Protocol for the Examination of Resection Specimens From Patients With Wilms and Other Pediatric Renal Tumors

Version: 4.3.0.0
Protocol Posting Date: December 2022
CAP Laboratory Accreditation Program Protocol Required Use Date: September 2023

The changes included in this current protocol version affect accreditation requirements. The new deadline for implementing this protocol version is reflected in the above accreditation date.

For accreditation purposes, this protocol should be used for the following procedures AND tumor types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resection</td>
<td>Includes specimens labeled partial nephrectomy and radical nephrectomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wilms tumor</td>
<td>Includes pediatric patients with Wilms and other renal tumors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This protocol is NOT required for accreditation purposes for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional excision performed after the definitive resection (eg, re-excision of surgical margins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytologic specimens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following should NOT be reported using this protocol:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy (consider Wilms Tumor Biopsy protocol)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renal cell carcinoma (consider the Kidney protocol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma (consider the Hodgkin or non-Hodgkin Lymphoma protocols)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Accreditation Requirements
This protocol can be utilized for a variety of procedures and tumor types for clinical care purposes. For accreditation purposes, only the definitive primary cancer resection specimen is required to have the core and conditional data elements reported in a synoptic format.

- **Core data elements** are required in reports to adequately describe appropriate malignancies. For accreditation purposes, essential data elements must be reported in all instances, even if the response is “not applicable” or “cannot be determined.”
- **Conditional data elements** are only required to be reported if applicable as delineated in the protocol. For instance, the total number of lymph nodes examined must be reported, but only if nodes are present in the specimen.
- **Optional data elements** are identified with “+” and although not required for CAP accreditation purposes, may be considered for reporting as determined by local practice standards.

The use of this protocol is not required for recurrent tumors or for metastatic tumors that are resected at a different time than the primary tumor. Use of this protocol is also not required for pathology reviews performed at a second institution (ie, secondary consultation, second opinion, or review of outside case at second institution).

Synoptic Reporting
All core and conditionally required data elements outlined on the surgical case summary from this cancer protocol must be displayed in synoptic report format. Synoptic format is defined as:

- Data element: followed by its answer (response), outline format without the paired Data element: Response format is NOT considered synoptic.
- The data element should be represented in the report as it is listed in the case summary. The response for any data element may be modified from those listed in the case summary, including “Cannot be determined” if appropriate.
- Each diagnostic parameter pair (Data element: Response) is listed on a separate line or in a tabular format to achieve visual separation. The following exceptions are allowed to be listed on one line:
  - Anatomic site or specimen, laterality, and procedure
  - Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM) elements
  - Negative margins, as long as all negative margins are specifically enumerated where applicable
- The synoptic portion of the report can appear in the diagnosis section of the pathology report, at the end of the report or in a separate section, but all Data element: Responses must be listed together in one location.

Organizations and pathologists may choose to list the required elements in any order, use additional methods in order to enhance or achieve visual separation, or add optional items within the synoptic report. The report may have required elements in a summary format elsewhere in the report IN ADDITION TO but not as replacement for the synoptic report ie, all required elements must be in the synoptic portion of the report in the format defined above.
Summary of Changes
v 4.3.0.0

- WHO 5th edition updates
- Expert Consultation updated from Conditional to Optional
Reporting Template

Protocol Posting Date: December 2022
Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

CASE SUMMARY: (KIDNEY, PEDIATRIC RENAL TUMORS: Resection)
For bilateral tumors, complete a separate checklist for each kidney.

EXPERT CONSULTATION

+Expert Consultation (Note A)
   ___ Pending - Completion of this CAP Cancer Protocol is awaiting expert consultation
   ___ Completed - This CAP Cancer Protocol or some elements have been performed following expert consultation
   ___ Not applicable

SPECIMEN

Procedure (Note B)
   ___ Partial nephrectomy
   ___ Radical nephrectomy
   ___ Other (specify): ____________________
   ___ Not specified

Other Tissue Received (select all that apply)
   ___ Adrenal
   ___ Liver
   ___ Lung
   ___ Other (specify): ____________________
   ___ Not specified

Nephrectomy Weight (Note B)
   ___ Specify in Grams (g): _______________ g
   ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________

Specimen Laterality (select all that apply)
   ___ Right
   ___ Left
   ___ Not specified

TUMOR

Histologic Type (Note C)
   ___ Wilms tumor, favorable histology
   ___ Wilms tumor, focal anaplasia
   ___ Wilms tumor, diffuse anaplasia
   ___ Congenital mesoblastic nephroma (cellular, classic, or mixed)
___ Clear cell sarcoma of kidney
___ Rhabdoid tumor
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Malignant neoplasm, type cannot be determined (explain): _________________
+Histologic Type Comment: _________________

Tumor Size
___ Greatest dimension in Centimeters (cm): _________________ cm
+Additional Dimension in Centimeters (cm): ____ x ____ cm
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Greatest Dimension of Additional Tumors (for specimens with multiple tumors, repeat this section as needed)
  Tumor Identifier: _________________
  Greatest Dimension of Additional Tumor in Centimeters (cm): _________________ cm

Tumor Focality
___ Unifocal
___ Multifocal

Number of Tumors in Specimen
___ Specify exact number: _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

