinoma of salivary origin), high grade
___ (Mammary analogue) Secretory carcinoma
___ Salivary duct carcinoma
___ Epithelial-myoepithelial carcinoma
___ (Hyalinizing) clear cell carcinoma
___ Adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified, low grade
___ Adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified, intermediate grade
___ Adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified, high grade
___ Basal cell adenocarcinoma
___ Carcinosarcoma (true malignant mixed tumor)
___ Cystadenocarcinoma
___ Intraductal carcinoma, low grade
___ Intraductal carcinoma, high grade
___ Myoepithelial carcinoma
___ Oncocytic carcinoma

**Neuroendocrine Carcinoma**
___ Well differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma (typical carcinoid tumor)
___ Moderately differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma (atypical carcinoid tumor)
___ Poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma, small cell type
___ Poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma, large cell type
___ Combined (or composite) neuroendocrine carcinoma

**Type of Combined Histology (select all that apply)**
___ Squamous cell carcinoma: _________________
___ Adenocarcinoma: _________________
___ Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma: _________________
___ Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma: _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________

**Mucosal melanoma**
___ Mucosal melanoma

**Other**
___ Other histologic type not listed (specify): _________________
___ Carcinoma, type cannot be determined: _________________

**Preexisting Pleomorphic Adenoma Component**
*Required only for carcinomas of minor salivary glands, if applicable*
___ Not applicable
___ Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma, minimally invasive
___ Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma, invasive
___ Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma, intracapsular (noninvasive)
___ Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma, extent cannot be determined

+**Histologic Type Comment:** _________________
Histologic Grade# (Note D)
The Histologic Grade section is applicable only to squamous cell carcinomas.
___ Not applicable
___ G1, well differentiated
___ G2, moderately differentiated
___ G3, poorly differentiated
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ GX, cannot be assessed: _________________

Tumor Depth of Invasion (DOI)
Tumor depth of invasion is required only for squamous cell carcinomas.
Specify in Millimeters (mm)
___ Not applicable
___ Specify depth (mm): _________________ mm
___ At least (mm): _________________ mm
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

+Tumor Extent (specify other structures involved): _________________

Lymphovascular Invasion
___ Not identified
___ Present
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

Perineural Invasion (Note F)
___ Not identified
___ Present
+Extent of Perineural Invasion (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

+Worst Pattern of Invasion (WPOI) (Note G)
___ WPOI 5
___ WPOI 1-4

+Tumor Comment: _________________

MARGINS (Note H)

Specimen Margin Status for Invasive Tumor
___ All specimen margins negative for invasive tumor

Distance from Invasive Tumor to Closest Specimen Margin
Specify in Millimeters (mm)
___ Exact distance: _________________ mm
___ Greater than: _________________ mm
___ Less than 1 mm
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

Closest Specimen Margin(s) to Invasive Tumor (per Orientation, if possible)
___ Specify location(s) of closest specimen margin(s): _________________
Cannot be determined

**Other Close Specimen Margin(s) to Invasive Tumor**

- Specify location(s) and distance(s) of other close specimen margin(s): 
- Cannot be determined

Invasive tumor present at specimen margin

**Specimen Margin(s) Involved by Invasive Tumor (per orientation)**

- Specify involved specimen margin(s): 
- Cannot be determined

- Other (specify): 
- Cannot be determined (explain): 
- Not applicable

**Specimen Margin Status for Noninvasive Tumor**

Applicable only to squamous cell carcinoma and its histologic variants.

- Not applicable

- All specimen margins negative for high-grade dysplasia / in situ disease

**Distance from Noninvasive Tumor to Closest Specimen Margin**

Specify in Millimeters (mm)

- Exact distance: 
- Greater than: 
- Less than 1 mm
- Other (specify): 
- Cannot be determined:

**Closest Specimen Margin(s) to Noninvasive Tumor (per orientation, if possible)**

- Specify closest specimen margin(s): 
- Cannot be determined

**Specimen Margin(s) Involved by Noninvasive Tumor (per orientation)**

- Specify involved specimen margin(s): 
- Cannot be determined

- Other (specify): 
- Cannot be determined (explain):

**Tumor Bed Margin Status (separately submitted)**

- Not applicable

- Tumor bed margins assessed

**Tumor Bed Margin Orientation**

- Oriented to true margin surface

- Unoriented to true margin surface

- Cannot be determined (explain):

**Tumor Bed Margin Status for Invasive Tumor**

- All tumor bed margins negative for invasive tumor

**Distance from Invasive Tumor to True Margin Surface (if oriented and sectioned perpendicularly)**

Specify in Millimeters (mm)

- Exact distance: 
- Greater than: 
- Less than 1 mm
- Other (specify): 
- Cannot be determined:
Invasive tumor present at tumor bed margin

Tumor Bed Margin(s) Involved by Invasive Tumor (per part labeling)

___ Specify involved tumor bed margin(s): ____________________
___ Cannot be determined
___ Other (specify): ____________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________

Tumor Bed Margin Status for Noninvasive Tumor
___ All tumor bed margins negative for high-grade dysplasia / in situ disease

+Distance from Noninvasive Tumor to True Margin Surface (if oriented and sectioned perpendicularly)

