

Protocol for the Examination of Myeloid and Mixed / Ambiguous Lineage Neoplasms

Version: 1.1.0.0

Protocol Posting Date: June 2024

The use of this protocol is recommended for clinical care purposes but is not required for accreditation purposes.

This protocol applies to Myeloid and Mixed / Ambiguous Lineage Neoplasms involving bone marrow, blood, cutaneous, extranodal / mucosal, or any other anatomic site.

The following tumor types should be reported using this protocol:

Tumor Type
Myeloid precursor lesions
Myeloproliferative neoplasms
Mastocytosis
Myelodysplastic neoplasms with defining genetic abnormalities
Myelodysplastic neoplasms, morphologically defined
Myelodysplastic neoplasms of childhood
Myelodysplastic / myeloproliferative neoplasms
Acute myeloid leukemias with defining genetic abnormalities
Acute myeloid leukemias, defined by differentiation
Myeloid sarcoma
Myeloid / lymphoid neoplasms with eosinophilia and defining gene rearrangement
Acute leukemias of mixed or ambiguous lineage
Plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasms

The following tumor types should NOT be reported using this protocol:

Tumor Type

Precursor lymphoid malignancies including B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma and precursor T-cell neoplasms (use Precursor and Mature Lymphoid Malignancies protocol)

Langerhans cells neoplasms

Indeterminate dendritic cell tumor

Interdigitating dendritic cell sarcoma

Histiocytic neoplasms including Juvenile xanthogranuloma, Erdheim-Chester disease, Rosai-Dorfman disease, ALK-positive histiocytosis, Histiocytic sarcoma

Follicular dendritic cell neoplasms

Myofibroblastic tumor

Splenic vascular stromal tumors

Authors

Robert W. Allan, MD*; L. Jeffrey Medeiros, MD; Robert Seifert, MD; Claudio A. Mosse, MD, PhD; Samer Z. Al-Quran, MD; Joseph D. Khoury, MD.

With guidance from the CAP Cancer and CAP Pathology Electronic Reporting Committees.

* Denotes primary author.

Accreditation Requirements

The use of this case summary is recommended for clinical care purposes but is not required for accreditation purposes. The core and conditional data elements are routinely reported. Non-core data elements are indicated with a plus sign (+) to allow for reporting information that may be of clinical value.

Summary of Changes

v 1.1.0.0

• Typographical correction of mutation under Molecular Alterations Detected question in SPECIAL STUDIES section from "interim" to "internal"

Re	porti	na T	em	olat	е
	poi (ii	'' g '	U 11	piut	•

Protocol Posting Date: June 2024
Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

CASE SUMMARY: (MYELOID AND MIXED / AMBIGUOUS LINEAGE NEOPLASMS)

TUMOR	(Note <u>A</u>)
-------	------------------

Site of Tumor Involvement in Sample (select most in	nvolved site)
Bone marrow	
Specify Percent Blasts / Blast Equivalents:	%
Specify Percent Marrow Cellularity:	%
Blood	
Specify Percent Blasts / Blast Equivalents:	%
Anterior mediastinum	
Lymph node	
Cutaneous	
Extranodal / mucosal site	
Other (specify):	

Final Integrated Diagnosis (Note B)

The Myeloid and Mixed / Ambiguous Lineage Neoplasms Cancer Case Summary is not required to be completed for Myeloid precursor lesions.

+____ Myeloid precursor lesions#

- ____ Clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP)
- ____ Clonal cytopenias of undetermined significance (CCUS)
- ____ Other myeloid precursor lesion (specify): _____

__ Myeloproliferative neoplasms

- ____ Chronic myeloid leukemia, chronic phase
- ____ Chronic myeloid leukemia, blast phase
- ____ Chronic neutrophilic leukemia
- ____ Chronic eosinophilic leukemia
- ____ Polycythemia vera
- ____ Essential thrombocythemia
- Primary myelofibrosis, pre-fibrotic
- ____ Primary myelofibrosis, fibrotic
- ____ Juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia
- ____ Myeloproliferative neoplasm, NOS
- ____ Other myeloproliferative neoplasm (specify): _____
- __ Mastocytosis
- ____ Bone marrow mastocytosis
- ____ Indolent systemic mastocytosis
- ____ Smoldering systemic mastocytosis
- ____ Aggressive systemic mastocytosis
- ____ Systemic mastocytosis with an associated hematologic neoplasm
- ____ Mast cell leukemia

> Mast cell sarcoma Other mastocytosis (specify): Mvelodvsplastic neoplasms Myelodysplastic neoplasms with defining genetic abnormalities ____ Myelodysplastic neoplasm with low blasts and 5q deletion Myelodysplastic neoplasm with low blasts and SF3B1 mutation Myelodysplastic neoplasm with biallelic TP53 inactivation Myelodysplastic neoplasms, morphologically defined Myelodysplastic neoplasm with low blasts Myelodysplastic neoplasm, hypoplastic ____ Myelodysplastic neoplasm with increased blasts-1 (MDS-IB1) Myelodysplastic neoplasm with increased blasts-2 (MDS-IB2) ____ Myelodysplastic neoplasm with increased blasts and fibrosis (MDS-F) Other myelodysplastic neoplasm (specify): Myelodysplastic neoplasms of childhood Childhood myelodysplastic neoplasm with low blasts Childhood myelodysplastic neoplasm with increased blasts Other myelodysplastic neoplasm of childhood (specify): Myelodysplastic / myeloproliferative neoplasms ____ Myelodysplastic chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (MD-CMML), CMML-1 ____ Myelodysplastic chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (MD-CMML), CMML-2 Myeloproliferative chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (MP-CMML), CMML-1 ____ Myeloproliferative chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (MP-CMML), CMML-2 Myelodysplastic neoplasm with neutrophilia ____ Myelodysplastic neoplasm with SF3B1 mutation and thrombocytosis Myelodysplastic neoplasm, NOS ____ Other myelodysplastic / myeloproliferative neoplasm (specify): _____ Acute myeloid leukemias Acute myeloid leukemias with defining genetic abnormalities ____ Acute promyelocytic leukemia with PML::RARA fusion ____ Acute myeloid leukemia with RUNX1::RUNX1T1 fusion ____ Acute myeloid leukemia with CBFB::MYH11 fusion Acute myeloid leukemia with DEK::NUP214 fusion ____ Acute myeloid leukemia with RBM15::MRTFA fusion ____ Acute myeloid leukemia with BCR::ABL1 fusion Acute myeloid leukemia with KMT2A rearrangement Acute myeloid leukemia with MECOM rearrangement ____ Acute myeloid leukemia with NUP98 rearrangement ____ Acute myeloid leukemia with NPM1 mutation ____ Acute myeloid leukemia with CEBPA mutation Acute myeloid leukemia, myelodysplasia-related Acute myeloid leukemia with other defined genetic alterations (specify, if possible): Acute myeloid leukemias, defined by differentiation

____ Acute myeloid leukemia with minimal differentiation

____ Acute myeloid leukemia without maturation

____ Acute myeloid leukemia with maturation

CAP	
Approved	

Acute basophilic leukemia
Acute myelomonocytic leukemia
Acute monocytic leukemia
Pure erythroid leukemia
Acute megakaryoblastic leukemia
Other acute myeloid leukemia (specify):
Myeloid sarcoma
Myeloid sarcoma (specily myeloid neoplasm):
Myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy (specify neoplasm and cytotoxic therapy, if possible):
Transient abnormal myelopoiesis associated with Down syndrome
Myeloid leukemia associated with Down syndrome
Other myeloid neoplasm and / or proliferation associated with antecedent or predisposing condition (specify):
Myeloid / lymphoid neoplasms
Myeloid / lymphoid neoplasms with eosinophilia and defining gene rearrangement
Myeloid / lymphoid neoplasm with PDGFRA rearrangement
Myeloid / lymphoid neoplasm with PDGFRB rearrangement
Myeloid / lymphoid neoplasm with FGFR1 rearrangement
Myeloid / lymphoid neoplasm with JAK2 rearrangement
Myeloid / lymphoid neoplasm with FLT3 rearrangement
Myeloid / lymphoid neoplasm with ETV6::ABL1 fusion
Myeloid / lymphoid neoplasms with other tyrosine kinase fusion genes
Other myeloid / lymphoid neoplasm (specify):
Acute leukemias of mixed or ambiguous lineage
Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia with BCR::ABL1 fusion
Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia with KMT2A rearrangement
Acute leukemia of ambiguous lineage with other defined genetic alterations
Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia, B / myeloid
Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia, T / myeloid
Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia, rare types
Acute leukemia of ambiguous lineage, NOS
Acute undifferentiated leukemia
Other acute leukemia of mixed or ambiguous lineage (specify):
Plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasms
Blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm (BPDCN)
Other plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm (specify):
Dysplasia# (select all that apply)
Applicable only for myeloid malignancies
Not applicable

- Absent
- ____ Erythroid ____ Granulocytic

Heme.Myeloid_Mixed_Amb.Bx.Res_1.1.0.0.REL_CAPCP

CAP Approved

____ Megakaryocytic

____ Cannot be determined (explain): _____

SPECIAL STUDIES (Note C)

Ring Sideroblasts / Iron Stain

- ____ Not applicable / not performed
- ____ Not evaluable
- ____ No ring sideroblasts seen on iron stain
- ____ Positive for ring sideroblasts on iron stain (less than 15%)
- ____ Positive for ring sideroblasts on iron stain (greater than or equal to 15%)

Bone Marrow Fibrosis Grade

- ____ Not applicable / not performed
- ____ MF-0
- MF-1
- ____ MF-2
- ____ MF-3

Flow Cytometry

- ____ Not performed
- ____ No aberrancy detected at level of sensitivity of assay
- ____ Abnormal population (specify immunophenotype, if possible): _____
- ____ Pending

Conventional Cytogenetics

- Not performed
- ____ Normal diploid karyotype
- ____ Abnormal karyotype (specify, if possible): _____
- ____ Pending

Fluorescence in situ Hybridization (select all that apply)

- ____ Not performed
- ____ Normal probes (specify loci tested): _____
- ____ Abnormal probes (specify loci tested): _____
- ____ Pending

Molecular Alterations Detected# (select all that apply)

Select all those with significant mutations

- ____ ASXL1 mutation (specify): _____
- ____ BCOR mutation (specify): _____
- ____ BCORL1 mutation (specify): _____
- ____ BCR::ABL1 p190 fusion transcript (specify): _____
- ____ BCR::ABL1 p210 fusion transcript (specify): _____
- BCR::ABL1, unspecified transcript (specify):
- ____ BRAF mutation (specify): _____

CALR mutation (specify):
CBFB::MYH11 fusion (specify):
CEBPA (mono-allelic) mutation (specify):
CBL mutation (specify):
CTCF mutation (specify):
CSF3R mutation (specify):
DEK::NUP214 fusion (specify):
DNMT3A mutation (specify):
ETV6 mutation (specify):
ETV6::RUNX1 fusion (specify):
EZH2 mutation (specify):
FGFR1 rearrangement (specify):
FLT3 internal tandem duplication (ITD) (specify):
FLT3 p.D865 tyrosine kinase domain (specify):
GATA2 mutation (specify):
GNAS mutation (specify):
HRAS mutation (specify):
IDH1 mutation (specify):
IDH2 mutation (specify):
IGH::IL3 rearrangement (specify):
IKZF1 mutation (specify):
JAK2 mutation (specify):
JAK3 mutation (specify):
KIT mutation (specify):
KMT2A rearrangement (specify):
KRAS mutation (specify):
MECOM rearrangement (specify):
MPL mutation (specify):
MYD88 mutation (specify):
NF1 mutation (specify):
NOTCH1 mutation (specify):
NPM1 mutation (specify):
NRAS mutation (specify):
NUP98 rearrangement (specify):
PDGFRA rearrangement (specify):
PDGFRB rearrangement (specify):
PCM1::JAK2 fusion (specify):
PHF6 mutation (specify):
PML::RARA fusion (specify):
PTEN mutation (specify):
PTPN11 mutation (specify):
PRPF8 mutation (specify):
RB1 mutation (specify):
RBM15::MKL1 fusion (specify):
RUNX1 mutation (specify):
RUNX1::RUNX1T1 fusion (specify):

Heme.Myeloid_Mixed_Amb.Bx.Res_1.1.0.0.REL_CAPCP

CAP Approved

_ SETBP1 mutation (specify):	
_ SH2B3 mutation (specify):	
_ SF3B1 mutation (specify):	
_ SRSF2 mutation (specify):	
_ STAG2 mutation (specify):	
_ TET2 mutation (specify):	
_ TCF3::PBX1 rearrangement (specify):	
_ TCF3::HLF fusion rearrangement (specify): _	
_ TP53 mutation (specify):	
_ U2AF1 mutation (specify):	
_ WT1 mutation (specify):	_
_ ZRSR2 mutation (specify):	
_ Other alterations detected (specify):	
_ Pending:	

COMMENTS

Comment(s): _____

Explanatory Notes

A. Site of Involvement in Sample

Select the most significantly involved site of involvement in the sample as a single select choice. If both bone marrow and blood are involved, as is often the case, select bone marrow and report the bone marrow blast/blast equivalent percentage and the bone marrow cellularity. If the sample is blood or if the percentage of blasts is higher in the blood compared to bone marrow (i.e., more significant), select the option of blood and specify the blasts/blast equivalents.