+Nephrogenic Rests (Note D)
___ Not identified
___ Intralobar
___ Perilobar, diffuse and hyperplastic
___ Perilobar, multifocal
___ Perilobar, focal
___ Perilobar
___ Present, unclassified
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

Tumor Extent (Note E)
  Evidence of Tumor Disruption (pre-operative rupture or intra-operative spillage) (Note D)
___ Identified
___ Not identified
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

Renal Sinus Involvement by Viable Tumor (select all that apply)
___ Not identified
___ Minimal extension into renal sinus soft tissue (a single focus less than 5 mm in greatest dimension located greater than 5 mm from the nearest margin)
___ More than minimal extension into renal sinus soft tissue
___ Involvement of renal sinus lymphovascular spaces
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________
Renal Vein Involvement by Viable Tumor
___ Not identified
___ Present
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Extension Beyond Renal Capsule by Viable Tumor
___ Not identified
___ Present
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Direct Extension into Adjacent Organs by Viable Tumor
___ Not identified
___ Present (specify sites): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Posttherapy Histologic Classification of Favorable Histology Wilms Tumor
The histologic evidence of response to therapy may be used to guide further therapy for Wilms tumors. Therefore tumors that have previously undergone therapy should be given a posttherapy classification.
___ Not applicable (No known preoperative therapy)
___ Low risk (no viable Wilms tumor present other than scattered nephroblastic tubules that may represent residual nephrogenic rest)
___ Intermediate risk, with viable tumor present comprising less than 33% of mass, regardless of histology
___ Intermediate risk, with viable tumor present comprising greater than 33% of mass and blastemal histology present in less than 66% of viable tumor
___ Intermediate risk (not otherwise specified)
___ High risk (viable tumor greater than 33% of mass with blastemal histology present in greater than 66% of viable tumor)
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

+Tumor Comment: _________________

MARGINS

Margin Status
___ All margins negative for viable and non-viable tumor

Closest Margin(s) to Tumor (select all that apply)
___ Vascular: _________________
___ Ureteral: _________________
___ Perinephric soft tissue: _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Distance from Tumor to Closest Margin
Specify in Centimeters (cm).
___ Exact distance: _________________ cm
___ Greater than: _________________ cm
___ At least: _________________ cm
___ Less than: _________________ cm
___ Less than 0.1 cm
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

___ Tumor (viable or non-viable) present at margin

**Margin(s) Involved by Viable or Non-Viable Tumor (select all that apply)**
___ Vascular: _________________
___ Ureteral: _________________
___ Perinephric soft tissue: _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________
___ Not applicable

**Margin Comment:** _________________

**REGIONAL LYMPH NODES**

**Regional Lymph Node Status**
___ Not applicable (no regional lymph nodes submitted or found)
___ Regional lymph nodes present
___ All regional lymph nodes negative for viable and non-viable tumor
___ Tumor (viable or non-viable) present in regional lymph node(s)

**Number of Lymph Nodes with Tumor**
___ Exact number (specify): _________________
___ At least (specify): _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

**Nodal Site(s) with Tumor, if known**
___ Specify nodal site(s): _________________
___ Cannot be determined
___ Not known
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

**Number of Lymph Nodes Examined**
___ Exact number (specify): _________________
___ At least (specify): _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

**Regional Lymph Node Comment:** _________________
DISTANT METASTASIS

Distant Site(s) Involved by Viable or Non-Viable Tumor, if applicable (select all that apply)
Distant metastasis includes both hematogenous metastasis or lymph node metastasis outside the abdomen-pelvic region (beyond the renal drainage system).

___ Not applicable
___ Lymph node(s) outside of the abdomino-pelvic region: _________________
___ Lung: _________________
___ Liver: _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________

PATHOLOGIC STAGE

Children’s Oncology Group Staging System for Pediatric Renal Tumors Other Than Renal Cell Carcinoma (Note F)
Local stage must be assigned by the pathologist with the caveat that he or she may not be aware of clinical or radiographic information important in assigning the clinical or overall stage (i.e., presence of metastatic disease).

___ Not applicable (nephrogenic rests only)

# Local Stage I requires all of the following to be true: No penetration of renal capsule by tumor identified, and; No tumor involvement of extrarenal or renal sinus lymph-vascular spaces identified, and; No tumor metastasis to lymph nodes identified
___ Local Stage I: Tumor limited to kidney and completely resected#

___ Local Stage II: Tumor extends beyond kidney but is completely resected, with negative surgical margins and negative regional lymph nodes
   ___ Tumor (viable) extends through the renal capsule or involves the perirenal fat or adrenal gland
   ___ Tumor (viable) involvement of extrarenal or renal sinus lymph-vascular spaces present
   ___ Tumor (viable) involves renal vein but has not been transected and is not attached to vein wall at resection margin
   ___ Tumor (viable) infiltrates the wall of the renal pelvis or the ureter
   ___ Tumor (viable) more than minimally involves the renal sinus soft tissue