Specify in Millimeters (mm)

___ Exact distance: ____________________ mm
___ Greater than: ____________________ mm
___ Less than 1 mm
___ Other (specify): ____________________
___ Cannot be determined:
___ High-grade dysplasia / in situ disease present at tumor bed margins

Tumor Bed Margin(s) Involved by Noninvasive Tumor (per orientation)

___ Specify involved tumor bed margin(s): ____________________
___ Cannot be determined
___ Other (specify): ____________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________
___ Not applicable

+Margin Comment: ____________________

REGIONAL LYMPH NODES (Note)

Regional Lymph Node Status
___ Not applicable (no regional lymph nodes submitted or found)
___ Regional lymph nodes present
___ All regional lymph nodes negative for tumor
___ Tumor present in regional lymph node(s)

Number of Lymph Nodes with Tumor

___ Exact number (specify): ____________________
___ At least (specify): ____________________
___ Other (specify): ____________________
___ Cannot be determined

Laterality of Lymph Node(s) with Tumor (not applicable for mucosal melanoma)

___ Ipsilateral (including midline): ____________________
___ Contralateral: ____________________
___ Bilateral: ____________________
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________
___ Not applicable

Size of Largest Nodal Metastatic Deposit (not applicable for mucosal melanoma)

Specify in Centimeters (cm)

___ Exact size: ____________________ cm
___ At least: ____________________ cm
___ Greater than: ____________________ cm
___ Less than: _________________ cm
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________
___ Not applicable

Extranodal Extension (ENE) (not applicable for mucosal melanoma) (Note I)
___ Not identified
___ Present

+Distance of ENE from Lymph Node Capsule
   Specify in Millimeters (mm)
___ Exact distance: _________________ mm
___ Greater than 2 mm (macroscopic ENE)
___ Less than or equal to 2 mm (microscopic ENE)
___ Less than 1 mm
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined
___ Cannot be determined: _________________
___ Not applicable
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Number of Lymph Nodes Examined
___ Exact number (specify): _________________
___ At least (specify): _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined

+Regional Lymph Node Comment: _________________

DISTANT METASTASIS

Distant Site(s) Involved, if applicable (select all that apply)
___ Not applicable
___ Lung: _________________
___ Bone: _________________
___ Brain: _________________
___ Liver: _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

PATHOLOGIC STAGE CLASSIFICATION (pTNM, AJCC 8th Edition) (Note J)
Reporting of pT, pN, and (when applicable) pM categories is based on information available to the pathologist at the time the report is issued. As per the AJCC (Chapter 1, 8th Ed.) it is the managing physician’s responsibility to establish the final pathologic stage based upon all pertinent information, including but potentially not limited to this pathology report.

TNM Descriptors (select all that apply)
___ Not applicable: _________________
___ m (multiple primary tumors)
___ r (recurrent)
___ y (post-treatment)
Pathologic Stage Classification
___ For All Carcinomas

pT Category
Superficial erosion alone of bone / tooth socket by gingival primary is not sufficient to classify a tumor as T4.
DOI is depth of invasion and not tumor thickness.

___ pT not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)
___ pTis: Carcinoma *in situ*
___ pT1: Tumor less than or equal to 2 cm with DOI less than or equal to 5 mm
___ pT2: Tumor less than or equal to 2 cm with DOI greater than 5 mm or tumor greater than 2 cm and less than or equal to 4 cm with DOI less than or equal to 10 mm
___ pT3: Tumor greater than 2 cm and less than or equal to 4 cm with DOI greater than 10 mm or tumor greater than 4 cm with DOI less than or equal to 10 mm

pT4: Moderately advanced or very advanced local disease
___ pT4a: Moderately advanced local disease. Tumor greater than 4 cm with DOI greater than 0 mm or tumor invades adjacent structures only (e.g., through cortical bone of the mandible or maxilla or involves the maxillary sinus or skin of the face)
___ pT4b: Very advanced local disease. Tumor invades masticator space, pterygoid plates, or skull base, and / or encases internal carotid artery
___ pT4 (subgroup cannot be determined)

pN Category# (*Note*)
*Midline nodes are considered ipsilateral nodes.*
Pathological ENE should be recorded as ENE(−) or ENE(+).
Measurement of the metastatic focus in the lymph nodes is based on the largest metastatic deposit size, which may include matted or fused lymph nodes.

___ pN not assigned (no nodes submitted or found)
___ pN not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)
___ pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis
___ pN1: Metastasis in a single ipsilateral lymph node, 3 cm or smaller in greatest dimension and ENE(−)

pN2: Metastasis in a single ipsilateral lymph node, 3 cm or smaller in greatest dimension and ENE(+) or larger than 3 cm but not larger than 6 cm in greatest dimension and ENE(−); or metastases in multiple ipsilateral lymph nodes, none larger than 6 cm in greatest dimension and ENE(−); or in bilateral or contralateral lymph node(s), none larger than 6 cm in greatest dimension and ENE(−)
___ pN2a: Metastasis in single ipsilateral node 3 cm or smaller in greatest dimension and ENE(+) or a single ipsilateral node larger than 3 cm but not larger than 6 cm in greatest dimension and ENE(−)
___ pN2b: Metastases in multiple ipsilateral nodes, none larger than 6 cm in greatest dimension and ENE(−)
___ pN2c: Metastases in bilateral or contralateral lymph node(s), none larger than 6 cm in greatest dimension and ENE(−)
___ pN2 (subgroup cannot be determined)