For bone marrow samples, bone marrow aspirate smears stained with Wright-Giemsa or May-Grunwald-Giemsa should be used to perform a cell differential count to enumerate blasts and other nucleated cells in the bone marrow sample. While a 500-cell differential count is the traditional number used; studies indicate that 300-cell differential counts give similar results.¹ It is important to avoid hemodiluted areas that may not reflect bone marrow cellularity when performing the differential count. In those instances where the sample adequacy does not permit an adequate manual count, the best estimate of blasts can be given based on ancillary studies, typically immunohistochemistry for CD34 or other appropriate blast marker based on the known phenotype of the blast cells.

In addition, the overall bone marrow cellularity should be reported based on the area of hematopoietic bone marrow relative to the area of adipose tissue. This may be estimated on the bone marrow core biopsy specimen or intact areas of the bone marrow clot section. Bone marrow cellularity varies with age, but it is also important to note that cellularity may be lower in subcortical areas of bone marrow or may show significant heterogeneity and thus not be accurate in small samples.

References

 Ahmed A Abdulrahman, MD, Kirtesh H Patel, MD, Tong Yang, MD, David D Koch, PhD, Sarah M Sivers, MLS (ASCP)CM, Geoffrey H Smith, MD, David L Jaye, MD, Is a 500-Cell Count Necessary for Bone Marrow Differentials? A Proposed Analytical Method for Validating a Lower Cutoff, *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, Volume 150, Issue 1, July 2018, Pages 84–91, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/aqy034</u>.

B. Final Integrated Diagnosis

The final integrated diagnoses for the myeloid neoplasms are derived from the WHO 5th edition of Haematolymphoid Tumors.¹ This represents an update since the last revision of the WHO 4th edition in 2017 and reflects updates in the diagnostic criteria and increasing reliance of ancillary studies such as conventional karyotyping, fluorescence in-situ hybridization (FISH), and molecular genetic profiling- the latter increasingly utilizing large multigene interrogations utilizing next-generation sequencing with abilities to detect point mutations, insertions, deletions, copy number alterations, and gene rearrangements.

The final integrated diagnosis is categorized into the major subsections of the WHO 5th edition, including myeloid precursor lesions, myeloproliferative neoplasms, mastocytosis, myelodysplastic neoplasms, myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasms, acute myeloid leukemia, myeloid neoplasms, secondary, myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms, acute leukemias of mixed or ambiguous lineage and blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm. Leukemias of mixed lineage and ambiguous lineage are included in the myeloid neoplasm cancer case summary for ease of reporting, as these neoplasms will share more elements with

myeloid neoplasms and more frequently contain a myeloid component in addition to a lymphoid component.

Histiocytic/dendritic cell neoplasms with the exception of blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm, are excluded from the protocol due to infrequent involvement of blood and bone marrow and distinct clinicopathologic features; these include neoplasms of Langerhans cells (Langerhans cell histiocytosis, Langerhans cell sarcoma), indeterminate dendritic cell tumor, interdigitating dendritic cell sarcoma and histiocytic neoplasms (Juvenile xanthogranuloma, Erdheim-Chester disease, Rosai-Dorfman disease, ALK-positive histiocytosis, and histiocytic sarcoma).

Precursor myeloid lesions, clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP), and clonal cytopenia of undetermined significance (CCUS) are included as optional elements. These may have utility for some institutions for longitudinal tracking and documentation of pre-neoplastic states.

This cancer case summary contains certain common subtypes as the initial reporting option and includes staging/phase information as the primary diagnostic selection to emphasize its importance for these conditions and to ease reporting by minimizing additional deprecated selections. For example, chronic myeloid leukemia, chronic phase, and chronic myeloid leukemia, blast phase are listed as diagnostic choices instead of chronic myeloid leukemia with the option to further select chronic or blast phase (deprecated selections). Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML) includes both the subtype (myelodysplastic subtype vs. myeloproliferative subtype) and grading information (CMML-1 vs. CMML-2) as the primary choice (Myelodysplastic chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (MD-CMML), CMML-1).

This cancer case summary is designed to be used when a complete, integrated diagnosis can be rendered, including ancillary immunophenotypic, cytogenetic, and molecular results that are increasingly utilized to refine the diagnostic category. There may be some who wish to use this cancer summary to render a preliminary diagnosis prior to receipt of all pending ancillary studies; ideally, this approach would be used when a cancer case summary can be updated/addended/amended, or a new complete cancer protocol can be issued. To accommodate that use, one can use the "Other" category included as a diagnostic choice in each of the major sections of the cancer case summary. If using the "Other" option for cases pending further ancillary studies, it is strongly recommended to update the cancer case summary.

The full diagnostic criteria for the diagnostic categories in the WHO 5th edition are well summarized in the WHO monograph and beyond the scope of the explanatory notes. Essential diagnostic information is included in the explanatory notes to serve as a quick reference. Specific categories that may benefit from additional explanation, particularly regarding use of the cancer case summary, are discussed.

Myeloid precursor lesions

The WHO 5th edition introduces clonal hematopoiesis (CH)/clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) and clonal cytopenias of undetermined significance (CCUS) as putative myeloid precursor lesions. Clonal hematopoiesis (CH) refers to the clonal expansion of a mutated hematopoietic progenitor cells. Clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) is defined as CH that harbors myeloid malignancy associated genes detected in blood or bone marrow at variant allele frequency (VAF) $\geq 2\%$ ($\geq 4\%$ for X-linked gene mutations in males) in individuals without cytopenia or other diagnosed hematologic disorder. Clonal hematopoiesis of undetermined significance (CCUS) is diagnosed when

CHIP criteria are accompanied by clinical cytopenia (Hgb <13g/dL males, <12g/dL females, absolute neutrophil count <1.8x10⁹/L, platelet count <150x10⁹/L) without evidence of diagnostic myeloid neoplasm. Reporting of CHIP or CCUS using the cancer case summary is optional.

Myeloid neoplasms, chronic

Myeloproliferative neoplasms

Myeloproliferative neoplasms represent a group of myeloid neoplasms characterized by the abnormal proliferation of one or more terminally differentiated myeloid cell lines, sometimes with increased bone marrow reticulin or collagen fibrosis. The WHO 5th edition retains the framework of the revised WHO 4th edition for diagnostic entities with minor changes. Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) accelerated phase (AP) has been removed as its relevance in the era of highly effective targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors makes determination of ABL1 kinase resistance mutations, cytogenetic progression, and overt blast phase drive treatment decisions.²

The diagnostic criteria for chronic eosinophilic leukemia have been updated as follows: (1) the time interval required to define sustained hypereosinophilia is reduced from 6 months to 4 weeks; (2) addition of requirement for both clonality and abnormal bone marrow morphology (e.g., megakaryocytic, or erythroid dysplasia); and (3) elimination of increased blasts ($\geq 2\%$ in blood or 5-19% in bone marrow) as an alternative to clonality.¹ Diagnostic criteria for chronic myeloproliferative neoplasms are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. SUMMARY OF DIAGNOSTIC FEATURES FOR CATEGORY OF CHRONIC MYELOPROLIFERATIVE NEOPLASMS¹

Diagnosis	Essential Diagnostic Features	Post-fibrotic diagnostic features
Chronic myeloid	CML (chronic phase) criteria:	
leukemia (CML)	1. Blood leukocytosis	
	2. Detection of Ph chromosome and/or	
	BCR::ABL1 by cytogenetic and/or appropriate	
	molecular genetic techniques	
	3. Do not meet criteria for blast phase	
	CML (blast phase) criteria:	
	 ≥20% blasts in the blood or bone marrow 	
	Or	
	2. Presence of an extramedullary proliferation of	
	blasts	
	or	
	Presence of bona fide lymphoblasts in the	
	blood or bone marrow (even if <10%)	

Chronic	The diagnosis requires exclusion of reactive neutrophilia	
neutrophilic	and other myeloproliferative and myelodysplastic/	
leukemia (CNL)	myeloproliferative neoplasms:	
	1. Blood white blood cell count ≥25×10 ⁹ /L-	
	Segmented neutrophils plus banded	
	neutrophils constitute ≥ 80% of the white blood	
	cells- Neutrophil precursors (promyelocytes,	
	myelocytes, and metamyelocytes) constitute	
	<10% of the white blood cells- Myeloblasts	
	rarely observed- Monocytes constitute <10% of	
	blood leukocytes; absolute monocytosis	
	not meeting criteria for CMML- No	
	dysgranulopoiesis	
	2. Hypercellular bone marrow- Neutrophil	
	granulocytes increased in percentage and	
	number- Neutrophil maturation appears normal-	
	Mveloblasts constitute < 5% of the nucleated	
	cells	
	3. Not meeting WHO criteria for BCR::ABL1-	
	positive chronic myeloid leukemia.	
	polycythemia vera, essential thrombocythemia.	
	or primary myelofibrosis	
	4. No evidence of disease-defining gene	
	rearrangements such as PDGFRA, PDGFRB.	
	or FGFR1, and no $PCM1::JAK2$ fusion	
	5. Presence of CSF3R p. T618/ or another	
	activating CSE3R mutation. OR Persistent	
	neutrophilia (\geq 3 months), splenomegaly, and no	
	identifiable cause of reactive neutrophilia	
	including absence of a plasma cell neoplasm	
	or, if a plasma cell neoplasm is present.	
	demonstration of clonality of myeloid cells by	
	cvtogenetic or molecular studies	
Chronic	1 Hypereosinonbilia defined as blood	
eosinonhilic	eosinophilia >1 5x10 ⁹ /L on at least 2 occasions	
leukemia (CEL)	over an interval of at least 4 weeks	
	2 Evidence of clonality excluding the possibility	
	of clonal bematonoiesis of indeterminate	
	notential (CHIP)	
	3 Abnormal bone marrow morphology typically	
	5. Abhormal bone marrow morphology typically	
	menakaryocytes, increased eosinonhils	
	Megaral yourses, increased eosinophils	
	4. Whe chick a for other mycloid of lymphold neonlasms not met including MPN_MDS/MPN	
	MDS MINLeo mastocytosis AMI	
Polycythemia yera	Diagnostic criteria of polycythemia vera	Diagnostic criteria for post-
	The diagnosis of polycythemia vera requires either all 3	polycythemia yera (D\/)
(••)	major criteria or the first 2 major criteria plus the minor	mvelofibrosis
	criterion	
		Required criteria:
		Documentation of a previous

	Major criteria:	diagnosis of WHO-defined PV
	1. Elevated hemoglobin concentration (>16.5 g/dL	Bone marrow fibrosis of grade 2-3
	In men; >16.0 g/dL in women) or elevated	on a U-3 scale
	2 Bone marrow biopsy showing age-adjusted	Additional criteria (2 are required).
	hypercellularity with trilineage growth	1. Anemia (i.e., below the
	(panmyelosis), including prominent erythroid,	reference range given
	granulocytic, and megakaryocytic proliferation	age, sex, and altitude
	with pleomorphic, mature megakaryocytes	considerations) or
	(differences in size)	sustained loss of
	3. Presence of JAK2 V617F or JAK2 exon 12	requirement of either
	mutation	phlebotomy (in the
	Minor criterion:	absence of cytoreductive
	1. Subnormal serum erythropoletin level	treatment for
		erythrocytosis
		2 Leukoervthroblastosis
		3. Increasing splenomegaly.
		defined as either an
		increase in palpable
		splenomegaly of >5 cm
		from baseline (distance
		from the left costal
		margin) or the
		development of a newly
		A Development of any 2 (or
		4. Development of any 2 (of all 3) of the following
		constitutional symptoms:
		>10% weight loss in 6
		months, night sweats,
		unexplained fever (>37.5
		°C)
Essential	Diagnostic criteria for essential thrombocythemia	Diagnostic criteria for post-
thrombocythemia	The diagnosis of essential thrombocythemia requires	essential thrombocythemia (ET)
	that either all major criteria or the first 3 major criteria	myelofibrosis
	plus the minor criterion are met.	De su incel esitenie :
	Major oritoria:	Required criteria:
	1 Platelet count > $450 \times 10^9/I$	1. Documentation of a
	2 Bone marrow biopsy showing proliferation	WHO-defined FT
	mainly of the megakarvocytic lineage, with	2. Bone marrow fibrosis of
	increased numbers of enlarged, mature	grade 2–3 on a 0–3 scale
	megakaryocytes with hyperlobated nuclei; no	-
	significant increase or left shift in neutrophil	Additional criteria (2 are required):
	granulopoiesis or erythropoiesis; very rarely a	1. Anemia (i.e., below the
	minor (grade 1) increase in reticulin fibers	reference range given
	3. WHO criteria for <i>BCR::ABL1</i> –positive chronic	age, sex, and altitude
	myeloid leukemia, polycythemia vera, primary	considerations) and a
	myelolibrosis, or other myelold neoplasms are	>2g/aL decrease from
		มลออกกาย กลายการการการการการการการการการการการการการก