___ Local Stage III: Residual tumor is suspected
   ___ Tumor (viable or non-viable) present at margin(s) of resection
   ___ Tumor (viable or non-viable) rupture identified
   ___ Tumor spill (viable or non-viable) before or during surgery identified
   ___ Piecemeal excision of tumor viable or non-viable tumor (removal of tumor in more than 1 piece)
   ___ Metastatic tumor (viable or non-viable) in regional lymph node(s) identified
   ___ History of renal tumor biopsy before definitive surgery (pretherapy specimens only)
   ___ Tumor implants (viable or non-viable) present anywhere in the abdomen

# Stage IV requires hematogenous metastases or lymph node metastases outside the abdomino-pelvic region (beyond renal drainage system, e.g., lung, liver)
___ Stage IV: Metastatic disease#

___ Stage V: Bilateral renal involvement at diagnosis

Each side should be staged separately in separate case summaries, according to above criteria, as stage I through IV
Specify (both):

Right Kidney Stage
___ I
___ II
___ III
Left Kidney Stage

- [ ] I
- [ ] II
- [ ] III
- [ ] IV

**Relevant Immunohistochemistry (Note C)**

- [ ] Not performed
- [ ] Specify findings: _________________
- [ ] Pending

**Ancillary Studies (Note G) (select all that apply)**

- [ ] Microarray
  - [ ] Specify findings: _________________
  - [ ] Pending
- [ ] FISH
  - [ ] Specify probe and findings: _________________
  - [ ] Pending
- [ ] Next generation sequencing (NGS)
  - [ ] Specify findings: _________________
  - [ ] Pending
- [ ] Other (specify): _________________

**ADDITIONAL FINDINGS**

**Additional Findings (specify) (Notes G,H): _________________**

**COMMENTS**

Comment(s): _________________
Explanatory Notes

A. Expert Consultation
Expert consultation is not required. This question has been added to annotate, if so desired, that the case has been sent out for consultation and thus items of the CAP protocol could not be completed pending expert consultation. Completion of the CAP protocol will then be performed following consultation.

B. Handling of Renal Specimens to Include Frozen Section Management
With pediatric renal tumors, there are many issues that can interfere with making accurate diagnostic and staging decisions. The following guidelines are recommended to ensure that the necessary diagnostic features are preserved and properly examined:

Frozen Section
Because of the high number of false-positives, intraoperative frozen sections should be avoided unless the operative procedure will be altered by the result. Frozens of pediatric renal tumors present significant potential for diagnostic error, even on permanent section. However, frozen sections from the bivalved nephrectomy specimen—to ensure tumor viability or to prompt other differential diagnostic studies—may be of value.

For future potential molecular studies, viable tumor (1 gram or more) should be snap-frozen (liquid nitrogen or cold isopentane) in 2 or more vials, along with a separate portion of nonneoplastic kidney (at least 1 vial). The latter serves as a useful control in molecular genetic studies and helps determine whether any detected genomic abnormalities are germline or intratumoral mutations. Nephrogenic rests may also be sampled and frozen for the same reasons.

Nephrectomy
- Nephrectomy specimens should be submitted intact by the surgeon. The surface of the specimen should be photographed and inked before bivalving to facilitate the recognition of displacement artifacts from the smearing of tumor cells over the specimen surface during sectioning, as well as to evaluate margins. Bivalving will cause the capsule in a fresh kidney to retract, possibly altering the relationship between the tumor and the capsule or surgical margin.
- The capsule from nephrectomy specimens must never be stripped. Invasion of the tumor into the capsule is a criterion in staging. In addition, nephrogenic rests are often subcapsular in location. The medial sinus margin is defined as the medial end of soft tissues surrounding the renal artery and vein.
- Inspect the renal vein for tumor thrombus because this is a common route by which Wilms tumor exits the kidney (see Microscopic Examination Note). Care should be taken to not over-interpret the renal vein margin (see Extent of Tumor Note).
- The exact site from which each section or paraffin block is obtained may be documented by photograph, photocopy, or drawing. Often, this documentation is critical for recognizing staging problems and for the evaluation of focal versus diffuse anaplasia.
- Take at least 1 microscopic section per centimeter of maximal tumor diameter, with additional sampling of any suspicious lesions. The majority of random tumor sections should be taken from the periphery of the tumor, because this is where the invasive pattern of the tumor can be identified and its interface with the capsule and native kidney can be evaluated. Peripheral sections also demonstrate invasion of vessels within the intrarenal extension of the renal sinus. The renal sinus...
is composed of fat containing hilar vessels; the renal sinus is largely located within the hilum of the kidney but may extend deep into the kidney. Involvement of the intrarenal renal sinus remains a criterion for local stage 2 disease. The renal cortex at the sinus lacks a capsule. The most important sections are those taken from regions of the sinus adjacent to the tumor to demonstrate involvement (or lack of involvement) of sinus vessels (see Microscopic Examination Note).

- For Wilms tumors that are multicentric, sample each nodule. More than 30% of Wilms nephrectomy specimens contain nephrogenic rests. Nephrogenic rests often appear paler than the typical nonneoplastic kidney parenchyma. These areas should be sampled. Nephrogenic rests have important implications concerning the risk of contralateral Wilms tumor development and may have other syndromatic implications. At least 1 random section of normal kidney and possibly more may be taken to detect nephrogenic rests microscopically (see Nephrogenic Rests Note).