pN3: Metastasis in a lymph node larger than 6 cm in greatest dimension and ENE(−); or metastasis in a single ipsilateral node larger than 3 cm in greatest dimension and ENE(+) or multiple ipsilateral, contralateral or bilateral nodes any with ENE(+) or a single contralateral node of any size and ENE(+)
___ pN3a: Metastasis in a lymph node larger than 6 cm in greatest dimension and ENE(−)
___ pN3b: Metastasis in a single ipsilateral node larger than 3 cm in greatest dimension and ENE(+) or multiple ipsilateral, contralateral or bilateral nodes any with ENE(+) or a single contralateral node of any size and ENE(+) or of any size and ENE(+)
___ pN3 (subgroup cannot be determined)

pM Category (*required only if confirmed pathologically*)
___ Not applicable - pM cannot be determined from the submitted specimen(s)
___ pM1: Distant metastasis
___ For Mucosal Melanoma (Note J)

**pT Category**

___ pT3: Tumors limited to the mucosa and immediately underlying soft tissue, regardless of thickness or greatest dimension; for example, polypoid nasal disease, pigmented or nonpigmented lesions of the oral cavity, pharynx, or larynx

**pT4: Moderately advanced or very advanced local disease**

___ pT4a: Moderately advanced disease. Tumor involving deep soft tissue, cartilage, bone, or overlying skin

___ pT4b: Very advanced disease. Tumor involving brain, dura, skull base, lower cranial nerves (IX, X, XI, XII), masticator space, carotid artery, prevertebral space, or mediastinal structures

___ pT4 (subgroup cannot be determined)

**pN Category**

___ pN not assigned (no nodes submitted or found)

___ pN not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)

___ pN0: No regional lymph node metastases

___ pN1: Regional lymph node metastases present

**pM Category (required only if confirmed pathologically)**

___ Not applicable - pM cannot be determined from the submitted specimen(s)

___ pM1: Distant metastasis present

**ADDITIONAL FINDINGS (Note K)**

+Additional Findings (select all that apply)

___ None identified

___ Keratinizing dysplasia, mild

___ Keratinizing dysplasia, moderate

___ Keratinizing dysplasia, severe (carcinoma in situ)

___ Nonkeratinizing dysplasia, mild

___ Nonkeratinizing dysplasia, moderate

___ Nonkeratinizing dysplasia, severe (carcinoma in situ)

___ Inflammation (specify type): _________________

___ Epithelial hyperplasia

___ Colonization, fungal

___ Colonization, bacterial

___ Other (specify): _________________

**SPECIAL STUDIES**

For reporting molecular testing and other cancer biomarker testing results, the CAP Head and Neck Biomarker Template should be used. Pending biomarker studies should be listed in the Comments section of this report.

Specify test(s) (repeat as needed)

+Specify Test and Result: _________________

**COMMENTS**

Comment(s): _________________
Explanatory Notes

A. Scope of Guidelines
The reporting of oral cancer including the lip is facilitated by the provision of a case summary illustrating the features required for comprehensive patient care. However, there are many cases in which the individual practicalities of applying such a case summary may not be straightforward. Common examples include finding the prescribed number of lymph nodes, trying to determine the levels of the radical neck dissection, and determining if isolated tumor cells in a lymph node represent metastatic disease. Case summaries have evolved to include clinical, radiographic, morphologic, immunohistochemical, and molecular results in an effort to guide clinical management. Adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy can significantly alter histologic findings, making accurate classification an increasingly complex and demanding task. This protocol tries to remain simple while still incorporating important pathologic features as proposed by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) cancer staging manual, the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of tumors, the TNM classification, the American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer, and the International Union on Cancer (UICC). This protocol is to be used as a guide and resource, an adjunct to diagnosing and managing cancers of the oral cavity in a standardized manner. It should not be used as a substitute for dissection or grossing techniques and does not give histologic parameters to reach the diagnosis. Subjectivity is always a factor, and elements listed are not meant to be arbitrary but are meant to provide uniformity of reporting across all the disciplines that use the information. It is a foundation of practical information that will help to meet the requirements of daily practice to benefit both clinicians and patients alike.
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B. Anatomic Sites and Subsites for Lip and Oral Cavity (Figure 1)

Lip
- Mucosa of upper and lower lips

Oral Cavity
- Buccal mucosa
  - Cheek mucosa
  - Retromolar areas
    - Bucco-alveolar sulci, upper and lower (vestibule of mouth)
- Upper alveolus and gingiva (upper gum)
- Lower alveolus and gingiva (lower gum)
- Hard palate
- Tongue
  - Dorsal surface and lateral borders anterior to circumvallate papillae (anterior two-thirds)
  - Inferior (ventral) surface
- Floor of mouth

The protocol applies to all carcinomas arising at these sites.
Mucosal Lip. The mucosal lip begins at the junction of the vermilion border with the skin and includes only the vermilion surface or that portion of the lip that comes in contact with the opposing lip. It is well defined into an upper and lower lip joined at the commissures of the mouth. For staging purposes, tumors of the dry vermilion lip and commissure are now grouped with cutaneous sites given their shared pathogenesis and similar embryologic origin of these subsites to skin; only mucosal sites are covered by this protocol.