Heme.Myeloid_Mixed_Amb.Bx.Res_1.1.0.0.REL_CAPCP

	4. JAK2, CALR, or MPL mutation	concentration
		2. Leukoerythroblastosis
	Minor criterion:	3. Increasing splenomegaly,
	1. Presence of a clonal marker or	defined as either an
	2. Exclusion of reactive thrombocytosis	increase in palpable
		splenomegaly of >50 mm
		from baseline (distance
		from the left costal
		margin, or on imaging) or
		the development of a
		newly palpable
		splenomegaly
		4. Elevated lactate
		(above the reference
		5 Development of any 2 (or
		all 3) of the following
		constitutional symptoms:
		>10% weight loss in 6
		months, night sweats,
		unexplained fever
		(>37.5°C)
Primary	Diagnostic criteria for primary myelofibrosis, prefibrotic	Diagnostic criteria for primary
myelofibrosis	The diagnosis of pre-fibrotic primary myelofibrosis	myelofibrosis, fibrotic stage
	requires that all 3 major criteria and at least 1 minor	The diagnosis of overt primary
	criterion are met.	myelofibrosis requires that all 3
	Major criteria:	criterion are met
	1 Megakarvocytic proliferation and atypia without	
	reticulin fibrosis grade >1, accompanied	Maior criteria:
	by increased age-adjusted bone marrow	1. Megakarvocvtic
	cellularity, granulocytic proliferation, and (often)	proliferation and atypia,
	decreased erythropoiesis	accompanied by reticulin
	2. WHO criteria for <i>BCR-ABL1</i> –positive chronic	and/or collagen fibrosis
	myeloid leukemia, polycythemia vera, essential	grades 2 or 3
	thrombocythemia, myelodysplastic syndromes,	2. WHO criteria for essential
	or other myeloid neoplasms are not met	thrombocythemia,
	3. JAK2, CALR, or MPL mutation <u>OR</u>	polycythemia vera, BCR-
	Presence of another clonal marker OR	ABL1–positive
		chronic, myeloid
	Absence of minor reactive bone marrow reliculin librosis	sundrome, or other
	Minor criteria	myeloid neonlasms are
	Presence of at least one of the following, confirmed in 2	not met
	consecutive determinations:	3. JAK2, CALR, or MPL
	1. Anemia not attributed to a comorbid condition	mutation OR
	2. Leukocytosis ≥11×10 ⁹ /L	
	3. Splenomegaly detected clinically and/or by	Presence of another clonal
	imaging	marker <u>OR</u>
	4. Lactate dehydrogenase level above the upper	

	limit of the institutional reference range	Absence of reactive myelofibrosis
	5. Leukoerythroblastic	
		Minor criteria:
		Presence of at least one of the
		following, confirmed in 2
		consecutive determinations:
		1. Anemia not attributed to a
		comorbid condition
		 Leukocytosis ≥ 11×10⁹/L
		3. Splenomegaly detected
		clinically and/or by
		imaging
		Lactate dehydrogenase
		level above the upper limit
		of the institutional
		reference range
		5. Leukoerythroblastosis
Juvenile	Clinical, hematological, and laboratory criteria (all 5	
myelomonocytic	criteria are required):	
leukemia (JMML)	1. Blood monocyte count ≥1x10 ⁹ /L	
	2. Blast and promonocyte percentage in blood	
	and bone marrow of <20%	
	5. Clinical evidence of organ initiation, most	
	A No Philadolphia (Ph) chromosomo or <i>BCP</i>	
	4. No i miadelphia (i ii) chromosome or bert-	
	5 No KMT24 (MI / 1) gene rearrangement	
	5. No NW 12A (MEE 1) gene real angement	
	Genetic criteria (any 1 criterion is sufficient):	
	1. Mutation in a component or a regulator of the	
	canonical RAS pathway:	
	Clonal somatic mutation in <i>PTPN11</i> .	
	KRAS, or NRAS	
	Clonal somatic or germline NF1	
	mutation and loss of heterozygosity or	
	compound heterozygosity of NF1	
	Clonal somatic or germline CBL	
	mutation and loss of heterozygosity of	
	CBL	
	2. Non-canonical clonal RAS pathway pathogenic	
	variant or fusions causing activation of genes	
	upstream of the RAS pathway, such as ALK,	
	PDGFR-B, ROS1, among others	
	Other criteria	
	Cases that do not meet any of the genetic criteria listed	
	above (or in conditions where genetic testing is not	
	available) must meet the following criteria in addition to	
	the aforementioned clinical, hematological, and	
	laboratory criteria above:	

	 ≥2 of the following: 	
	 Increased hemoglobin F for age 	
	 Myeloid (promyelocytes, myelocytes, 	
	metamyelocytes) and erythroid precursors	
	on blood smear	
	 Thrombocytopenia with hypercellular 	
	marrow often showing decreased number	
	of megakaryocytes. Dysplastic features	
	may or may not be evident	
	 Hypersensitivity of myeloid progenitors to 	
	GM-CSF as tested in clonogenic assays in	
	methylcellulose or by measuring STAT5	
	phosphorylation in the absence or with low	
	dose of exogenous GM-CSF	
Myeloproliferative	Most cases of MPN-NOS fall into one of these groups:	
neoplasm, NOS	Early presentations where the characteristic	
	features of specific subtypes are not yet fully	
	developed	
	A proportion of cases presenting with a portal	
	or splanchnic vein thrombosis that fail to meet	
	the diagnostic criteria for any of the specific	
	MPN entities may also be considered to belong	
	in this group	
	Advanced-stage MPN, in which pronounced	
	myelofibrosis, osteosclerosis, or transformation	
	to a more aggressive stage with increased blast	
	counts and/or myelodysplastic changes	
	obscures the underlying disorder	
	Cases with convincing evidence of an MPN in	
	which a coexisting neoplastic or inflammatory	
	disorder obscures some of the usual diagnostic	
	clinical and/or morphological features	

Mastocytosis

Mastocytosis is an uncommon neoplasm with varying clinical presentation that is characterized by the abnormal accumulation of mast cells in various tissues, typically associated with mutations in *KIT* receptor that lead to constitutive activation. There have been updates to the minor diagnostic criteria of systemic mastocytosis in the WHO 5th edition including the expression of CD30 and any *KIT* mutation being a minor criterion. The subtype of systemic mastocytosis, bone marrow mastocytosis, has been added along with updates to the B- (burden of disease) criteria for subtyping systemic mastocytosis.

The cancer case summary lists the subtypes of systemic mastocytosis as the diagnostic choices. The approach to subtyping requires first the establishment of the diagnosis of systemic mastocytosis (see Table 2 for diagnostic criteria), followed by the application of the criteria (see Table 3 for B- and C-findings required for subtypes) for each of the subtypes shown in Table 4. A rare morphologic pattern that is worth noting, but not a defined subtype, is well-differentiated systemic mastocytosis (WDSM) which is characterized by round and well-granulated mast cells that are usually negative for *KIT* codon 816 mutation (15% positive, may possess *KIT* mutations outside TK2 domain) and negative for CD2 and CD25, but positive for CD30.³

Mast cell sarcoma is a very rare clinically aggressive form of mastocytosis characterized by the presence of atypical mast cells that results in a locally destructive lesion that typically express, similarly to systemic mastocytosis, CD2, CD25 and/or CD30. Unlike systemic mastocytosis, *KIT p. D816V* mutations are uncommon in de novo/classical mast cell sarcoma, suggesting a distinct origin from systemic mastocytosis. Mast cell sarcoma may exist as a transformation of a systemic mastocytosis.⁴

Cutaneous mastocytosis (CM), a form of mastocytosis primarily affecting the skin is not included in the cancer case summary due to typically indolent behavior and need for correlation with clinical and pathologic signs for systemic mastocytosis that are often not available at time of evaluation of skin biopsy samples.

TABLE 2. SUMMARY OF ESSENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC FEATURES FOR SYSTEMIC MASTOCYTOSIS¹

Diagnosis	Essential Diagnostic Features		
Systemic	The diagnosis is SM if at least 1 major and 1 minor or 3 minor criteria are fulfilled.		
Mastocytosis			
(SM)	Major criterion:		
	Multifocal dense infiltrates of mast cells (≥15 mast cells in aggregates) detected in sections of bone marrow and/or other extracutaneous organ(s).		
	Minor criteria:		
	 >25% of all mast cells are atypical cells on bone marrow smears or are spindle-shaped in dense and diffuse mast cell infiltrates in sections of BM or other extracutaneous organ(s) 		
	 Activating KIT point mutation(s) at codon 816 or in other critical regions of KIT in bone marrow or another extracutaneous organ(s) 		
	 Mast cells in bone marrow, blood, or another extracutaneous organ(s) aberrantly express one or more of the following antigens (flow cytometry or immunohistochemistry): CD2, CD25, CD30 		
	 Baseline serum tryptase concentration >20ng/mL in the absence of a myeloid associated hematologic neoplasm (AHN). In the case of a known hereditary alpha-tryptasemia (HαT), the tryptase level could be adjusted⁵ 		

TABLE 3. SYSTEMIC MASTOCYTOSIS B-FINDINGS (BURDEN OF DISEASE) AND C-FINDINGS (CYTOREDUCTION-REQUIRING) $^{\tt 1}$

Diagnosis	Essential Diagnostic Features
B-findings	1. High MC burden: infiltration grade (MC) in BM ≥30% in histology (IHC) and/or serum
	tryptase ≥200 ng/mL and/or <i>KIT p.D816V</i> VAF ≥10% in BM or PB leukocytes
	 Signs of myeloproliferation and/or myelodysplasia: hypercellular BM with loss of fat cells and prominent myelopoiesis ± left shift and eosinophilia ± leukocytosis and eosinophilia and/or discrete signs of myelodysplasia (<10% neutrophils, erythrocytes, and megakaryocytes)
	 Organomegaly: Palpable (or documented by US, CT, or MRI) hepatomegaly without ascites or other signs of organ damage or/and palpable splenomegaly without hypersplenism and without weight loss or/and lymphadenopathy palpable or visceral LN-enlargement found in ULS or CT (>20 mm)

CAP	P	CA
Approved	prov	Ap

C-findings	1. Cytopenia/s (one or more found):
	• ANC <1x10 ⁹ /L
	• Hb <10 g/dL
	• PLT <1.0x10 ⁹ /L
	2. Hepatopathy: ascites and elevated liver enzymes ± hepatomegaly or cirrhotic liver ± portal
	hypertension
	Spleen: palpable splenomegaly with hypersplenism ± weight loss ± hypalbuminemia
	4. GI tract: malabsorption with hypoalbuminemia ± weight loss
	5. Bone: large-sized osteolysis (≥20 mm) ± pathologic fracture ± bone pain

Subtype	Diagnostic criteria	Morphologic features
Bone marrow mastocytosis (BMM)	 SM criteria fulfilled No skin lesions No B-finding(s) Basal serum tryptase <125ng/mL No dense SM infiltrates in an extramedullary organ 	 Low to very low MC burden in the BM Compact MC infiltrates >70% of cases Morphologic features otherwise as in ISM WD morphology rarely observed
Indolent Systemic Mastocytosis (ISM)	 SM criteria fulfilled Typical skin lesions ≤1 B-finding ISM without skin lesions: ≤1 B finding and/or basal serum tryptase ≥125 ng/mL and/or dense SM infiltrates in an extramedullary organ 	 Low MC burden in the BM (usually <5-10% of section area) Compact MC infiltrates >70% of cases, typically of mixed morphology, containing numerous eosinophils, lymphocytes, fibroblasts and histiocytes in addition to neoplastic MC Usually >25% spindle-shaped MC Hemopoiesis usually normal, mild reactive changes possible Paratrabecular compact MC infiltrates often with prominent reticulin or collagen fibrosis and osteosclerotic changes in adjacent trabeculae In BM smears atypical MC (usually type I) WD morphology possible
Smoldering systemic mastocytosis (SSM)	 SM criteria fulfilled ≥2 B-findings No C-finding 	 High MC burden in the BM (usually >30% of section area) Diffuse-compact MC infiltration pattern Usually spindle-shaped MC embedded in a dense fibrotic stroma with pronounced osteosclerosis Hemopoiesis often with mild dysplastic changes (not fulfilling WHO criteria for AHN) WD morphology rarely observed

TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF SUBTYPES OF SYSTEMIC MASTOCYTOSIS¹