- Nephrectomy weight may be an eligibility factor for some clinical trial protocols. Hence, this measurement is critical.

- In addition to the capsular, vascular, and sinus sampling already described, routine sections taken for margins should include sampling of the distal ureter.

- Evaluation of lymph nodes may be required for certain therapeutic protocols. In addition to separately submitted lymph nodes, the hilar adipose tissue should be examined for possible lymph nodes. If no lymph nodes are submitted separately and none are identified in the hilum, the hilar adipose tissue should be entirely submitted for microscopic examination.
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C. Microscopic Examination: Histology and Immunohistochemistry

Favorable Histology Wilms Tumor
Classic Wilms tumors present with a mixture of blastemal, stromal, and epithelial cell types. A common difficulty faced by pathologists interpreting a pediatric renal mass is the distinction between a hyperplastic perilobar nephrogenic rest and a Wilms tumor because these may be cytologically identical. The most helpful histologic feature is the absence of a peritumoral fibrous capsule in perilobar nephrogenic rests.

Many other neoplasms may have a histologic appearance similar to blastemal-predominant Wilms tumors. The most common tumors misdiagnosed as Wilms tumors are undifferentiated neuroblastoma, Ewing sarcoma, and synovial sarcoma. The most helpful feature that favors the diagnosis of Wilms tumor is the presence of overlapping nuclei with finely dispersed chromatin. Similarly, epithelial-prominent Wilms tumors show considerable histologic overlap with papillary renal cell carcinoma and metanephric adenoma. A more detailed diagnosis of pediatric renal tumors is provided elsewhere.1,2,3

Immunohistochemistry
For diagnosis of Wilms tumor, no single or panel markers can with 100% confidence either prove or exclude the diagnosis of Wilms tumor. WT1 is commonly positive in blastemal and epithelial elements but may be negative in up to 20% of Wilms tumors. PAX8/PAX2 are expressed in Wilms tumor and this expression
may exclude small blue cell tumors of the soft tissue that happen to present in the kidney.3 Almost any other immunohistochemical marker may be found in Wilms tumors in the correct pathologic context.

Although some studies suggest that p53 immunostaining may be a more sensitive predictor of poor outcomes than histologic assessment of anaplasia1, such studies are fraught with difficulties in interpreting the outside limits of “positivity” as well as with interinstitutional variability in immunostaining techniques. Furthermore, some p53 mutations by their nature do not result in abnormal protein accumulation. However, strong, unequivocal p53 protein accumulation identified in a tumor that is suspicious for anaplasia may contribute to the diagnosis.2

Anaplastic Wilms Tumor
Once a tumor has been diagnosed as Wilms tumor, it is necessary to determine if it is of favorable histology or if anaplasia is present. Although anaplasia is present in only 5% of all cases4,5, it is the major prognostic indicator and will place a tumor in an unfavorable histologic category.

The presence of anaplasia is a significant prognostic factor in Wilms tumor and places the tumor in an unfavorable category. Although the mechanism for unfavorable prognosis is unclear, anaplasia may be a marker of chemotherapy resistance. A diagnosis of anaplasia requires both (1) gigantic polypoid nuclei with increased chromatin content and major diameters at least 3 times those of adjacent cells and (2) the presence of multipolar or otherwise recognizable polypoid mitotic figures. On a small biopsy, a single multipolar mitotic figure or an unequivocally gigantic tumor cell nucleus may be sufficient criteria for diagnosis. Severe nuclear unrest is defined as nuclear pleomorphism or atypia approaching the criteria of anaplasia. Care should be taken in the assessment of anaplasia cells exhibiting rhabdomyoblastic differentiation, as these cells may show nuclear enlargement, pleomorphism, and hyperchromasia akin to regenerating skeletal muscle. Such areas of “pseudoanaplasia” will have increased cytoplasmic volume and will lack atypical mitoses, as described above.

Criteria for focal versus diffuse anaplasia have been defined topographically and are rigorous.5 This topographic definition of focal anaplasia makes it mandatory that pathologists carefully document the exact site from which every section is obtained (e.g., on a diagram, specimen photocopy, and/or photograph of the gross specimen).

Focal Anaplasia
Diagnosis of focal anaplasia is warranted if all of the following are true:
- No anaplasia should be present in tumors within renal vessels or outside the kidney.
- Anaplasia must be confined to 1 or 2 sharply localized regions, each less than 15 mm in diameter, within the primary intrarenal tumor site.5
- Each focus of anaplasia must be surrounded on all sides by nonanaplastic tissue. This may require mapping of the tumor during submission.
- The remaining nonanaplastic tumor must not show severe nuclear unrest.
(The same criteria apply to posttreatment nephrectomies. There is no evidence to suggest that either chemotherapy or radiation therapy results in anaplasia.)
Diffuse Anaplasia
Diagnosis of diffuse anaplasia is warranted if any of the following are true:

- Anaplasia is present in tumors in any extrarenal site, including vessels of the renal sinus, extracapsular infiltrates, or nodal or distant metastases. Also, anaplasia is present in intrarenal vascular involvement by tumor.
- Anaplasia is present in a random biopsy.
- Anaplasia is unequivocally identified, but the tumor fails any of the above criteria for focal anaplasia.