Buccal Mucosa (Inner Cheek). This includes all the membrane lining of the inner surface of the cheeks and lips from the line of contact of the opposing lips to the line of attachment of mucosa of the alveolar ridge (upper and lower) and pterygomandibular raphe.

Lower Alveolar Ridge. This refers to the mucosa overlying the alveolar process of the mandible, which extends from the line of attachment of mucosa in the buccal gutter to the line of free mucosa of the floor of the mouth. Posteriorly it extends to the ascending ramus of the mandible.

Upper Alveolar Ridge. This refers to the mucosa overlying the alveolar process of the maxilla, which extends from the line of attachment of mucosa in the upper gingival buccal gutter to the junction of the hard palate. Its posterior margin is the upper end of the pterygopalatine arch.

Retromolar Gingiva (Retromolar Trigone). This is the attached mucosa overlying the ascending ramus of the mandible from the level of the posterior surface of the last molar tooth and the apex superiorly, adjacent to the tuberosity of the maxilla.

Floor of the Mouth. This is a semilunar space over the myelohyoid and hypoglossus muscles, extending from the inner surface of the lower alveolar ridge to the undersurface of the tongue. Its posterior boundary is the base of the anterior pillar of the tonsil. It is divided into 2 sides of the submaxillary and sublingual salivary glands.

Hard Palate. This is the semilunar area between the upper alveolar ridge and the mucous membrane covering the palatine process of the maxillary palatine bones. It extends from the inner surface of the superior alveolar ridge to the posterior edge of the palatine bone.

Anterior Two-Thirds of the Tongue (Oral Tongue). This is the freely mobile portion of the tongue that extends anteriorly from the line of circumvallate papillae to the undersurface of the tongue at the junction of the floor of the mouth. It is composed of 4 areas: the tip, the lateral borders, the dorsum, and the undersurface (nonvillous ventral surface of the tongue). The undersurface of the tongue is considered a separate category by the WHO.
Figure 1. Diagrams illustrating the oral cavity anatomic subsites. Figure courtesy of Beth Israel Medical Center, St. Luke’s and Roosevelt Hospitals, New York.

C. Histologic Type
A modification of the WHO classification of carcinomas of the oral cavity including the lip is shown below.¹ This list may not be complete. This protocol applies only to carcinomas and melanomas but does not apply to lymphomas or sarcomas.
Carcinomas of the Oral Cavity

- Squamous cell carcinoma, conventional
- Acantholytic squamous cell carcinoma
- Adenosquamous carcinoma
- Basaloid squamous cell carcinoma
- Carcinoma cuniculatum
- Papillary squamous cell carcinoma
- Spindle cell squamous cell carcinoma
- Verrucous squamous cell carcinoma
- Lymphoepithelial carcinoma

Carcinomas of Minor Salivary Glands

- Mucoepidermoid carcinoma
- Adenoid cystic carcinoma
- Acinic cell carcinoma
- Polymorphous adenocarcinoma
- (Mammary analogue) secretory carcinoma
- Salivary duct carcinoma
- Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma
- Epithelial-myoeipithelial carcinoma
- (Hyalinizing) clear cell carcinoma
- Adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified
- Basal cell adenocarcinoma
- Carcinosarcoma
- Intraductal carcinoma
- Myoepithelial carcinoma
- Oncocytic carcinoma

Neuroendocrine Carcinoma

- Well-differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma (typical carcinoid tumor)
- Moderately differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma (atypical carcinoid tumor)
- Poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma, small cell type
- Poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma, large cell type
- Combined (or composite) neuroendocrine carcinoma (specify types)
Mucosal Melanoma
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D. Histologic Grade
For histologic types of carcinomas that are amenable to grading, 3 histologic grades are suggested, as shown below. For conventional squamous cell carcinoma, histologic grading as a whole does not perform well as a prognosticator. Nonetheless, it should be recorded when applicable, as it is a basic tumor characteristic. Selecting either the most prevalent grade or the highest grade for this synoptic protocol is acceptable. Variants of squamous cell carcinoma (ie, verrucous, basaloid, etc) have an intrinsic biologic potential and currently do not appear to require grading.

Grade 1 Well differentiated
Grade 2 Moderately differentiated
Grade 3 Poorly differentiated
Grade X Cannot be assessed

The histologic (microscopic) grading of salivary gland carcinomas has been shown to be an independent predictor of behavior and plays a role in optimizing therapy. Further, there is often a positive correlation between histologic grade and clinical stage. However, most salivary gland carcinoma types have an intrinsic biologic behavior, and attempted application of a universal grading scheme is merely a crude surrogate. Thus, a generic grading scheme is no longer recommended for salivary gland carcinomas.

Carcinoma types for which grading systems exist and are relevant are incorporated into histologic type. The 3 major categories that are amenable to grading include adenoid cystic carcinoma, mucoepidermoid carcinoma, and adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified.