Heme.Myeloid_Mixed_Amb.Bx.Res_1.1.0.0.REL_CAPCP

CAP Approved

Aggressive systemic mastocytosis (ASM)	 SM criteria fulfilled ≥1 C-finding 	 High to very high MC burden in the BM (up to 80% of the section area) Diffuse-compact MC infiltration pattern Usually spindle-shaped MC embedded in a dense fibrotic stroma with pronounced osteosclerosis Pure ASM less frequent than ASM-AHN MCs in BM smears between >5% and <20% indicating ASM in transformation (ASM-t) Hemopoiesis often with mild dysplastic changes (not fulfilling WHO criteria for AHN) WD morphology possible
Systemic mastocytosis with an associated hematologic neoplasm (SM- AHN)	 SM criteria fulfilled Criteria for a WHO-defined hematologic neoplasm. Both disease compartments are classified according to WHO-definitions, e.g., BMM- ET, or MCL-CMML-1, etc 	 Any subtype of SM and any type of WHO- defined myeloid and lymphoid neoplasm can occur; CMML or other MDS/MPN overlap neoplasms are most frequently found In most cases, AHN obscures SM In ASM or MCL, an AHN may be difficult to detect In every case of suspected SM-AHN, not only immunostains for neoplastic MC (tryptase, CD117/KIT, CD25, CD30), but also for myeloid neoplasms (including CD14, CD34, CD42b, and CD71) should be applied WD morphology possible
Mast cell leukemia (MCL)	 SM criteria fulfilled ≥20% MCs in BM smears In classic MCL ≥10% MCs, in aleukaemic MCL <10% MCs in blood smears In acute MCL C-findings are detectable; chronic MCL (no C-findings with much better prognosis 	 High MC burden in the BM (usually >50% of section area) Diffuse-compact MC infiltration pattern Predominantly round and hypogranulated MCs in most cases Rarely highly pleomorphic MCs with hypogranulation and cytoplasmatic vacuolization Reticulin content usually much lower than in SSM/ASM Pure MCL is a diagnosis of exclusion of AHN WD morphology rarely observed (chronic MCL)

Myelodysplastic Neoplasms

Myelodysplastic syndromes are now referred to as myelodysplastic neoplasms (MDS) in the WHO 5th edition to emphasize the clonal, neoplastic nature and to be consistent with diagnostic terminology for myeloproliferative neoplasms. The new classification groups MDS into two broad categories; those that are defined by genetic abnormalities and those that are morphologically defined. This approach is analogous to the groupings of acute myeloid leukemia and will enhance diagnostic consistency and highlight the importance of certain genetic alterations to MDS. The threshold for presence of dysplasia is set at 10% of cells of that lineage (erythroid, myeloid, megakaryocytic). Furthermore, diagnostic categories are appended with low blasts or increased blasts for additional clarity.

The major diagnostic criteria for MDS with defining genetic abnormalities are shown in Table 5. Myelodysplastic neoplasm with low blasts and 5q deletion (MDS-5q) may possess *SF3B1* mutations and non-biallelic *TP53* alterations (niTP53) if present. Myelodysplastic neoplasm with low blasts and *SF3B1* mutation (MDS-*SF3B1*) was introduced to capture the high association between the presence of *SF3B1* mutation and ring sideroblasts (>90% of cases of MDS with \geq 5% ring sideroblasts). If ring sideroblasts are identified and *SF3B1* mutation is absent, after exclusion of secondary causes of ring sideroblasts, it is acceptable to diagnose these cases as MDS with low blasts and ring sideroblasts in the narrative report. These can be reported as MDS with low blasts as the diagnostic category and denote the presence of ring sideroblasts. Biallelic *TP53* (bi*TP53*) alterations are a poor prognosis category of MDS characterized by alterations in *TP53* that result in no functional/wild-type *TP53*.⁶ The presence of one or more *TP53* mutations with copy number loss or evidence of copy neutral loss of heterozygosity (LOH) are considered sufficient for demonstration of biTP53/loss of wild type *TP53*. *TP53* with >49% variant allele frequency (VAF) may be regarded as presumptive evidence of allelic loss or copy neutral LOH if a constitutional *TP53* variant can be excluded.⁷

Subtype	Essential diagnostic criteria	
Myelodysplastic neoplasm with low blasts and 5q deletion (MDS-5q)	 Anemia, with or without other cytopenias and/or thrombocytosis Dysplasia involving megakaryocytes, often micromegakaryocytes with or without dysplasia involving other lineages Blasts <5% in the bone marrow and <2% in the blood Detection of 5q deletion, isolated or with one other cytogenetic aberration other than monosomy 7 or 7q deletion Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria of AML, MDS with biallelic <i>TP53</i> inactivation, MDS with increased blasts, or MDS/MPN 	
Myelodysplastic neoplasm with low blasts and <i>SF3B1</i> mutation (MDS- <i>SF3B1</i>)	 Cytopenia involving one or more lineages, without thrombocytosis Erythroid lineage dysplasia Blasts <5% in the bone marrow and <2% in the blood Detection of <i>SF3B1</i> mutation. If <i>SF3B1</i> mutation analysis is not available, demonstration of ring sideroblasts comprising ≥15% of erythroid precursors Absence of 5q deletion, monosomy 7/7q deletion, or complex karyotype Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria of AML, MDS with low blasts and 5q deletion, MDS with biallelic <i>TP53</i> inactivation, MDS with increased blasts or any MDS/MPN type 	
Myelodysplastic neoplasm with biallelic (or multi-hit) <i>TP53</i> alterations (MDS- bi <i>TP53</i>)	 Myeloid neoplasm fulfilling diagnostic criteria of MDS Detection of one or more <i>TP53</i> mutations In the presence of one <i>TP53</i> mutation, evidence of <i>TP53</i> copy loss or copy neutral LOH 	

TABLE 5. SUMMARY OF SUBTYPES OF MYELODYSPLASTIC NEOPLASMS WITH DEFINING GENETIC ABNORMALITIES 1

Myelodysplastic neoplasms morphologically defined is a new category in the WHO 5th edition. The new diagnostic category of hypoplastic myelodysplastic neoplasm (MDS-h) is recognized to capture this distinctive type of MDS characterized by bone marrow hypocellularity, cytopenias, and dysplastic changes. It is important to exclude secondary causes of hypocellularity with dysplastic changes such as drug or toxin exposure and exclude aplastic anemia (AA) or paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH).

In addition, exclusion of a genetic predisposition to bone marrow failure, particularly in younger patients, should be considered.

The distinction of single- and multilineage dysplasia in the classification of MDS has been removed to reflect the absence of a well-defined category and fluidity of lineage dysplasia over the disease course of MDS. MDS with increased blasts is now the preferred terminology to capture those cases with 5-19% bone marrow or 2-19% blood blasts. For the cancer case summary, select the appropriate subtype of MDS-IB- either MDS-IB-1, MDS-IB-2, or MDS-F based on the criteria shown in Table 6.

Table 6. SUMMARY OF MYELODYSPLASTIC NEOPLASMS, MORPHOLOGICALLY DEFINED WITH SUBTYPES OF MDS-IB¹

Subtype	Essential diagnostic criteria	
Myelodysplastic	 Cytopenia involving one or more lineages 	
neoplasm with low	 Dysplastic changes in one or more lineages involving at least 10% of cells 	
blasts (MDS-LB)	 <5% bone marrow blasts and <2% blood blasts 	
	 Exclusion of folate and vitamin B12 deficiency 	
	 No fulfilling diagnostic criteria of MDS with defining genetic alterations or 	
	hypoplastic MDS	
Hypoplastic MDS (h-	 Cytopenia involving one or more lineages 	
MDS)	- Hypocellular bone marrow (assessed on a trephine core biopsy, adjusted for age of	
	the patient) not explained by drug/toxin exposure or pertinent nutritional deficiency	
	 Dysplasia involving myeloid and/or megakaryocytic lineages 	
	 <5% blasts in bone marrow and <2% blasts in blood 	
	 Not meeting criteria for MDS with defining genetic abnormalities or MDS with 	
	increased blasts	
Myelodysplastic	 Cytopenia involving one or more lineages 	
neoplasm with	 Dysplastic changes in one or more lineages, involving at least 10% of cells 	
increased blasts	 ≥5% blasts in the bone marrow and/or ≥2% blasts in blood 	
(MDS-IB)	 No fulfilling diagnostic criteria of MDS with biallelic TP53 inactivation or AML 	
	Subtypes of MDS-IB	
	 MDS with increased blasts-1 (MDS-IB1): 5-9% blasts in the bone marrow and/or 2- 	
	4% blasts in the blood, without significant reticulin fibrosis	
	 MDS with increased blasts-2 (MDS-IB2):10-19% blasts in the bone marrow and/or 	
	5-19% blasts in the blood, without significant reticulin fibrosis; or, with presence of	
	Auer rods	
	 MDS with increased blasts and fibrosis (MDS-F): 5-19% blasts in the bone marrow 	
	and/or 2-19% blasts in the blood, with significant fibrosis (defined as grade 2 or 3)	

Myelodysplastic neoplasms of childhood

Myelodysplastic neoplasms of childhood are distinct clinicopathologic and biologic syndromes characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis, resultant cytopenias, and increased risk of developing acute myeloid leukemia. Like adults, the WHO 5th edition divides these into the morphologically defined categories of cMDS with low blasts (cMDS-LB) and cMDS with increased blasts (cMDS-IB). cMDS-LB replaces refractory cytopenia of childhood (RCC) from the revised WHO 4th edition. Bone marrow hypocellularity is particularly common in cMDS-LB, and it is important to exclude secondary causes of hypocellularity (infection, toxin, nutritional deficiency, severe aplastic anemia, PNH).[§] The diagnostic criteria for cMDS are summarized in Table 7.

Subtype	Essential diagnostic criteria
Childhood myelodysplastic neoplasm with low blasts (cMDS-LB)	 Cytopenia involving one or more lineages Dysplastic changes in one or more lineages, involving at least 10% of cells <5% bone marrow blasts and <2% blood blasts Meeting at least one of the following criteria: Detection of clonal cytogenetic and/or molecular abnormality Exclusion of other causes of cytopenia (non-neoplastic and some germline mutations)
Childhood myelodysplastic neoplasm with increased blasts (cMDS-IB)	 Cytopenia involving one or more lineages Dysplastic changes in one or more lineages, involving at least 10% of cells 5-19% bone marrow blasts and/or 2-19% blood blasts Exclusion of Down syndrome, juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia, and AML with defining genetic abnormalities

TABLE 7. SUMMARY OF SUBTYPES OF MYELODYSPLASTIC NEOPLASMS OF CHILDHOOD¹

Myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasms

The criteria for the diagnosis of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML) were revised in the WHO 5th edition to include prerequisite and supporting criteria. The initial prerequisite criteria include persistent absolute ($\ge 0.5 \times 10^9$ /L) and relative monocytosis ($\ge 10\%$) in the blood. Of note, the absolute monocyte count in the blood was lowered from the 1.0x10⁹/L cutoff in the revised WHO 4th edition. Another new criterion introduced was the abnormal partitioning of monocytes (>94%) into classical monocytes CD14+, CD16- compared to those with more variable levels of intensity of CD14 and CD16.⁹ In addition, the presence of a *NPM1* mutation supersedes the diagnosis of CMML. In the current WHO 5th edition, these cases meet the criteria for AML with *NPM1* mutation.¹⁰

Supporting criteria are required after meeting the prerequisite criteria, with a higher absolute monocyte count (>1x10⁹/L) requiring one additional supporting, and lower absolute monocyte count (>0.5x10⁹/L) requiring 2 supporting criteria. CMML is further subtyped into myelodysplastic CMML (WBC <13x10⁹/L) and myeloproliferative CMML (WBC ≥13x10⁹/L) based on the white blood cell count (WBC). Furthermore, it is subgrouped based on the percentage of blasts and promonocytes in the blood and bone marrow (CMML-1: <5% blasts and promonocytes in blood and <10% in bone marrow; CMML-2: 6-19% blasts and promonocytes in blood and 10-19% in bone marrow). The cancer case summary removes choice deprecation and allows for reporting of the four options for the final subtype to make reporting easier and more straightforward.

Atypical chronic myeloid leukemia was renamed MDS/MPN with neutrophilia in the WHO 5th edition to avoid potential confusion with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML); diagnostic criteria are unchanged. A summary of the diagnostic features for myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasms and subtypes/subgroups of CMML are shown in Table 8.