Posttherapy Classification of Wilms Tumor:
The response of a Wilms tumor to prior therapy may help guide the subsequent therapeutic strategy. For this reason, the Children's Oncology Group is using the overall categories (low, medium, high risk) utilized by the International Society of Paediatric Oncology (SIOP) when categorizing posttherapy tumors. As outlined above, these categories are based on the proportion of the tumor that is viable and blastemal, and in COG only apply in favorable histology Wilms tumor. It is acknowledged that such quantitative analysis is quite difficult to reproduce and is highly dependent on how representative of the entire tumor the sections submitted are. The overall concept is that tumor that remains highly undifferentiated and proliferative following therapy will require more aggressive therapy going forward. Pathologists should, as always, use their best judgment. Staging of posttherapy nephrectomy specimens should be based on the resection specimen only. A prior pretherapy biopsy is not a criterion for assigning stage III to a post-therapy specimen.

Impact of Necrotic Tumor on Wilms Tumor Staging:
Necrosis outside of the kidney may be present in pretherapy resection specimens or posttherapy specimens. The presence of necrotic tumor or chemotherapy-induced change (in the absence of viable tumor) in the renal sinus and/or within the perirenal fat is not regarded as a reason for upstaging, providing the tumor (either viable or necrotic) is completely excised and does not reach the resection margins. In contrast, the presence of necrotic tumor or chemotherapy-induced changes in a lymph node or at the resection margins is regarded as proof of previous tumor with potential microscopic residual disease, and therefore the tumor is assigned stage III.

Congenital Mesoblastic Nephroma
Congenital Mesoblastic Nephroma (CMN), a tumor of infancy, represents 2 morphologically/genetically distinct tumors: classic and cellular forms. The classic subtype is characterized by a whirled gross appearance and is composed of bland spindle cells with a low mitotic rate that are arranged in long, sweeping fascicles. The cellular subtype has a fleshy and hemorrhagic gross appearance and is characterized by more densely cellular plump spindle cells with shorter to haphazard fascicles and a higher mitotic rate. Cases may also show a “mixed” histologic appearance with features of both classic and cellular CMN. Cellular CMN may be positive for PAX8 and desmin and negative for CD34 and cytokeratins, but immunohistochemical markers are overall nonspecific.

Approximately 10% of CMNs recur. Virtually all CMNs that recur are of the cellular subtype. Recurrences occur very rapidly, often within the first month of diagnosis. Virtually all recurrences occur by the first year of age. More than half are local recurrences; however, pulmonary metastases have been identified in 20% of patients who relapse. However, the primary determinant of outcome is the completeness of the excision. Surgeons should be educated and encouraged to secure wide margins, particularly medial margins, when resecting renal tumors in infants. Nonetheless, one can rarely be sure that the medial margin is clear; therefore, all patients should be followed closely. Monthly
abdominal ultrasounds should be performed for 1 year, with the hope of catching recurrences early enough to surgically excise them. Adjuvant chemotherapy is required when there is gross residual tumor.\textsuperscript{13,14} Radiation has no demonstrable effect.

**Clear Cell Sarcoma of the Kidney**

Clear cell sarcoma of the kidney (CCSK) is capable of mimicking, or being mimicked by, every other major neoplastic entity in the pediatric kidney. Immunohistochemical stains for CCSK are non-specific but may be helpful to aid the diagnosis. CCSK may show variable but consistent expression for BCOR cyclin D1, and NGFR; other IHC may be useful to exclude other diagnoses.\textsuperscript{15,16,17} Molecular features are described in Note G.

The histologic spectrum and clinical outcome of patients with CCSK have been reported by the National Wilms Tumor Study Group.\textsuperscript{18} Nearly all patients with stage I CCSK survive. Conversely, patients with more advanced disease have a propensity for local recurrence and metastasis. Recurrences can occur from years to decades after the initial presentation, sometimes demonstrating bland histology that differs from the primary tumor. The metastatic pattern tends to be more widespread than that of Wilms tumor and includes bone, brain, and soft tissue. There is a high recurrence rate and death rate even when treated by combination chemotherapy, but survival can be greatly improved after treatment with doxorubicin,\textsuperscript{11} which underscores the importance of identifying this neoplasm to facilitate early administration of more effective chemotherapy regimes.

There are several variants of CCSK, among which the following are most important:

**Classic Pattern**

The classic pattern of CCSK presents an evenly dispersed network of fine, arborizing vessels accompanied by a variable amount of spindle-cell stroma, subdividing the tumor into nests or cords of regular size, usually about 8 to 12 cells in width. The tumor cells are of regular size, usually with stellate cytoplasm, which often surrounds clear vacuoles. The nuclei are notably regular in size, with finely dispersed chromatin, and usually inconspicuous nucleoli. Mitotic activity may be sparse. Scattered preexisting tubules or glomeruli often are dispersed through the peripheral regions of the tumor. This pattern of growth, which isolates and separates individual nephronic units or collecting tubules, is an important clue that one is not dealing with Wilms tumor. The latter almost always has a sharply defined, “pushing” border.