In some carcinomas, histologic grading may be based on growth pattern, such as in adenoid cystic carcinoma, for which a histologic high-grade variant has been recognized based on the percentage of solid growth. Those adenoid cystic carcinomas showing 30% or greater of solid growth pattern are considered to be histologically high-grade carcinomas. The histologic grading of mucoepidermoid carcinoma includes a combination of growth pattern characteristics (eg, cystic, solid, neurotropism) and cytomorphologic findings (eg, anaplasia, mitoses, necrosis). Adenocarcinomas, not otherwise specified, do not have a formalized grading scheme and are graded intuitively based on cytomorphologic features. Polymorphous adenocarcinomas are to be graded as per current WHO recommendations, though these are also graded intuitively as there are no listed criteria.

Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma is subclassified by histologic type and or grade and extent of invasion, the latter including minimally invasive, widely invasive, and intracapsular (noninvasive) cancers. Previously the cut-off for minimal invasion was designated as 1.5 mm; however, more recent studies have shown a favorable prognosis even with cut-offs of 4 mm to 6 mm. Thus there is no agreement on an optimal cut-off. However, from a practical standpoint, the terms *intracapsular* and *minimally invasive* should only be applied to uninodeular tumors (as opposed to carcinomas arising in multinodular recurrent pleomorphic adenomas) with a well-delineated interface for which the entire lesional border has been microscopically evaluated. Prognosis has been linked to degree of invasion, with noninvasive and minimally invasive cancers apparently having a better prognosis than invasive cancers.
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E. Tumor Thickness / Depth of Invasion

The microscopic measurement of tumor thickness or depth of invasion (DOI) has long been considered a valuable parameter for predicting regional nodal involvement and survival in oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma. Proper gross techniques (avoidance of tangential cuts and serial sectioning of the lesion at 2-3 mm intervals) will facilitate subsequent microscopic assessment. While thickness and DOI are often regarded as synonymous, they have slight differences. Thickness is usually measured from the mucosal surface of the tumor to the deepest point of tissue invasion in a perpendicular fashion with an optical micrometer or transparent ruler overlaid on the slide, while DOI is measured from the basement membrane of adjacent normal to the deepest point of invasion of the tumor. AJCC 8th edition now uses DOI for staging and a standard approach is outlined in Figure 2, A and B.
Figure 2, A. Depth of invasion (DOI). The horizon is established at the level of the basement membrane relative to the closest intact squamous mucosa. The greatest DOI is measured by dropping a “plumb line” from the horizon. From AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 8th ed. New York: Springer; 2017. © American Joint Committee on Cancer. Reproduced with permission.

Figure 2, B. Depth of invasion (DOI) in an ulcerated carcinoma. Notice how “tumor thickness” would be deceptively thinner than DOI. From AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 8th ed. New York: Springer; 2017. © American Joint Committee on Cancer. Reproduced with permission.
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F. Perineural Invasion

Traditionally, the presence of perineural invasion (neurotropism) is an important predictor of poor prognosis in head and neck cancer of virtually all sites. The presence of perineural invasion (neurotropism) in the primary cancer is associated with poor local disease control and regional control, as well as being associated with metastasis to regional lymph nodes. Further, perineural invasion is associated with decrease in disease-specific survival and overall survival. There is conflicting data relative to an association between the presence of perineural invasion and the development of distant metastasis, with some studies showing an increased association with distant metastasis, while other studies showing no correlation with distant metastasis. The relationship between perineural invasion and prognosis is independent of nerve diameter. Additionally, emerging evidence suggests that extratumoral perineural invasion may be more prognostically relevant. Although perineural invasion of small unnamed nerves may not produce clinical symptoms, the reporting of perineural invasion includes nerves of all sizes including small peripheral nerves (ie, less than 1 mm in diameter). Aside from the impact on prognosis, the presence of perineural invasion also guides therapy. Concurrent adjuvant chemoradiation therapy has been shown to improve outcomes in patients with perineural invasion (as well as in patients with extranodal extension and bone invasion). Given the significance relative to prognosis and treatment, perineural invasion is a required data element in the reporting of head and neck cancers. Extent of perineural invasion is an emerging element, and features such as extratumoral extent are suggested for reporting.
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G. Worst Pattern of Invasion (WPOI)

Worst pattern of invasion (WPOI) has been validated as a prognosticator for oral cavity squamous carcinomas. While there are 5 patterns noted, distinction between WPOI-5 and other patterns is what is most relevant. WPOI-5 is defined by tumor dispersion ≥1 mm between tumor satellites. Examples of pattern 5 are shown in Figure 3. WPOI has been validated on multivariate analysis in oral tumors, also specifically in low stage tumors. However, WPOI can be viewed as redundant and only optional for reporting purposes as extratumoral perineural invasion (PNI), and angiolymphatic invasion also count as WPOI-5.
Figure 3. A. Low-power overview demonstrating generalized tumor dispersion, which is measured at the advancing tumor edge. Carcinoma satellites in the green box are shown in B., lower edge. The green line denotes spread of almost 2 mm, fulfilling criteria for WPOI-5. C. This carcinoma reveals rare dispersed satellites fulfilling this criteria, likely due to extratumoral lymphovascular emboli. From AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 8th ed. New York: Springer; 2017. © American Joint Committee on Cancer. Reproduced with permission.
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H. Surgical Margins
The definition of a positive margin is somewhat controversial given the varied results from prior studies. However, overall, several studies support the definition of a positive margin to be invasive carcinoma or carcinoma in situ/high-grade dysplasia present at margins (microscopic cut-through of tumor). Furthermore, reporting of surgical margins should also include information regarding the distance of invasive carcinoma, carcinoma in situ, or high-grade dysplasia (moderate to severe) from the surgical margin. Tumors with “close” margins also carry an increased risk for local recurrence. The definition of a
“close” margin is not standardized as the effective cut-off varies between studies and between anatomic subsites. Commonly used cut points to define close margins are 5 mm in general and 2 mm with respect to glottic larynx. However, values ranging from 3 mm to 7 mm have been used with success and for glottic tumors as low as 1 mm. Thus, distance of tumor from the nearest margin should be recorded.