TABLE 8. SUMMARY OF MYELODYSPLASTIC/ MYELOPROLIFERATIVE NEOPLASMS INCLUDINGSUBTYPES AND SUBGROUPS OF CHRONIC MYELOMONOCYTIC LEUKEMIA (CMML)¹

Subtype	Essential diagnostic criteria	Desirable diagnostic criteria/Notes	
Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia	Prerequisite criteria:	Supporting criteria:	

	 Persistent absolute (≥0.5 × 10⁹/L) and relative (≥10%) blood monocytosis Blasts and equivalents* constitute <20% of the cells in the blood and bone marrow. (*= myeloblasts, monoblasts, and promonocytes) Not meeting diagnostic criteria of chronic myeloid leukemia or other myeloproliferative neoplasms Not meeting diagnostic criteria of myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms with eosinophilia and defining gene rearrangements (e.g., <i>PDGFRA</i>, <i>PDGFRB</i>, FGFR1, or <i>JAK2</i>) Pre-requisite criteria must be present in all cases: If monocytosis is ≥1 × 10⁹/L: one or more supporting criteria must be met If monocytosis is <1 × 10⁹/L: supporting criteria 1 and 2 must be met 	 Dysplasia involving ≥1 myeloid lineage (morphologic dysplasia ≥10% of cells in lineage) Acquired clonal cytogenetic or molecular abnormality Abnormal partitioning of blood monocyte subsets (Based on detection of increased classical monocytes (>94%) in the absence of known active autoimmune diseases and/or systemic inflammatory syndromes) Subtyping criteria: Myelodysplastic CMML (MD-CMML): WBC count <13 × 10⁹/L Myeloproliferative CMML (MP-CMML): WBC count ≥13 × 10⁹/L Subgrouping criteria: CMML-1: < 5% blasts and promonocytes in blood and < 10% in bone marrow CMML-2: 6-19% blasts and promonocytes in blood and 10-19% in bone marrow
Mvelodvsplastic/mveloproliferative	 Blood leukocytosis ≥13 × 10⁹/L, with 	- Detection of SETBP1
neoplasm with neutrophilia (MDS/MPN-N)	 neutrophilia and ≥10% circulating immature myeloid cells (promyelocytes, myelocytes and metamyelocytes), as well as neutrophilic dysplasia Hypercellular bone marrow with granulocytic predominance and granulocytic dysplasia, with or without dysplasia in the megakaryocytic and erythroid lineages <20% blasts in blood and bone marrow 	 and/or <i>ETNK1</i> mutations Absence of mutations in <i>JAK2</i>, <i>CALR</i>, <i>MPL</i>, and <i>CSF3R</i> Previously referred to as "Atypical CML"

	 Not meeting diagnostic criteria for 	
	myeloproliferative neoplasms	
	(specifically, exclusion of	
	BCR::ABL1 fusion), myeloid	
	neoplasms with eosinophilia and	
	defining gene rearrangement	
	chronic myelomonocytic leukemia	
	or	
	myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative	
	neoplasm with SF3B1 mutation and	
	thrombocytosis	
Myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative	 Anemia associated with dysplastic 	
neoplasm with SF3B1 mutation	erythropoiesis and ≥15% ring	
and thrombocytosis (MDS/MPN-	sideroblasts, with or without	
SF3B1-T)	dysplasia in the megakaryocytic and	
,	erythroid lineages	
	- Persistent thrombocytosis, with	
	nlatelet count >450 x $10^{9}/l$	
	- SE3B1 mutation and concurrent	
	- 37 3D7 Indiation and concurrent	
	JAR2 p. voirr, or, in the absence of	
	these mutations, concurrent	
	biologically similar mutations	
	involving spliceosome factors and	
	cell signaling (e.g., MPL or CBL)	
	 Not meeting diagnostic criteria for 	
	myelodysplastic neoplasms,	
	myeloproliferative neoplasms,	
	chronic myelomonocytic leukemia.	
	acute myeloid leukemia with	
	mucleid//umphoid pooploame with	
	eosinophilia	
Myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative	- Blood with combination of	
neoplasm, NOS (MDS/MPN-	cytopenia(s) and proliferative	
NOS)	features	
	 Bone marrow with both dysplasia 	
	and proliferative features	
	 Molecular alterations seen in 	
	proliferative and dysplastic myeloid	
	malignancies	
	- Exclusion of: Therapy-related	
	myeloid neonlasms, disease-	
	defining gene fusions, evolusion of	
	other energific MDS/MDN such as	
	CMML, MDS/MPN with neutrophilia	
	(MDS/MPN-N), MDS/MPN with	
	SF3B1 mutation and thrombocytosis	

Acute myeloid leukemia

The classification of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) was reorganized and made into two major categories; those with defining genetic abnormalities and those defined by differentiation. A major change was the elimination of the 20% blast requirement for all AML types with defining genetic abnormalities except for acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with *BCR::ABL1* fusion and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with *CEBPA* mutation.

The entity designated AML with myelodysplasia-related changes in the revised WHO 4th edition classification has been renamed AML, myelodysplasia-related, and new diagnostic criteria have been implemented. In the WHO 5th edition, in addition to the morphologic requirements, both defining cytogenetic criteria and mutational-based criteria have been introduced. See Table 9 for a summary of the diagnostic criteria for AML with defining genetic abnormalities.

TABLE 9. SUMMARY OF ACUTE MYELOID LEUKEMIA WITH DEFINING GENETIC ABNORMALITIES¹

Subtype	Essential diagnostic criteria	Desirable diagnostic criteria/ Notes		
Acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) with <i>PML::RARA</i> fusion	 Myeloid neoplasm with increased blood and/or bone marrow atypical promyelocytes showing characteristic abnormal hypergranular promyelocytes or microgranular blasts (may be <20%) 	- Detection of t(15;17)(q24;q21)		
Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with <i>RUNX1::RUNX1T1</i> fusion	 Myeloid neoplasm with increased blood and/or bone marrow blasts (may be <20%) Detection of <i>RUNX1::RUNX1T1</i> Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy 	- Detection of t(8;21)(q22;q22.1)		
Acute myeloid leukemia with <i>CBFB::MYH11</i> fusion	 Myeloid neoplasm with increased blood and/or bone marrow blasts (may be <20%) Detection of <i>CBFB::MYH11</i> Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy 	- Detection of inv(16)(p13.1q22.1) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22.1)		
Acute myeloid leukemia with <i>DEK::NUP214</i> fusion	 Myeloid neoplasm with increased blood and/or bone marrow blasts (may be <20%) Presence of <i>DEK::NUP214</i> fusion Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy 	- Detection of t(6;9)(p22.3;q34.1)		
Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with <i>RBM15::MRTFA</i> fusion	 Detection of <i>RBM15::MRTFA</i> fusion by fluorescence in situ hybridization and/or RT- PCR or similar molecular technique Myeloid neoplasm with increased blood and/or bone marrow blasts (may be <20%) 	 Detection of t(1;22)(p13.3;q13.1) by karyotype analysis Demonstration of megakaryocytic differentiation 		
Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with <i>BCR::ABL1</i> fusion	 Myeloid neoplasm with >20% blasts expressing a myeloid immunophenotype in the bone marrow and/or blood 	- Presence of t(9;22)(q34.1;q11.2) on conventional		

	- Detection of BCR::ABL1 at initial diagnosis	karyotyping
	- Lack of features of CML prior to or at diagnosis	- Determination of the
	or after therapy	BCR::ABL1 transcript
		subtype and
		establishing a baseline
		level of BCR: ABL 1
		transcript for
		monitoring treatment
A suite marceleid	Muchaid magnificant with increased black and/or	Identification of the
	- Myeloid neoplasm with increased blood and/or	- Identification of the
ieukemia with <i>KMT2A</i>	bone marrow blasts (may be <20%), or	KIMI 2A TUSION partner
rearrangement	presence of a myeloid sarcoma	
	- Blasts express myeloid immunophenotype, not	
	fulfilling immunophenotypic criteria for mixed-	
	phenotype acute leukemia	
	 Presence of a KMT2A rearrangement. 	
	 Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid 	
	neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy	
Acute myeloid	 Myeloid neoplasm with increased blood and/or 	 Detection of
leukemia with	bone marrow blasts (may be <20%)	inv(3)(q21.3q26.2),
MECOM	 Detection of MECOM rearrangement 	t(3;3)(q21;q26),
rearrangement	 No history of myeloproliferative neoplasm 	t(3;21)(q26.2;q22) or
	 Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid 	t(3;12)(q26.2;p13)
	neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy	
Acute myeloid	 Myeloid neoplasm with increased blood and/or 	- Dentification of the
leukemia (AML) with	bone marrow blasts (may be <20%)	NUP98 fusion partner
NUP98 rearrangement	- Detection of the NUP98 rearrangement and/or	at diagnosis is
5	specific fusion products such as	desirable to enable
	NUP98::NSD1	PCR-based disease
		monitoring
Acute mveloid	 Mveloid neoplasm with increased blood and/or 	5
leukemia with NPM1	bone marrow blasts (may be <20%)	
mutation	- Detection of <i>NPM1</i> mutation	
matation	- No history of exposure to cytotoxic therapy	
Acute myeloid	>20% blasts with myeloid immunonbenotype in	
Acute Injelolu	- 220% blasts with Hyelold initial ophenolype in	
	Prosence of biallelic mutations in CERPA, or a	
	- Fresence of bialielic mutations in CEDFA, of a	
	Absonse of criteria allowing for algorithm	
	- Absence of chileria allowing for classification	
	abnormanues	
	- Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid	
A (1))	neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy	
Acute myeloid	- ≥20% blasts with myeloid immunophenotype in	
leukemia,	bone marrow or blood	abnormalities:
myelodysplasia-	 History of MDS or MDS/MPN and/or detection 	- Complex karyotype (≥ 3
related (AML-MR)	of one or more chromosomal or molecular	abnormalities)
	aberrations	 5q deletion or loss of 5q
	 Criteria for other AML types with defined 	due to unbalanced
	genetic alterations are not met.	translocation
	 Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid 	- Monosomy 7, 7q

	neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy	deletion, or loss of 7q
		due to unbalanced
		translocation
		- 11q deletion
		- 12p deletion or loss of
		12p due to unbalanced
		translocation
		- Monosomy 13 or 13q
		deletion
		 17p deletion or loss of
		17p due to unbalanced
		translocation
		 Isochromosome 17q
		- idic(X)(q13)
		Defining somatic mutations:
		- ASXL1
		- BCOR
		- EZH2
		- SF3B1
		- SRSF2
		- STAG2
		- U2AF
		- ZRSR2
Acute myeloid	 ≥20% blasts with myeloid immunophenotype in 	Other defined genetic
leukemia (AML) with	bone marrow and/or blood	alterations:
other defined genetic	 Detection of one or more cytogenetic or 	- CBFA2T3::GLIS2
alterations	molecular aberrations listed in adjacent	- KAT6A::CREBBP
	column	- FUS::ERG
	 Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for AML with 	- MNX1::ETV6
	defining genetic abnormalities, AML-MR, AML-	- NPM1::MLF1
	pCT or MPAL	

The WHO 5th edition classification maintains the traditional categories of AML defined by differentiation that lack defining genetic abnormalities. As advances in our understanding of AML and improvements in molecular genetic techniques occur, it is likely that the number of cases falling into this category will diminish. Acute erythroid leukemia (AEL) is distinctive in that it requires >30% pronormoblasts (proerythroblasts), typically with marked background erythroid predominance (≥80%) and maturation arrest in the erythroid lineage. Biallelic *TP53* alterations are present in most cases.¹¹ AEL would supersede the diagnoses of MDS with biallelic *TP53* alterations due to lake of blasts (pronormoblasts and myeloid blasts) and AML, myelodysplasia related due to the lack of sufficient myeloid blasts. Criteria for acute myeloid leukemia (AML), defined by differentiation is shown in Table 10,

Myeloid sarcoma describes the presence of a tumor mass arising at a site other than bone marrow (extramedullary) that effaces the tissue architecture and is composed of myeloid blasts or blast equivalents with or without accompanying myeloid maturation. Myeloid sarcoma may occur prior to, coincident with, or following treatment for bone marrow myeloid leukemia. Non-effacing or non-tumor forming extramedullary blastic proliferations can occur in AML, MPN, MDS, or MDS/MPN and are not to

be diagnosed as myeloid sarcoma. When selecting myeloid sarcoma on the cancer case summary, specify the associated myeloid neoplasm that it is associated with, if possible. For example:

Myeloid sarcoma

<u>X</u> Myeloid sarcoma, specify myeloid neoplasm: Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with *RUNX1::RUNX1T1* fusion