**Hyalinizing Pattern**

The hyalinizing pattern of CCSK often has an osteoid-like, nonbirefringent matrix that separates tumor cells, giving an appearance reminiscent of osteosarcoma. A similar change may be seen in rhabdoid tumor of the kidney (RTK).

**Epithelioid Pattern**

The epithelioid pattern is the most deceptive of the patterns of CCSK, in which the tumor cells align themselves along vessels in a manner mimicking the tubules of Wilms tumor. Often these cells form filigree-like strands.

**Rhabdoid Tumor of the Kidney**

This distinctive renal neoplasm most commonly is encountered in infants younger than 1 year of age and is uncommon in patients older than 5 years.\textsuperscript{19,20,21} It is extremely aggressive and is the prognostically
unfavorable neoplasm of the kidney in early life. Rhabdoid tumors continue to present significant diagnostic challenges, particularly when they do not show overt rhabdoid features. However, the growing appreciation that this tumor arises in sites other than the kidney and the central nervous system, and the increased appreciation of the wide histologic spectrum of rhabdoid tumors, have contributed to a marked increase in their correct diagnosis. Rhabdoid tumors of the kidney should not be confused with the true myogenic cells, which are often found in Wilms tumors.

The most distinctive features of rhabdoid tumor of the kidney (RTK) are rather large cells with large vesicular nuclei, a prominent single nucleolus, and the presence in at least some cells of globular eosinophilic cytoplasmic inclusions composed of whorled masses of intermediate filaments. Another distinctive feature is the extremely aggressive, invasive pattern of this lesion. RTK has a diverse immunohistochemical profile. Tumors may be positive for many supposedly incompatible epitopes for epithelial (keratins), myogenous, neural, and mesenchymal cell types. Epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) should be included in the routine panel applied to small blue cell tumors, largely because of the typical focal strong positivity for EMA (as well as a multiple of other markers) that rhabdoid tumors demonstrate. RTKS consistently show loss of expression of INI-1/BAF47 (see Note G).
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D. Nephrogenic Rests

Nephrogenic rests are regions of persistent embryonal tissue in the renal parenchyma and can be found in 30%-44% of kidneys removed for Wilms tumor, 4% of kidneys removed for dysplasia or urinary tract malformations, and 0.21%-0.87% of kidneys in pediatric autopsy series (higher incidence in infants less than 3 months of age). The two fundamental categories of nephrogenic rests are based on the topography of the lesion. *Perilobar nephrogenic rests* (PLNRs) are located at the periphery of the lobule and are usually subcapsular. They are often multiple and rarely can be diffuse, expanding the cortex in a rind-like pattern. Microscopically, perilobar rests are well-demarcated, but not encapsulated. They are typically composed of blastema and tubules with little intervening stroma. Similarly, tumors arising in association with PLNR are more likely to be blastemal or epithelial predominant. PLNRs are associated with higher birth weights and overgrowth syndromes, including Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. PLNRs serve as a marker of loss of imprinting or loss of heterozygosity for *IGF-2*. *Intralobar nephrogenic rests* (ILNRs) are located deep within the lobule and are usually solitary. They have indistinct margins with respect to the normal kidney. ILNRs contain blastemal, tubular, and prominent stromal elements interspersed among normal glomerular and tubular elements. ILNRs are also more often associated with early-onset, stromal-predominant Wilms tumor or Wilms tumor showing divergent (teratomatous) differentiation. ILNRs are strongly associated with WAGR
(Wilms tumor, aniridia, genitourinary anomalies, and range of developmental delays) and Denys-Drash syndromes. It is thought that ILNRs result from an error earlier in nephrogenesis as compared with PLNRs, explaining the typical ILNR location deep within the lobule. Staging is not required for the resection of a nephrogenic rest only. *The presence of a nephrogenic rest at a margin of resection is not considered a positive margin and should not be used as a criterion for stage III.*

The presence of nephrogenic rests has clinical implications for their association with genetic syndromes as well as the risk for development of contralateral Wilms tumor, particularly in patients whose tumors are diagnosed in the first year of life.  
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**E. Extent of Tumor**

**Gross Evidence of Tumor Disruption**

Pre-operative tumor rupture and intra-operative tumor spillage are criteria for local stage III. Patients with pre-operative rupture generally receive whole abdominal irradiation while those with intra-operative spill receive flank irradiation. Grossly, rupture/spill may appear as a disruption of the specimen surface, with or without extruding tumor. The pathologic appearance of rupture/spill changes with time. Intraoperative spillage may result in limited pathologic evidence. Preoperative rupture results in varying degrees of tumor disruption, devitalization, resolving hemorrhage, and a fibrinoinflammatory response. With time, these changes may resolve and heal and become less apparent pathologically. These nuances make the designations of rupture and spill difficult and require correlation with surgical and radiographic observations. Disruption of the specimen in the absence of documentation of rupture or spill should be discussed with the surgeon and may represent post-surgical disruption. It is important to note that there are several anatomic references that mark tumor extent. Most Wilms tumors develop a peritumoral fibrous pseudocapsule separating the tumor from the surrounding kidney. As the tumor grows, it approaches and may merge with the renal capsule. The tumor may then invade the perirenal fat and involve the fascia that surrounds the kidney, adrenal gland, and associated soft tissue, which is often called Gerota’s fascia, which represents the shiny surface of a nephrectomy specimen. Rupture and spillage disrupt this fascia. In some cases, the renal capsule may be disrupted, but all tumor and hemorrhage contained within Gerota’s fascia. In the absence of surgical evidence of rupture or spill, this finding would not be sufficient to upstage the patient to stage III.