Regarding what actually represents the relevant margin status, it becomes increasingly clear that margins obtained from the main resection specimen are of more reliable prognostic value. The clinical value of tumor bed margins (ie, margins taken separately) is often undermined by their uncertain origin with respect to the main resection, infrequent orientation as to the new margin surface, and fragmentation. Biopsies of tumor bed (or tumor bed margins) have low sensitivity for detecting a positive margin from the actual resection specimen and, by definition, cannot identify “close” resection specimen margins. It is then justifiable to report the specimen margin status separately from the tumor bed margin status (see below). Of note, these findings have also been reported in other anatomic sites.

Nonetheless, tumor bed margin status is still utilized in various practice settings for patient management. However, the challenge for pathologists is to arrive at a “final” margin status, integrating both tumor bed and specimen margin status. As it is in multi-part resections, the pathologist’s ability to confidently establish the relationship between the main resected specimen and additional, separately submitted parts and to assess the adequacy of excision is compromised.

To optimize reporting, both specimen margin and tumor bed margin status should thus be reported separately. The “final” margin status then becomes a multidisciplinary integration of these findings. For instance, in cases with differing margin statuses (ie, resection specimen margin positive, corresponding tumor bed margin negative), the small size and lack of orientation of the tumor bed margin may preclude a reliable conversion to final negative margin. Conversely, in some cases the tumor bed specimen (eg, revision of margin) may be a reliable indicator of a true final margin. This is a judgment call that requires close interaction between the surgeon and pathologist, but, generally, the following basic requirements are met: (1) tumor bed margins are quite large (ie, thick enough to be readily processed as radial margins and large enough to match the corresponding aspect of the main specimen margin); (2) are oriented as to the new true margin surface (by ink or stitch); (3) the physical relationship between the main resection specimen and additional tumor bed margins is confirmed by pathologist and surgeon (usually through unequivocal labeling, and even fitting the tumor bed margin to the main specimen). In such a case, the tumor bed margin could be considered a final margin.

Reporting of surgical margins for carcinomas of the minor salivary glands should follow those used for squamous cell carcinoma of oral cavity.

**Dysplasia**

The types of intraepithelial dysplasia of the upper aerodigestive tract (UADT) include nonkeratinizing ("classic") dysplasia and keratinizing dysplasia. Of the 2 types of dysplasias, the keratinizing dysplasias are significantly more common than the nonkeratinizing dysplasias. Unlike laryngeal dysplasia, a 3-tier system for oral dysplasia is retained (see also note O). Generally, mild dysplasia at a margin is considered low risk and negative, while severe dysplasia at margin is considered high risk and positive. Moderate dysplasia at margin implies an intermediate risk and is reported as positive.

**Orientation of Specimen**

Complex specimens should be examined and oriented with the assistance of the operating surgeon(s). Direct communication between the surgeon and pathologist is a critical component in specimen orientation and proper sectioning. Whenever possible, the tissue examination request form should include a drawing or photograph of the resected specimen showing the extent of the tumor and its relation to the anatomic
structures of the region. The lines and extent of the resection can be depicted on preprinted adhesive labels and attached to the surgical pathology request forms.
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I. Lymph Nodes

Measurement of Tumor Metastasis

The cross-sectional diameter of the largest lymph node metastasis (not the lymph node itself) is measured in the gross specimen at the time of macroscopic examination or, if necessary, on the histologic slide at the time of microscopic examination.
Special Procedures for Lymph Nodes
At the current time, no additional special techniques are required other than routine histology for the assessment of nodal metastases. Immunohistochemistry and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect isolated tumor cells are considered investigational techniques at this time.

Lymph Node Number
For assessment of pN, a selective neck dissection will ordinarily include 10 or more lymph nodes, and a comprehensive neck dissection (radical or modified radical neck dissection) will ordinarily include 15 or more lymph nodes. In oral cavity, a minimal adequate dissection of 18 lymph nodes has been proposed but not yet validated. Examination of fewer tumor-free nodes still mandates a pN0 designation.

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN0): Isolated Tumor Cells
Isolated tumor cells (ITCs) are single cells or small clusters of cells not more than 0.2 mm in greatest dimension. While the generic recommendation is that for lymph nodes with ITCs found by either histologic examination, immunohistochemistry, or nonmorphologic techniques (eg, flow cytometry, DNA analysis, PCR amplification of a specific tumor marker), they should be classified as N0 or M0, respectively. Evidence for the validity of this practice in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and other histologic subtypes is lacking. In fact, rare studies relevant to head and neck sites indicate that isolated tumor cells may actually be a poor prognosticator in terms of local control.