Table 10. SUMMARY OF DIAGNOSTIC FEATURES OF ACUTE MYELOID LEUKEMIA, DEFINED BY DIFFERENTIATION¹

Subtype	Diagnostic criteria		
Acute myeloid	- ≥20% blasts in bone marrow and/or blood lacking morphological and cytochemical		
leukemia (AML) with	evidence of myeloid differentiation		
minimal differentiation	 Positive for ≥2 myeloid-associated markers (e.g., CD13, CD33, CD117) 		
	 Criteria for AML types with defined genetic alterations are not met 		
	 Criteria for mixed-phenotype acute leukemia are not met 		
	 Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy 		
Acute myeloid	- ≥20% blasts in bone marrow and/or blood with cytochemical evidence of myeloid		
leukemia (AML)	differentiation and limited (<10%) morphologic features of granulocytic maturation		
without maturation	- Positive for ≥2 myeloid-associated markers (e.g., myeloperoxidase, CD13, CD33,		
	CD117)		
	Criteria for AML types with defined genetic alterations are not met.		
	 Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy 		
Acute myeloid	- ≥20% blasts in bone marrow and/or blood with cytochemical evidence of myeloid		
leukemia (AML) with	differentiation and morphologic features of granulocytic maturation in ≥10% of		
maturation	bone marrow cells		
	- Positive for ≥2 myeloid-associated markers (e.g., myeloperoxidase, CD13, CD33,		
	CD117)		
	 Monocyte lineage cells constitute <20% of bone marrow cells 		
	 Criteria for AML types with defined genetic alterations are not met 		
	- Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy		
Acute basophilic	- ≥20% of blasts with increased immature and mature basophils		
leukemia (ABL)	- Blasts/basophils with metachromasia on toluidine blue staining and negative for		
	myeloperoxidase, or SBB, and NSE		
	- Blasts positive for ≥2 myeloid-associated markers (e.g., myeloperoxidase, CD13,		
	CD33, CD117)		
	 Criteria for AML types with defined genetic alterations are not met 		
	- Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy		
	Desirable diagnostic criteria: Blasts positive for CD123, CD11b, CD9, and/or CD203c, and		
	negative for HLA-DR.		
Acute myelomonocytic	- ≥20% blasts and blast equivalents (promonocytes) in bone marrow and/or blood		
leukemia	- Positive for myeloid-associated markers (e.g., myeloperoxidase, CD13, CD33,		
	CD117)		
	 Maturing granulocytes constitute ≥20% of bone marrow cells 		
	 Monocyte lineage cells constitute ≥20% of bone marrow cells 		
	- Criteria for AML types with defined genetic alterations are not met		
	- No fulfillment of diagnostic criteria for myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy		
Acute monocytic	- ≥20% blasts and blast equivalents (promonocytes) in bone marrow and/or blood		
leukemia	- ≥80% of the leukemic cells are monocytes and their precursors, including		
	monoblasts and promonocytes		
	- <20% maturing granulocytic cells		

	 Criteria for AML types with defining genetic abnormalities are not met No fulfillment of diagnostic criteria for myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy 	
Acute erythroid leukemia (AEL)	 Erythroid predominance, usually ≥80% of bone marrow elements, of which ≥30% are erythroblasts. 	
	Desirable diagnostic criteria: Evidence of TP53 mutation	
Acute	 ≥20% blasts with megakaryocytic differentiation in bone marrow and/or blood. 	
megakaryoblastic	- Blasts express at least one or more of the platelet glycoproteins: CD41, CD61, or	
leukemia (AMKL)	CD42b	
	 Does not meet criteria for other defined AML types 	
	 No history of myeloproliferative neoplasm 	
	 Recommend evaluation for possible Down syndrome 	

Myeloid neoplasms and proliferations associated with antecedent or predisposing conditions

Myeloid neoplasms post cytotoxic therapy (MN-pCT) includes AML, MDS, and MDS/MPN that arises in patients with antecedent exposure to cytotoxic/DNA-damaging chemotherapy or large field radiation therapy for an unrelated neoplasm. Recognition of prior cytotoxic therapy is important as the prognosis of matched therapy-related compared to primary/de-novo myeloid neoplasms is worse¹²; lists of causative cytotoxic chemotherapy is provided in the WHO 5th edition Classification of Tumors of Hematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissue.¹ The reporting of therapy-related, and predisposing conditions are required to be added as qualifiers to the AML, MDS, and MDS/MPN diagnosis rendered. In the cancer case summary, this is accomplished by selecting the diagnostic category of Myeloid neoplasm, post cytotoxic therapy, or Myeloid neoplasm and further specifying the neoplasm and therapy or germline predisposition. Examples are shown below.

Example of post cytotoxic therapy:

Myeloid neoplasms and proliferations associated with antecedent or predisposing conditions

X_ Myeloid neoplasm post cytotoxic therapy

specify neoplasm: AML with KMT2A rearrangement, post cytotoxic therapy

Example of post-germline predisposition:

Myeloid neoplasms and proliferations associated with antecedent or predisposing conditions

X Myeloid neoplasms associated with germline predisposition

specify neoplasm: MDS-LB

specify germline predisposition: germline RUNX1 variant

Table 11	SUMMARY	OF	MYELOID	NEOPLASMS	AND	PROLIFERATIONS	ASSOCIATED	WITH
ANTECE	DENT OR PRI	EDIS	POSING C	ONDITIONS ¹				

Subtype	Essential diagnostic criteria	Desirable diagnostic criteria/
Myeloid neoplasms post cytotoxic therapy (MN-pCT)	 Myeloid neoplasm meeting diagnostic criteria of any myelodysplastic neoplasm, myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasm, or acute myeloid leukemia History of prior exposure to cytotoxic therapy and/or large-field radiation therapy for an unrelated disorder Not meeting diagnostic criteria of 	

	myeloproliferative neoplasms	
Myeloid neoplasms associated with germline predisposition	 Detection of germline mutation Changes consistent with myeloid neoplasm with features of MDS, or with ≥20% blasts in blood and/or bone marrow 	 Clonal molecular and/or cytogenetic abnormalities in addition to the germline mutation Positive family history as determined by formal genetic counseling
Myeloid proliferations associated with Down syndrome (DS)	 Transient abnormal myelopoiesis associated with Down syndrome: Confirmation of constitutional trisomy 21 Blood leukocytosis with increased blasts Detection of exon 2/3 <i>GATA1</i> mutation (<i>GATA1</i> exon 2/3 sequencing should be performed in all cases with blood blasts >10%) 	- Mutation profiling and detection of mutations in other genes, e.g., cohesin complex, <i>EZH2, KANSL1,</i> and/or <i>JAK3</i>
	 Myeloid leukemia associated with Down syndrome: Confirmation of constitutional trisomy 21 Myeloid neoplasm with persistent increased blood and/or bone marrow blasts (may be <20%) Detection of exon 2/3 GATA1 mutation 	

Myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms with eosinophilia and defining gene rearrangements

Myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms with eosinophilia and defining gene rearrangements are myeloid or lymphoid neoplasms that are driven by gene fusions resulting in constitutively active tyrosine kinase domains and resultant cell proliferation and survival. Clinical presentation is similar to myeloproliferative neoplasms with elevated white blood cells counts, bone marrow hypercellularity, and extramedullary involvement being common.¹³ Unlike many of the AML with defining genetic alterations above, these neoplasms are classified as blast phase/acute myeloid leukemia only when blasts are $\geq 20\%$ in blood or bone marrow. A summary table of salient diagnostic features is shown in Table 12. Several diagnostic points are worth emphasizing. First, eosinophilia is common in these disorders but not invariably present, so a high index of suspicion is warranted when some, but not all features are present. This is important as the *FIP1L1::PDGFRA* is not detected on routine karyotype and requires FISH or molecular studies to demonstrate the rearrangement. Second, many of the neoplasms in myeloid/lymphoid with eosinophilia and defining gene rearrangements may present with atypical mast cell infiltrates both morphologically (spindled, clustered) and by immunophenotype (CD25+), and therefore these should be excluded when considering the diagnosis of mast cell neoplasms.^{14.15}

TABLE 12. SUMMARY OF MYELOID/ LYMPHOID NEOPLASMS WITH EOSINOPHILIA AND DEFINING GENE REARRANGEMENT 1

Subtype	Essential diagnostic criteria	Desirable diagnostic criteria/ Notes	
Myeloid/lymphoid neoplasm with PDGFRA	 A myeloid (more frequent) or lymphoid neoplasm, usually with prominent and/or tissue eosinophilia 	- In the absence of molecular demonstration of the	
rearrangement	- Presence of a PDGFRA fusion gene, usually	fusion gene, the	

	with <i>FIP1L1</i>	 diagnosis should be suspected if there is a <i>BCR::ABL1</i>-negative myeloproliferative neoplasm with prominent eosinophilia associated with splenomegaly. Marked elevation of serum vitamin B12, increased serum tryptase, and increased bone marrow mast cells
Myeloid/lymphoid neoplasm with <i>PDGFRB</i> rearrangement	 A myeloid or lymphoid neoplasm, often with prominent eosinophilia with varying degrees of neutrophilia or monocytosis associated with the formation of a <i>PDGFRB</i> fusion gene Cases of <i>BCR::ABL1</i>-like B-ALL without evidence of an associated myeloid neoplasm are excluded from this category 	 Cytogenetic and molecular identification of the partner gene, e.g., t(5;12)(q32;p13.2) with ETV6::PDGFRB or other partner genes
Myeloid/lymphoid neoplasm with <i>FGFR1</i> rearrangement	 Demonstration of t(8;13)(p11.2;q12.1) or a different translocation leading to formation of an <i>FGFR1</i> fusion gene is required Phenotypically the disease may present as a myeloproliferative or myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasm with prominent eosinophilia, +/- neutrophilia or monocytosis or with increased blasts of myeloid, T-cell or B-cell lineage, or mixed phenotype, usually with eosinophilia 	- Molecular identification of the partner gene of <i>FGFR1</i>
Myeloid/lymphoid neoplasm with <i>JAK2</i> rearrangement	 A myeloid or lymphoid neoplasm, often with prominent eosinophilia and the presence of a <i>JAK2</i> fusion gene Cases of <i>BCR::ABL1</i>-like B-ALL without evidence of an associated myeloid neoplasm are excluded from this category 	 Cytogenetic identification of the translocation. Molecular identification of the fusion gene, e.g., <i>PCM1::JAK2</i> May have prominent erythroblastic islands in bone marrow and extramedullary locations
Myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms with <i>FLT3</i> rearrangement	 A myeloid or lymphoid neoplasm, with or without associated eosinophilia with chromosomal rearrangements leading to the formation of a <i>FLT3</i> fusion gene 	
Myeloid/lymphoid neoplasm with <i>ETV6::ABL1</i> fusion	 A hematopoietic (myeloid or lymphoid) neoplasm in chronic phase associated with ETV6::ABL1 	- Cytogenetics: t(9;12)(q34;p13) or complex aberrations

		involving other
		chromosomes
Myeloid/lymphoid	 A myeloid and/or lymphoid neoplasm 	- Eosinophilia
neoplasms with other	 Detection of a tyrosine kinase fusion gene, 	- Cytogenetic
tyrosine kinase gene	other than those specifically defined as distinct	identification of a
fusions	entities (i.e., PDGFRA, PDGFRB, FGFR1,	translocation,
	JAK2, FLT3, ETV6::ABL1, etc.)	suggesting the
		involvement of a
		tyrosine kinase gene
		and prompting the
		selection of appropriate
		break apart FISH
		probes or other
		molecular investigation
		 Reported alterations
		include but are not
		limited to:
		ETV6::FGFR2;
		ETV6::LYN;
		ETV6::NTRK3;
		RANBP2::ALK;
		BCR::RET;
		FGFR10P::RET

Acute leukemias of mixed or ambiguous lineage

Acute leukemias of ambiguous lineage are neoplasms composed of ≥20% blasts in the blood or bone marrow that do not show differentiation along a single lineage (mixed phenotype acute leukemia/MPAL) or fail to demonstrate lineage differentiation (acute undifferentiated leukemia/AUL). MPAL may consist of a single, well-defined blast population that expresses lineage-defining antigens (see Table 13) of two or more lineages (termed biphenotypic), multiple distinct blast populations that each express lineage-defining antigens (bilineal/ bilineage) or a combination of these two. To clarify, in cases of bilineal/bilineage acute leukemia, the aggregate count of the two distinct abnormal blasts populations is used to define the total blast count and meet criteria for ≥20% blasts.

The assessment of antigen expression to determine lineage assignment is best performed by flow cytometry due to its ability to identify discrete populations based on multiparametric analysis and ability to quantify antigen expression. The criteria for the assignment of B-, T-, and myeloid lineage is shown in Table 13. Briefly, B-lineage is defined using CD19 expression coupled with additional antigens based on the intensity of CD19. T-lineage is defined by cytoplasmic or surface CD3 expression. Myeloid lineage by myeloperoxidase expression or expression of more than one marker of monocytic differentiation. Leukemias that have a well-defined single lineage assignment that express antigens associated with another lineage but not lineage-defining should be considered acute leukemia with aberrant antigen expression and not defined as MPAL.

Leukemias that can more accurately be assigned to another well-defined entity but meeting the criteria for MPAL or AUL should be assigned to that category; common examples include acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with *RUNX1::RUNX1T1* fusion, acute myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms with eosinophilia and blast phase chronic myeloid leukemia. A summary of the diagnostic features of leukemias with ambiguous

lineage with defining genetic abnormalities and acute leukemias with ambiguous lineage, immunophenotypically defined as shown in Tables 14 and 15, respectively.