**Evaluation of Renal Sinus Invasion**

The renal sinus can be identified by the presence of fat and mesenchymal tissue surrounding vascular structures. While most of the renal sinus is found within the hilum, the renal sinus also extends deeply into the kidney. When evaluating the renal sinus, it is important to note that the renal parenchyma interfacing with the renal sinus lacks a fibrous capsule separating it from the sinus. Therefore, as a tumor grows it may push into the renal sinus without invading the renal sinus. Furthermore, nephrogenic rests may extend into the renal sinus, which should not be considered to represent tumor involvement. The most common cause
of upstaging upon central review is failure to appreciate involvement of renal sinus soft tissue and/or lymphovascular spaces. Renal sinus vascular involvement is easy to confirm when the tumor fills the lumen or invades the vascular wall. Displacement artifact is also readily identified when it is present in arterial lumina, when it is accompanied by abundant displacement artifact elsewhere, or when ink is present within the aggregates. More difficult are foci of unattached tumor intermingling with fibrin and red cells, or free-floating rounded tumor fragments that are not associated with other displacement artifact; these are considered to represent vascular involvement. In particular, the presence of these foci in children with small, otherwise stage I tumors that would not be treated with adjuvant chemotherapy are biologically significant and should upstage the patient. The other difficulty with the evaluation of the renal sinus is the fact that it extends well into the kidney and is not limited to the hilum. COG has allowed for minimal renal sinus soft tissue invasion without vascular involvement to be classified as local stage I. In practice this is applied to a single focus <5 mm in greatest dimension located greater than 5 mm from nearest margin and includes loci in both the hilum and intrarenal sinus. Vascular invasion within the kidney that is not part of the intrarenal sinus or that is within the tumor does not upstage a renal tumor.

Evaluation of Extracapsular Extension
Tumor may invade directly through the renal capsule or may be present in the perirenal fat or adrenal gland with or without histologic evidence of direct invasion. Any of these is a criterion for local stage II. Invasion into, but not through the renal capsule only would be classified as local stage I.

Evaluation of Renal Vein Invasion
Caution should be used in the evaluation of the margin of the renal vein that contains a thrombus. The vein often retracts after the surgeon sections it, leaving a protruding tumor thrombus, which may erroneously be considered a positive margin. If the thrombus itself is not transected, and if the margin of the vascular wall itself does not contain tumor, this surgical margin is interpreted as being negative.

F. Staging
The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and International Union Against Cancer (UICC) TNM staging systems currently do not apply to Wilms tumor or other pediatric kidney tumors (with the exception of renal cell carcinomas). The Children’s Oncology Group staging system for Wilms tumors is recommended and shown below.¹

Stage I
- Tumor limited to kidney and completely resected
- Renal capsule intact and no tumor present in perirenal fat or adrenal gland
- Tumor not ruptured
- Tumor not biopsied before removal (applies to pretreatment specimens only)
- No residual tumor apparent beyond margins of resection
- Renal vein and renal sinus vessels contain no viable tumor
- No infiltration of the renal pelvis or ureteral walls
- No lymph node involvement by viable or non-viable tumor or distant metastases

Stage II
- Viable tumor extends beyond the kidney but is completely resected with negative margins
• Regional extension of tumor (vascular invasion outside the renal parenchyma or within the renal sinus, extensive renal sinus soft tissue invasion, invasion of the renal pelvis or ureteral walls, and/or capsular penetration or extracapsular tumor with negative excision margin)

Stage III
• Nonhematogenous metastases confined to the abdomen (e.g., tumor in regional lymph nodes)
• Abdominal tumor implants on or penetrating the peritoneum
• Gross or microscopic tumor remains postoperatively (tumor at margins of resection)
• Tumor rupture/spill before or during surgery
• Piecemeal excision of the tumor (removal in more than 1 piece)
• Tumor biopsy before surgery and therapy (does not apply when staging post-therapy specimens)

Stage IV
• Hematogenous metastases or lymph node metastases outside the abdomino-pelvic region (beyond renal drainage system, e.g., lung, liver)

Stage V
• Bilateral renal involvement at diagnosis (each side should also be staged separately, according to the above criteria, as I through IV)

Additional Staging Considerations
*Tumor biopsy prior to resection*
A biopsy performed prior to resection should only be used as a criterion for stage III if the patient is pretherapy. Posttherapy resections are staged based on the features of the resection specimen only and may be assigned a lower stage than the pretherapy biopsy.
Piecemeal Excision of Tumor

Tumor that is removed in more than one piece does not have to be contiguous to meet the criteria for stage III. This may represent transection of tumor with complete resection in more than one piece, tumor identified in a separately excised adrenal gland, tumor thrombus within the renal vein that is removed separately from the nephrectomy specimen, or tumor nodules within the perirenal fat (resembling lymph nodes) that are separately excised.