For purposes of pathologic evaluation, lymph nodes are organized by levels as shown in Figure 4.

Classification of Neck Dissection
1. Radical neck dissection
2. Modified radical neck dissection, internal jugular vein and/or sternocleidomastoid muscle spared
3. Selective neck dissection (SND), as specified by the surgeon (Figure 3), defined by dissection of less than the 5 traditional levels of a radical and modified radical neck dissection. The following dissections are now under this category:
   a. Supraomohyoid neck dissection
   b. Posterolateral neck dissection
   c. Lateral neck dissection
   d. Central compartment neck dissection
4. Superselective neck dissection (SSND), a relatively new term defined by dissection of the fibrofatty elements of 2 or less levels
5. Extended radical neck dissection, as specified by the surgeon
Figure 4. The 6 sublevels of the neck for describing the location of lymph nodes within levels I, II, and V. Level IA, submental group; level IB, submandibular group; level IIA, upper jugular nodes along the carotid sheath, including the subdigastric group; level IIB, upper jugular nodes in the submuscular recess; level VA, spinal accessory nodes; and level VB, the supraclavicular and transverse cervical nodes. From: Flint PW, et al, eds. Cummings Otolaryngology: Head and Neck Surgery. 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA; Saunders: 2010. Reproduced with permission © Elsevier.

In order for pathologists to properly identify these nodes, they must be familiar with the terminology of the regional lymph node groups and with the relationships of those groups to the regional anatomy. Which lymph node groups surgeons submit for histopathologic evaluation depends on the type of neck dissection they perform. Therefore, surgeons must supply information on the types of neck dissections that they perform and on the details of the local anatomy in the specimens they submit for examination or, in other manners, orient those specimens for pathologists.

If it is not possible to assess the levels of lymph nodes (for instance, when the anatomic landmarks in the excised specimens are not specified), then the lymph node levels may be estimated as follows: level II, upper third of internal jugular (IJ) vein or neck specimen; level III, middle third of IJ vein or neck specimen; level IV, lower third of IJ vein or neck specimen, all anterior to the sternocleidomastoid muscle.

**Level I. Submental Group (Sublevel IA)**
Lymph nodes within the triangular boundary of the anterior belly of the digastric muscles and the hyoid bone.

**Level I. Submandibular Group (Sublevel IB)**
Lymph nodes within the boundaries of the anterior and posterior bellies of the digastric muscle and the body of the mandible. The submandibular gland is included in the specimen when the lymph nodes within this triangle are removed.

**Level II. Upper Jugular Group (Sublevels IIA and IIB)**
Lymph nodes located around the upper third of the internal jugular vein and adjacent spinal accessory nerve extending from the level of the carotid bifurcation (surgical landmark) or hyoid bone (clinical landmark)
to the skull base. The posterior boundary is the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, and the anterior boundary is the lateral border of the stylohyoid muscle.

**Level III. Middle Jugular Group**
Lymph nodes located around the middle third of the internal jugular vein extending from the carotid bifurcation superiorly to the omohyoid muscle (surgical landmark), or cricothyroid notch (clinical landmark) inferiorly. The posterior boundary is the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, and the anterior boundary is the lateral border of the sternohyoid muscle.

**Level IV. Lower Jugular Group**
Lymph nodes located around the lower third of the internal jugular vein extending from the omohyoid muscle superiorly to the clavicle inferiorly. The posterior boundary is the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, and the anterior boundary is the lateral border of the sternohyoid muscle.

**Level V. Posterior Triangle Group (Sublevels VA and VB)**
This group comprises predominantly the lymph nodes located along the lower half of the spinal accessory nerve and the transverse cervical artery. The supraclavicular nodes are also included in this group. The posterior boundary of the posterior triangle is the anterior border of the trapezius muscle, the anterior boundary of the posterior triangle is the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, and the inferior boundary of the posterior triangle is the clavicle.

**Level VI. Anterior (Central) Compartment**
Lymph nodes in this compartment include the pre- and paratracheal nodes, precricoid (Delphian) node, and the perithyroidal nodes, including the lymph nodes along the recurrent laryngeal nerve. The superior boundary is the hyoid bone, the inferior boundary is the suprasternal notch, the lateral boundaries are the common carotid arteries, and the posterior boundary by the prevertebral fascia.

**Level VII. Superior Mediastinal Lymph Nodes**
Metastases at level VII are considered regional lymph node metastases; all other mediastinal lymph node metastases are considered distant metastases.

Lymph node groups removed from areas not included in the above levels, eg, scalene, suboccipital, and retropharyngeal, should be identified and reported from all levels separately. Midline nodes are considered ipsilateral nodes.