TABLE 13. LINEAGE AS	SSIGNMENT	CRITERIA	FOR MIXED	PHENOTYPE	ACUTE	LEUKEMIA-	B-
LINEAGE, T-LINEAGE A	ND MYELOID	LINEAGE ¹					

Criterion	Notes
 CD19 strong and 1 or more also strongly expressed: CD10, CD22, or CD79a CD19 weak and 2 or more also strongly expressed: CD10, CD22, or CD79a 	 CD19 strong= intensity in part exceeds 50% of normal B cell progenitor by flow cytometry CD19 weak= intensity does not exceed 50% of normal B cell progenitor by flow cytometry If mixed T lineage is under consideration, CD79a cannot be used for B lineage assignment
 Cytoplasmic CD3 or surface Cytoplasmic CD3 or surface expression flow cytometry (CD3 epsilon chain antibodies); intensity exceeds 50% of mature T cells by flow cytometry Cytoplasmic CD3 by immunohistochemistry using non-zeta chain antibody 	- Surface CD3 expression rare in mixed phenotype/ ambiguous acute leukemia
 Myeloperoxidase (MPO) Intensity in population of interest exceeds 50% of mature neutrophil level Monocytic differentiation 2 or more expressed: CD11c, CD14, CD36, CD64, diffuse non-specific esterase, lysozyme 	
	Criterion - CD19 strong and - 1 or more also strongly expressed: CD10, CD22, or CD79a - CD19 weak and - 2 or more also strongly expressed: CD10, CD22, or CD79a - 2 or more also strongly expressed: CD10, CD22, or CD79a - 2 or more also strongly expressed: CD10, CD22, or CD79a - 2 or more also strongly expressed: CD10, CD22, or CD79a - 2 or more also strongly expressed: CD10, CD22, or CD79a - 2 or more also strongly expressed: CD10, CD22, or CD79a - 2 or more also strongly expressed: CD10, CD22, or CD79a - 2 or more also strongly expressed: SD10, CD22, or CD79a - Cytoplasmic CD3 or surface - Cytoplasmic CD3 or surface expression flow cytometry (CD3 epsilon chain antibodies); intensity exceeds 50% of mature T cells by flow cytometry - Cytoplasmic CD3 by immunohistochemistry using non-zeta chain antibody - Myeloperoxidase (MPO) - Intensity in population of interest exceeds 50% of mature neutrophil level - Monocytic differentiation - 2 or more expressed: CD11c, CD14, CD36, CD64, diffuse non-specific esterase, lysozyme

TABLE 14. SUMMARY OF ACUTE LEUKEMIA OF AMBIGOUS LINEAGE WITH DEFINING GENETIC ABNORMALITIES $^{1}\,$

Subtype	Essential diagnostic criteria	Desirable diagnostic criteria/
		Notes
Mixed-phenotype	 ≥20% blasts in bone marrow and/or blood with 	Determination of the BCR::ABL1
acute leukemia with	an immunophenotype that meets the	transcript subtype and
BCR::ABL1 fusion	diagnostic criteria for MPAL	establishment of a quantitative
	- BCR::ABL1 and/or t(9;22)(q34;q11.2) detected	baseline for monitoring treatment
	at initial diagnosis	response
	 No prior or subsequent evidence of chronic 	

		myeloid leukemia	
	-	No history of exposure to cytotoxic therapy	
Mixed-phenotype	-	≥20% blasts in bone marrow and/or blood with	Identification of the KMT2A
acute leukemia		an immunophenotype that meets the	fusion partner
(MPAL) with <i>KMT2A</i>		diagnostic criteria for MPAL	
rearrangement	-	Presence of a KMT2A rearrangement	
	-	No history of exposure to cytotoxic therapy	
Acute leukemia of	-	≥20% blasts in bone marrow and/or blood	
ambiguous lineage		expressing mixed-lineage or ambiguous	
with other defined		immunophenotype.	
genetic alterations	-	Detection of ZNF386	
		or BCL11B rearrangement	

TABLE 15. SUMMARY OF ACUTE LEUKEMIA WITH AMBIGUOUS LINEAGE, IMMUNOPHENOTYPICALLY DEFINED $^{\!\!\!1}$

Subtype	Essential diagnostic criteria	Desirable diagnostic criteria/
Mixed phenotype acute leukemia, B/Myeloid (MPAL- B/M) Mixed phenotype acute leukemia, T/Myeloid (MPAL-T/M) Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia (MPAL), rare types	 ≥20% blasts in bone marrow and/or blood expressing B lineage and myeloid lineage antigens Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria of MPAL with defined genetic alterations No history of exposure to cytotoxic therapy ≥20% blasts in bone marrow and/or blood expressing T lineage and myeloid lineage antigens Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria of MPAL with defined genetic alterations Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria of MPAL with defined genetic alterations Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria of MPAL with defined genetic alterations No history of exposure to cytotoxic therapy ≥20% blasts in bone marrow and/or blood expressing combinations of B, T, myeloid and megakaryocytic (Mk) lineage markers Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria of MPAL with defined genetic alterations, MPAL B/Myeloid, or MPAL T/Myeloid No history of exposure to cytotoxic therapy No history of exposure to cytotoxic therapy 	Subtypes: - Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia, B/T (MPAL- B/T) - Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia, B/T/Myeloid (MPAL-B/T/M) - Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia, T/Megakaryocytic (MPAL-T/Mk)
Acute leukemia of ambiguous lineage, not otherwise specified (ALAL-NOS)	 ≥20% blasts in bone marrow and/or blood expressing combinations of immunophenotypic lineage markers that do not permit definitive lineage assignments Not fulfilling diagnostic criteria of MPAL with defined genetic alterations 	

Blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm

Blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm (BPDCN) is a hematological neoplasm consisting of immature cells with plasmacytoid dendritic cell differentiation. While plasmacytoid dendritic cells can be derived from both myeloid and lymphoid cells, the predominant cell of origin is myeloid.¹⁶ In addition, the frequent leukemic presentation and possible blastic transformation event of underlying myeloid neoplasm, typically MDS or MDS/MPN in 20-30% of cases make inclusion in this cancer case summary more apt. Diagnostic characteristics include immature cells with blast/blastoid features and immunophenotypic evidence of plasmacytoid dendritic cell differentiation including the expected expression of CD123, CD4, CD56, TCF4, TCL1, CD303, CD304 with typical absence of expression of other antigens such as CD3, CD14, CD19, CD34, Lysozyme, Myeloperoxidase.¹⁷

<u>Dysplasia</u>

The presence or absence of significant dysplasia (defined as 10% or greater of cells in each lineage) should be noted for each of the major cell lineages present in the sample- erythroid, myeloid, and megakaryocytes. If dysplasia cannot reliably be assessed due to the nature of the sample select- Cannot be determined and specify the reason. For blood, report dysplasia that you can evaluate- typically granulocytic. If dysplasia is not applicable, for example in myeloid sarcoma or blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm, report as not applicable.

References

- WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board. Haematolymphoid tumours. Lyon (France): International Agency for Research on Cancer; forthcoming. (WHO classification of tumours series, 5th ed.; vol. 11). <u>https://publications.iarc.fr</u>.
- Wang W, Cortes JE, Tang G, Khoury JD, Wang S, Bueso-Ramos CE, et al. Risk stratification of chromosomal abnormalities in chronic myelogenous leukemia in the era of tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy. *Blood*. 2016;127:2742–50.
- Álvarez-Twose I, Jara-Acevedo M, Morgado JM, García-Montero A, Sánchez-Muñoz L, Teodósio C, Matito A, Mayado A, Caldas C, Mollejo M, Orfao A, Escribano L. Clinical, immunophenotypic, and molecular characteristics of well-differentiated systemic mastocytosis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2016 Jan;137(1):168-178.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.05.008. Epub 2015 Jun 19. PMID: 26100086.
- Raymond LM, Funk T, Braziel RM, Fan G, Gatter K, Loriaux M, Dunlap J, Raess PW. Mast cell sarcoma with concurrent mast cell leukaemia. *Br J Haematol*. 2020 May;189(4):e160-e164. doi: 10.1111/bjh.16581. Epub 2020 Apr 3. PMID: 32242922.
- Valent P, Akin C, Hartmann K, Alvarez-Twose I, Brockow K, Hermine O, Niedoszytko M, Schwaab J, Lyons JJ, Carter MC, Elberink HO, Butterfield JH, George TI, Greiner G, Ustun C, Bonadonna P, Sotlar K, Nilsson G, Jawhar M, Siebenhaar F, Broesby-Olsen S, Yavuz S, Zanotti R, Lange M, Nedoszytko B, Hoermann G, Castells M, Radia DH, Muñoz-Gonzalez JI, Sperr WR, Triggiani M, Kluin-Nelemans HC, Galli SJ, Schwartz LB, Reiter A, Orfao A, Gotlib J, Arock M, Horny HP, Metcalfe DD. Updated Diagnostic Criteria and Classification of Mast Cell Disorders: A Consensus Proposal. *Hemsphere*. 2021 Oct 13;5(11):e646. doi: 10.1097/HS9.000000000000646. PMID: 34901755; PMCID: PMC8659997.
- Yoshizato T, Nannya Y, Atsuta Y, Shiozawa Y, Iijima-Yamashita Y, Yoshida K, Shiraishi Y, Suzuki H, Nagata Y, Sato Y, Kakiuchi N, Matsuo K, Onizuka M, Kataoka K, Chiba K, Tanaka H, Ueno H, Nakagawa MM, Przychodzen B, Haferlach C, Kern W, Aoki K, Itonaga H, Kanda Y, Sekeres MA, Maciejewski JP, Haferlach T, Miyazaki Y, Horibe K, Sanada M, Miyano S,

Makishima H, Ogawa S. Genetic abnormalities in myelodysplasia and secondary acute myeloid leukemia: impact on outcome of stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2017 Apr 27;129(17):2347-2358. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-12-754796. Epub 2017 Feb 21. PMID: 28223278; PMCID: PMC5409449.

- Bernard E, Nannya Y, Hasserjian RP, Devlin SM, Tuechler H, Medina-Martinez JS, Yoshizato T, Shiozawa Y, Saiki R, Malcovati L, Levine MF, Arango JE, Zhou Y, Solé F, Cargo CA, Haase D, Creignou M, Germing U, Zhang Y, Gundem G, Sarian A, van de Loosdrecht AA, Jädersten M, Tobiasson M, Kosmider O, Follo MY, Thol F, Pinheiro RF, Santini V, Kotsianidis I, Boultwood J, Santos FPS, Schanz J, Kasahara S, Ishikawa T, Tsurumi H, Takaori-Kondo A, Kiguchi T, Polprasert C, Bennett JM, Klimek VM, Savona MR, Belickova M, Ganster C, Palomo L, Sanz G, Ades L, Della Porta MG, Elias HK, Smith AG, Werner Y, Patel M, Viale A, Vanness K, Neuberg DS, Stevenson KE, Menghrajani K, Bolton KL, Fenaux P, Pellagatti A, Platzbecker U, Heuser M, Valent P, Chiba S, Miyazaki Y, Finelli C, Voso MT, Shih LY, Fontenay M, Jansen JH, Cervera J, Atsuta Y, Gattermann N, Ebert BL, Bejar R, Greenberg PL, Cazzola M, Hellström-Lindberg E, Ogawa S, Papaemmanuil E. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Nat Med*. 2020 Oct;26(10):1549-1556. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-1008-z. Epub 2020 Aug 3. Erratum in: *Nat Med*. 2021 Mar;27(3):562. Erratum in: *Nat Med*. 2021 May;27(5):927. PMID: 32747829; PMCID: PMC8381722.
- Baumann I, Führer M, Behrendt S, Campr V, Csomor J, Furlan I, de Haas V, Kerndrup G, Leguit RJ, De Paepe P, Noellke P, Niemeyer C, Schwarz S. Morphological differentiation of severe aplastic anaemia from hypocellular refractory cytopenia of childhood: reproducibility of histopathological diagnostic criteria. *Histopathology*. 2012 Jul;61(1):10-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2559.2011.04156.x. Epub 2012 Mar 28. PMID: 22458667.
- Selimoglu-Buet D, Wagner-Ballon O, Saada V, Bardet V, Itzykson R, Bencheikh L, Morabito M, Met E, Debord C, Benayoun E, Nloga AM, Fenaux P, Braun T, Willekens C, Quesnel B, Adès L, Fontenay M, Rameau P, Droin N, Koscielny S, Solary E; Francophone Myelodysplasia Group. Characteristic repartition of monocyte subsets as a diagnostic signature of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2015 Jun 4;125(23):3618-26. doi: 10.1182/blood-2015-01-620781. Epub 2015 Apr 7. PMID: 25852055; PMCID: PMC4497970.
- Peng J, Zuo Z, Fu B, Oki Y, Tang G, Goswami M, Priyanka P, Muzzafar T, Medeiros LJ, Luthra R, Wang SA. Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia with nucleophosmin (NPM1) mutation. *Eur J Haematol*. 2016 Jan;96(1):65-71. doi: 10.1111/ejh.12549. Epub 2015 Apr 21. PMID: 25809997.
- Montalban-Bravo G, Benton CB, Wang SA, Ravandi F, Kadia T, Cortes J, Daver N, Takahashi K, DiNardo C, Jabbour E, Borthakur G, Konopleva M, Pierce S, Bueso-Ramos C, Patel K, Kornblau S, Kantarjian H, Young KH, Garcia-Manero G, Andreeff M. More than 1 TP53 abnormality is a dominant characteristic of pure erythroid leukemia. *Blood.* 2017 May 4;129(18):2584-2587. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-11-749903. Epub 2017 Feb 28. PMID: 28246192; PMCID: PMC5418636.
- 12. Kuendgen A, Nomdedeu M, Tuechler H, Garcia-Manero G, Komrokji RS, Sekeres MA, Della Porta MG, Cazzola M, DeZern AE, Roboz GJ, Steensma DP, Van de Loosdrecht AA, Schlenk RF, Grau J, Calvo X, Blum S, Pereira A, Valent P, Costa D, Giagounidis A, Xicoy B, Döhner H, Platzbecker U, Pedro C, Lübbert M, Oiartzabal I, Díez-Campelo M, Cedena MT, Machherndl-Spandl S, López-Pavía M, Baldus CD, Martinez-de-Sola M, Stauder R, Merchan B, List A, Ganster C, Schroeder T, Voso MT, Pfeilstöcker M, Sill H, Hildebrandt B, Esteve J, Nomdedeu B, Cobo F, Haas R, Sole F, Germing U, Greenberg PL, Haase D, Sanz G. Therapy-related myelodysplastic syndromes deserve specific diagnostic sub-classification and risk-stratification-

an approach to classification of patients with t-MDS. *Leukemia*. 2021 Mar;35(3):835-849. doi: 10.1038/s41375-020-0917-7. Epub 2020 Jun 29. PMID: 32595214; PMCID: PMC7932916.