Extrarenal Primary Tumors

Wilms tumors and other pediatric kidney tumors may rarely present as extrarenal primary tumors. These tumors are automatically staged as at least stage II. Criteria for upstaging these tumors to stage III are the same as for tumors located in the kidney.
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G. Ancillary Studies

The diagnosis of primary renal tumors in children remains largely based on examination of hematoxylin-eosin (H&E)-stained sections. However, a few ancillary studies may be employed for diagnostic or prognostic importance.

Wilms tumor molecular testing:

Molecular tests such as loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at chromosomes 1p and 16q, 1q gain, and 11p15 loss have prognostic significance in certain patient populations. Augmentation of therapy has been shown to be effective for WT with combined LOH at 1p and 16q, therefore analysis of these loci, most commonly by targeted or genome-wide SNP array, has become routine practice in North America. While 1q gain is associated with adverse prognosis, the benefit of increased therapy is an area of active investigation. LOH and loss of imprinting of 11p15 have been associated with increased risk of relapse in young patients with stage I favorable histology WT that is treated with nephrectomy alone without adjuvant therapy.

The molecular etiology of Wilms tumor is heterogeneous and more than a dozen genes have been found to be recurrently mutated in Wilms tumor tissue including genes involved in transcriptional regulation (WT1, MYCN, SIX1, SIX2, MLLT1), microRNA processing (DGCR8, DROSHA, DICER1, and XPO5), and the WNT signaling pathway (AMER1 and CTNNB1). TP53 mutations have been detected in 50-75% of anaplastic histology Wilms tumors. Additionally, approximately 70% of Wilms tumors have evidence of IGF2 overexpression, which may arise via genetic or epigenetic changes at chromosome locus 11p15. Some of these genes may also have germline mutations, which has implications for Wilms tumor predisposition and genetic counseling.

Other tumor molecular testing:

Congenital Mesoblastic Nephroma

CMN represents 2 genetically distinct tumors that correspond to the histologic subtypes. “Classic” CMN (24% of cases), which histologically resembles a type of fibromatosis has recently been recognized to harbor aEGFR activating mutations (most often internal tandem duplications). These alterations may be detected by next generation sequencing (NGS). “Cellular” CMN (66% of cases), which is analogous to the soft tissue tumor, infantile fibrosarcoma, most commonly contains an ETV6-NTRK3 gene fusion. However,
a variety of other variant MAP kinase pathway activating translocations or mutations may also be present.\textsuperscript{10} ETV6-NTRK3 fusions may be detected by FISH or NGS, while the less common alternative alterations may be detected by comprehensive NGS for mutations and fusions. Genetically, "mixed" CMN have most frequently demonstrated EGFR alterations similar to the classic subtype, with rare cases with genetic overlap to cellular CMN.\textsuperscript{9}

**Clear Cell Sarcoma of the Kidney**

CCSK is characterized molecularly by BCOR internal tandem duplications or YWHAE-NUTM2B fusions. The YWHAE-NUTM2B fusion\textsuperscript{11} was the first reported recurrent alteration in CCSK, but only accounts for approximately 15% of cases.\textsuperscript{12} With increased use of NGS, the presence of the BCOR internal tandem duplication was confirmed in the majority of tumors that are negative for the fusion.\textsuperscript{11,13} Rare renal tumors with a CCSK morphology have also been detected with BCOR gene fusions.\textsuperscript{13,14,15} Fusions may be detected by FISH or by NGS-based RNA sequencing. The BCOR internal tandem duplication may be detected by NGS or by targeted PCR assays.

**Rhabdoid Tumor of the Kidney**

Both renal and extrarenal rhabdoid tumors carry homozygous deletions and/or mutations of the SMARCB1 gene located at 22q11.2, which is a member of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex.\textsuperscript{16} Furthermore, germline mutations have been identified in individuals with both renal and central nervous system rhabdoid tumors. The SMARCB1 (INI1) gene causes conformational changes in the nucleosome, thereby altering histone-DNA binding and facilitating transcription factor access. Mutations in SMARCB1 correspond to the loss of expression by immunohistochemistry using the INI-1/BAF47 antibody.\textsuperscript{17} This antibody shows strong nuclear expression in normal tissues; however, nuclear expression is lost (aberrant expression) in rhabdoid tumor nuclei. Additionally, a variety of other tumors may also show loss of INI-1 by immunohistochemistry to include renal medullary carcinoma, epithelioid sarcoma, among several others. Molecular testing for SMARCB1 mutations is not necessary for the diagnosis of RTK, but may be utilized in the workup, particularly to identify germline mutations.
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**H. Syndromes Associated with Wilms Tumor**
The following syndromes are associated with Wilms tumor:1,2,3:

- REST-related Wilms tumor
- TRIM28-related Wilms tumor
- WT1 disorder
- 1p15-related Wilms tumor (Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome, hemi-hyperplasia)
- WAGR syndrome
- Perlman familial nephroblastomatosis syndrome
• Denys-Drash syndrome
• Trisomy 18
• Neurofibromatosis
• Bloom syndrome
• Etc.

References
3. Turner JT, Brzezinski J, Dome JS. Wilms Tumor Predisposition. 2003 Dec 19