**Extranodal Extension**
The status of cervical lymph nodes is the single most important prognostic factor in aerodigestive cancer. All macroscopically negative or equivocal lymph nodes should be submitted in toto. Grossly positive nodes may be partially submitted for microscopic documentation of metastasis. Reporting of lymph nodes containing metastasis should include whether there is presence or absence of extranodal extension (ENE).\(^3\) which is now part of N staging. This finding consists of extension of metastatic tumor, present within the confines of the lymph node, through the lymph node capsule into the surrounding connective tissue, with or without associated stromal reaction. A distance of extension from the native lymph node capsule is now suggested (but not yet required) with the proposed stratification of ENE into ENE\(_{na}\) (>2 mm) and ENE\(_{mi}\) (≤2 mm).\(^10,11,12,13\) However, pitfalls in the measurement (ie, in larger, matted lymph nodes, in nodes post fine-needle aspiration, and in nodes with near total replacement of lymph node architecture) and the disposition of soft tissue deposits is still not resolved. In general, absence of ENE in a large (>3 cm) lymph node, especially with traversing fibrous bands, should be viewed with skepticism. Soft tissue deposits for
lymph node metastases based on limited studies appear to be the equivalent of a positive lymph node with ENE and should be recorded as such.14
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J. TNM and Stage Groupings
The protocol recommends the TNM staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer. The 2 key significant alterations in the 8th edition for lip and oral cavity are the incorporation of depth of invasion (DOI) into T stage and extranodal extension (ENE) into N stage. In essence, DOI increases the T category by 1 for each 5 mm of tumor depth (until ≥10 mm). Similarly, pathologic ENE(+) will increase the nodal category by 1.

The 8th edition of the AJCC staging of head and neck cancers includes mucosal melanomas; this does not show significant changes from the 7th edition. Approximately two-thirds of mucosal melanomas arise in the
sinonasal tract, one quarter are found in the oral cavity and the remainder occur only sporadically in other mucosal sites of the head and neck. Even small cancers behave aggressively with high rates of recurrence and death. To reflect this aggressive behavior, primary cancers limited to the mucosa are considered T3 lesions. Advanced mucosal melanomas are classified as T4a and T4b. The anatomic extent criteria to define moderately advanced (T4a) and very advanced (T4b) disease are given below. The AJCC staging for mucosal melanomas does not provide for the histologic definition of a T3 lesion; as the majority of mucosal melanomas are invasive at presentation, mucosal based melanomas (T3 lesions) include those lesions that involve either the epithelium and/or lamina propria of the involved site. Rare examples of in situ mucosal melanomas occur, but in situ mucosal melanomas are excluded from staging, as they are extremely rare.3

Carcinomas of minor salivary glands of the upper aerodigestive tract site, including the oral cavity, are staged according to schemes corresponding to the anatomic site of occurrence. There is no currently accepted staging for central (primary intraosseous) salivary gland tumors.

By AJCC/UICC convention, the designation “T” refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol “p” refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and based on clinical stage information supplemented/modified by operative findings and gross and microscopic evaluation of the resected specimens.4 pT entails a resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, pN entails removal of nodes adequate to validate lymph node metastasis, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient or when pathologic classification is not possible.

Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (eg, when technically unfeasible) and if the highest T and N categories or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

**TNM Descriptors**

For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the “m” suffix and “y” and “r” prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

The “m” suffix indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

The “y” prefix indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or following initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a “y” prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The “y” categorization is not an estimate of tumor prior to multimodality therapy (ie, before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

The “r” prefix indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval, and is identified by the “r” prefix: rTNM.
Additional Descriptors

Residual Tumor (R)

Tumor remaining in a patient after therapy with curative intent (e.g., surgical resection for cure) is categorized by a system known as R classification, shown below.

RX  Presence of residual tumor cannot be assessed
R0  No residual tumor
R1  Microscopic residual tumor
R2  Macroscopic residual tumor

For the surgeon, the R classification may be useful to indicate the known or assumed status of the completeness of a surgical excision. For the pathologist, the R classification is relevant to the status of the margins of a surgical resection specimen. That is, tumor involving the resection margin on pathologic examination may be assumed to correspond to residual tumor in the patient and may be classified as macroscopic or microscopic according to the findings at the specimen margin(s).
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K. Dysplasia of the Upper Aerodigestive Tract (UADT)

In contrast to the uterine cervix in which the nonkeratinizing ("classic") form of epithelial dysplasia is most common, resulting in a reproducible and clinically useful grading scheme of mild, moderate, and severe dysplasia (i.e., carcinoma in situ), the majority of the UADT mucosal lesions fall under the designation of keratinizing dysplasias, for which the aforementioned criteria are not as easily applied. Traditional assessment of dysplasia utilizes a "rule of thirds" approach, categorizing cytonuclear and architectural abnormalities confined to the basal one-third as mild dysplasia, mid one-third as moderate dysplasia, and upper one-third as severe dysplasia. The difficulty in applying this as the sole mechanism to assess keratinizing lesions is that there is frequent surface maturation, which may lead to downgrading a high-risk lesion with severe atypia restricted to the bottom third of the epithelium. In such instances, it is acceptable to deviate from this rule of thirds and upgrade a lesion as more biologically appropriate. While the current WHO has moved to a 2-tiered scheme for laryngeal dysplasia, oral dysplasia is still graded using 3 tiers, though a provisional 2-tier system is presented. Risk of progression for mild, moderate, and severe dysplasias in oral cavity are estimated at 6%, 18%, and 39%, respectively.2

References