- Olga Pozdnyakova, MD, PhD, Attilio Orazi, MD, PhD, Katalin Kelemen, MD, PhD, Rebecca King, MD, Kaaren K Reichard, MD, Fiona E Craig, MD, Leticia Quintanilla-Martinez, MD, Lisa Rimsza, MD, Tracy I George, MD, Hans-Peter Horny, MD, Sa A Wang, MD, Myeloid/Lymphoid Neoplasms Associated With Eosinophilia and Rearrangements of PDGFRA, PDGFRB, or FGFR1 or With PCM1-JAK2, *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, Volume 155, Issue 2, February 2021, Pages 160–178, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/aqaa208</u>.
- Klion AD, Noel P, Akin C, Law MA, Gilliland DG, Cools J, Metcalfe DD, Nutman TB. Elevated serum tryptase levels identify a subset of patients with a myeloproliferative variant of idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndrome associated with tissue fibrosis, poor prognosis, and imatinib responsiveness. *Blood.* 2003 Jun 15;101(12):4660-6. doi: 10.1182/blood-2003-01-0006. Epub 2003 Apr 3. PMID: 12676775.
- 15. Fang H, Tang G, Loghavi S, Greipp P, Wang W, Verstovsek S, Medeiros LJ, Reichard KK, Miranda RN, Wang SA. Systematic use of fluorescence in-situ hybridisation and clinicopathological features in the screening of PDGFRB rearrangements of patients with myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms. *Histopathology.* 2020 Jun;76(7):1042-1054. doi: 10.1111/his.14097. Epub 2020 May 17. PMID: 32083752.
- Musumeci A, Lutz K, Winheim E and Krug AB (2019) What Makes a pDC: Recent Advances in Understanding Plasmacytoid DC Development and Heterogeneity. Front. *Immunol.* 10:1222. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01222.
- 17. Francine Garnache-Ottou, Chrystelle Vidal, Sabeha Biichlé, Florian Renosi, Eve Poret, Maïder Pagadoy, Maxime Desmarets, Anne Roggy, Estelle Seilles, Lou Soret, Françoise Schillinger, Sandrine Puyraimond, Tony Petrella, Claude Preudhomme, Christophe Roumier, Elisabeth A. MacIntyre, Véronique Harrivel, Yohan Desbrosses, Bérengère Gruson, Franck Geneviève, Sylvain Thepot, Yuriy Drebit, Thibaut Leguay, François-Xavier Gros, Nicolas Lechevalier, Pascale Saussoy, Véronique Salaun, Edouard Cornet, Zehaira Benseddik, Richard Veyrat-Masson, Orianne Wagner-Ballon, Célia Salanoubat, Marc Maynadié, Julien Guy, Denis Caillot, Marie-Christine Jacob, Jean-Yves Cahn, Rémy Gressin, Johann Rose, Bruno Quesnel, Estelle Guerin, Franck Trimoreau, Jean Feuillard, Marie-Pierre Gourin, Adriana Plesa, Lucile Baseggio, Isabelle Arnoux, Norbert Vey, Didier Blaise, Romaric Lacroix, Christine Arnoulet, Blandine Benet, Véronique Dorvaux, Caroline Bret, Bernard Drenou, Agathe Debliguis, Véronique Latger-Cannard, Caroline Bonmati, Marie-Christine Bene, Pierre Peterlin, Michel Ticchioni, Pierre-Simon Rohrlich, Anne Arnaud, Stefan Wickenhauser, Valérie Bardet, Sabine Brechignac, Benjamin Papoular, Victoria Raggueneau, Jacques Vargaftig, Rémi Letestu, Daniel Lusina, Thorsten Braun, Vincent Foissaud, Jérôme Tamburini, Hind Bennani, Nicolas Freynet, Catherine Cordonnier, Magali Le Garff-Tavernier, Nathalie Jacques, Karim Maloum, Damien Roos-Weil, Didier Bouscary, Vahid Asnafi, Ludovic Lhermitte, Felipe Suarez, Etienne Lengline, Frédéric Féger, Giorgia Battipaglia, Mohamad Mohty, Sabrina Bouyer, Ouda Ghoual, Elodie Dindinaud, Caroline Basle, Mathieu Puyade, Carinne Lafon, Thierry Fest, Mikael Roussel, Xavier Cahu, Elsa Bera, Sylvie Daliphard, Fabrice Jardin, Lydia Campos, Françoise Solly, Denis Guyotat, Anne-Cécile Galoisy, Alice Eischen, Caroline Mayeur-Rousse, Blandine Guffroy, Christian Recher, Marie Loosveld, Alice Garnier, Vincent Barlogis, Maria Alessandra Rosenthal, Sophie Brun, Nathalie Contentin, Sébastien Maury, Mary Callanan, Christine Lefebvre, Natacha Maillard, Patricia Okamba, Christophe Ferrand, Olivier Adotevi, Philippe Saas, Fanny Angelot-Delettre, Delphine Binda, Eric Deconinck; How should we diagnose and treat blastic plasmacytoid dendritic

cell neoplasm patients?. *Blood Adv* 2019; 3 (24): 4238–4251. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2019000647</u>.

C. Special Studies

Ring sideroblasts/iron stain

An iron stain (Prussian blue) is necessary to evaluate for the presence of stainable iron and to identify ring sideroblasts.¹ Stainable iron is best evaluated on bone marrow aspirate smears or touch preparations of the core biopsy. Evaluation of the bone marrow core biopsy or clot section may hinder the visualization of the ring sideroblasts and decalcification diminishes stainable iron. For specimens other than bone marrow, select not applicable/not performed/not evaluated.

Bone marrow fibrosis grade

Bone marrow fibrosis is assessed using a semi-quantitative grade (MF-0 to MF-3) based on reticulin stains and, depending on the degree of fibrosis (MF-2 or MF-3), trichrome stain. The fiber density should only be assessed in areas of hematopoiesis; if there is variability the grade should be assigned based on the highest grade that is present in at least 30% of the bone marrow. The bone marrow fibrosis grading system is shown in Table 1.2.3

Myelofibrosis grade	Description
MF-0	 Scattered linear reticulin with no intersections (crossovers) corresponding to normal BM
MF-1	 Loose network of reticulin with many intersections, especially in perivascular areas
MF-2	 Diffuse and dense increase in reticulin with extensive intersections, occasionally with focal bundles of thick fibers mostly consistent with collagen, and/or focal osteosclerosis. (Trichrome stain recommended)
MF-3	 Diffuse and dense increase in reticulin with extensive intersections and coarse bundles of thick fibers consistent with collagen, usually associated with osteosclerosis. (Trichrome stain recommended)

Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry is a quantitative method for rapid, multiparametric evaluation of the expression of cell surface and cytoplasmic antigens of a large number of cells. If flow cytometry is performed, report if there was no aberrancy detected in the sample at the level of sensitivity of the assay or specify what specific alterations were detected. As there is substantial variability in the reporting of results the cancer case summary does not require a specific method. It is recommended to report the result on the tumor cell population in a semi-quantitative method that would allow those reviewing the report to determine if there is heterogenous expression (not all tumor cells are positive) and the level of expression on the population. Examples of this reporting include: CD34 dim+ (low-level expression of CD34), CD34+ (moderate level of expression of CD34), CD34++ (bright expression of CD34), CD34-/+ het (variable heterogenous expression of CD34).

Cytogenetics

Report if conventional/karyotype cytogenetic analysis was performed on the sample, and if performed, the result. For those samples with abnormal karyotypes, specify the result.

Fluorescence in-situ hybridization

Report the results of any fluorescence in-situ hybridization performed by listing probes that were tested with normal results and those that showed an abnormal signal pattern.

Molecular alterations detected

With the advent of increasingly sophisticated molecular genetic techniques such as next-generation sequencing (NGS), chromosomal microarrays, and large fluorescence in-situ hybridization panels reporting all of these results in a synoptic format is a challenge. As many of these alterations are diagnostically, prognostically, or therapeutically significant- reporting in a succinct manner is necessary. To make reporting easier for the pathologist and highlight what is most important for the treating clinician, this cancer case summary requires the reporting of any positive/abnormal alterations that were detected followed by an optional listing of all the alterations that were tested. The cancer case summary lists some of the most common genetic alterations that occur in myeloid neoplasms, but it is in no way comprehensive. The option exists to provide the molecular alterations not specifically listed under "Other alterations listed (specify)," where the user would enter what molecular alteration was detected.

After each alteration, there is a specific field where users can delineate, if desired, specific alterations, methodology (NGS, for example), or additional information (variant allele frequency VAF) that would be useful for that institution. While preferable to include specific information, this could also reference a separate report with this information (separate molecular pathology report). An example of how to use this section is as follows:

Molecular alterations detected (select all those with significant mutations)

X DNMT3A mutation, (specify): DNMT3A R882H, VAF 47%, NGS

- X_ FLT3 interim tandem duplication (ITD), (specify): (PCR)/Capillary Electrophoresis, signal ratio 9.5
- X NPM1 mutation, (specify): c.578A>G→p.K193R, VAF 40%, NGS

Molecular alterations assayed:

(List): ABL1, ANKRD26, APC, ARAF, ASXL1, ATM, ATRX, BCOR, BCORL1, BLM, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CBL, CBLB, CBLC, CDKN2A, CEBPA, CHEK2, CSF3R, CTC1, CUX1, CXCR4, DDX41, DKC1, DNMT3A, ELANE, EPCAM, ERCC4, ETNK1, ETV6, EZH2, FANCA, FANCB, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FBXW7, FLT3, G6PC3, GATA1, GATA2, GFI1, GNAS, GNB1, HAX1, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKZF1, IKZF3, ITPKB, JAK2, JAK3, KDM6A, KIT, KMT2A, KRAS, MAP2K1, MET, MLH1, MPL, MSH2, MSH6, MYD88, NF1, NHP2, NOP10, NOTCH1, NPM1, NRAS, PALB2, PDGFRA, PHF6, PIGA, PML, PMS2, PPM1D, PTEN, PTPN11, RAD21, RAD51C, RB1, RPL11, RPL35A, RPL5, RPS10, RPS17, RPS26, RPS7, RTEL1, RUNX1, SAMD9, SAMD9L, SBDS, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SH2B3, SLX4, SMC1A, SMC3, SRP72, SRSF2, STAG2, STAT3, STAT5B, SUZ12, TERC, TERT, TET2, TINF2, TP53, U2AF1, VHL, WAS, WRAP53, WT1, ZRSR2

This method can simplify the reporting of large numbers of genes to highlight only those with alterations identified. It also provides a means of listing what was assayed- such lists can be typically obtained from

the laboratory performing the molecular studies. This is less labor-intensive than listing all the negative results.

References

- 1. Della Porta MG, Travaglino E, Boveri E, et al. Minimal morphological criteria for defining bone marrow dysplasia: a basis for clinical implementation of WHO classification of myelodysplastic syndromes. *Leukemia* 2015;29: 66–75.
- 2. Thiele J, Kvasnicka HM, Facchetti F, Franco V, van der Walt J, Orazi A. European consensus on grading bone marrow fibrosis and assessment of cellularity. *Haematologica*. 2005 Aug;90(8):1128-32. PMID: 16079113.
- Kvasnicka HM, Beham-Schmid C, Bob R, Dirnhofer S, Hussein K, Kreipe H, Kremer M, Schmitt-Graeff A, Schwarz S, Thiele J, Werner M, Stein H. Problems and pitfalls in grading of bone marrow fibrosis, collagen deposition and osteosclerosis - a consensus-based study. *Histopathology.* 2016 May;68(6):905-15. doi: 10.1111/his.12871. Epub 2015 Nov 20. PMID: 26402166.