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Accreditation Requirements
Completion of the template is the responsibility of the laboratory performing the biomarker testing and/or providing the interpretation. When both testing and interpretation are performed elsewhere (e.g., a reference laboratory), synoptic reporting of the results by the laboratory submitting the tissue for testing is also encouraged to ensure that all information is included in the patient’s medical record and thus readily available to the treating clinical team. This template is not required for accreditation purposes.

Summary of Changes

v 2.0.1.0
- Changed Questions for RET and BRAF Interpretation from select all that apply to single select
CASE SUMMARY: (Lung Biomarker Reporting Template)
Completion of the template is the responsibility of the laboratory performing the biomarker testing and / or providing the interpretation. When both testing and interpretation are performed elsewhere (e.g., a reference laboratory), synoptic reporting of the results by the laboratory submitting the tissue for testing is also encouraged to ensure that all information is included in the patient’s medical record and thus readily available to the treating clinical team.

All reported gene sequence variations should be identified following the recommendations of the Human Genome Variation Society (www.hgvs.org/mutnomen/; accessed February 10, 2015).

SPECIMEN
+Adequacy of Sample for Testing
___ Adequate
___ Estimated % Tumor Cellularity (area used for testing): __________ %
___ Suboptimal (explain): __________________

+Specimen Type
___ Untreated diagnostic specimen
___ Relapse specimen (after treatment; specify)#:
# When data is available, specify treatment type. This is most relevant to targeted inhibitors associated with specific genomic changes conferring treatment resistance.

RESULTS
EGFR
+Mutational Analysis
___ No EGFR mutation detected
___ Mutation(s) identified
     __ EGFR:p.G719X
     __ EGFR Exon 19 deletion (specify if known): __________________________
     __ EGFR Exon 20 insertion (specify if known): _________________________
     __ EGFR:p.S768I
     __ EGFR:p.T790M
     __ EGFR:p.L858R
     __ EGFR:p.L861Q
     __ Other (specify): _______________________
     __ Cannot be determined (explain): ________________________________

+EGFR L858R by Immunohistochemistry (clone 43B2)
___ Negative
___ Positive
___ Equivocal (explain): _______________________

+EGFR Exon 19 Deletion (E746_A750del) (clone 6B6)
___ Negative
___ Positive
Equivocal (explain): ____________________

+Interpretation (select all that apply)
___ An EGFR mutation is present that is associated with response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors
___ An EGFR mutation is present that is associated with resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors
___ Two EGFR mutations are present, one of which is associated with resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors
___ EGFR L858R immunohistochemical staining is positive, which is associated with response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors
___ EGFR E746_A750del immunohistochemical staining is positive, which is associated with response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors

ALK
+Rearrangement by Molecular Methods
___ No ALK rearrangement detected
___ Rearrangement identified
     ___ EML4-ALK (specify variant type, if known): ____________________
     ___ KIF5B-ALK
     ___ KLC1-ALK
     ___ Other ALK rearrangement (specify if known): ____________________
     ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________

+ALK Immunohistochemistry
___ Negative
___ Positive
___ Equivocal (explain): ____________________

+Interpretation (select all that apply)
___ An ALK fusion is identified that is associated with response to ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors
___ ALK immunohistochemical staining is positive which is associated with response to ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors

ROS1
+Rearrangement by Molecular Methods
___ No ROS1 rearrangement detected
___ ROS1 rearrangement identified
___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________

+ROS1 by Immunohistochemistry
___ Negative
___ Positive
___ Equivocal (explain): ____________________

+Interpretation (select all that apply)
___ A ROS1 fusion is present, which is associated with response to ROS tyrosine kinase inhibitors
___ ROS1 immunohistochemical staining is positive, which is associated with response to ROS1 tyrosine kinase inhibitors
RET
+Rearrangement by Molecular Methods
___ No RET rearrangement detected
___ RET rearrangement identified
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

+Interpretation
___ A RET fusion is present which is associated with response to RET tyrosine kinase inhibitors
___ No RET fusions are detected

KRAS
+Mutational Analysis
___ No KRAS mutation detected
___ Mutation(s) identified
   ___ KRAS:p.G12C
   ___ KRAS:p.G12D
   ___ KRAS:p.G12V
   ___ KRAS:p.G12S
   ___ KRAS:p.G12A
   ___ KRAS:p.G12R
   ___ KRAS:p.G13D
   ___ KRAS:p.G13C
   ___ KRAS:p.Q61L
   ___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

+Interpretation (select all that apply)
___ A KRAS mutation is identified which is associated with resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy
___ A KRAS mutation is identified which is associated with response to specific inhibitors

BRAF
+Mutational Analysis
___ No BRAF mutations detected
___ Mutation(s) identified
   ___ BRAF:p.V600E
   ___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

+Interpretation
___ A BRAF mutation is present which is associated with response to BRAF inhibitors
___ No BRAF mutations are detected

ERBB2
+Mutational Analysis
___ No ERBB2 mutations detected
___ Mutation(s) identified
   ___ ERBB2:p.S310F
   ___ ERBB2:p.L755S
___ ERBB2:p.Y772_A775dup insertion
___ Other (specify): _____________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): ___________________

+Copy Number Analysis
___ No ERBB2 (HER2) amplification detected
___ ERBB2 (HER2) amplification identified
   ___ Specify Copy Number: ___________________
   ___ Specify Ratio to Centromere 17: ___________________
   ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ___________________

+HER2 immunohistochemistry
___ Negative (0-1)
___ Equivocal (2+)
___ Positive (3+)
___ Cannot be determined (explain): ___________________

+Interpretation (select all that apply)
___ An ERBB2 (HER2) mutation is present which is associated with response to anti-HER2 therapy
___ ERBB2 (HER2) amplification is present which is associated response to anti-HER2 therapy
___ HER2 is positive by immunohistochemistry (3+) which is associated with response to anti-HER2 therapy

MET
+Mutational Analysis
___ No MET mutation detected
___ Mutation(s) identified
   ___ MET:p.D963_splice mutation
   ___ MET:p.D1010N
   ___ MET:p.D1010_splice mutation
   ___ MET exon 14 deletion
   ___ Other (specify): ___________________
   ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ___________________

+Copy Number Analysis
___ No MET amplification detected
___ MET amplification identified
   ___ Specify Copy Number: ___________________
   ___ Specify Ratio to Centromere 7: ___________________
   ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ___________________

+Interpretation (select all that apply)
___ A MET alteration is present which is associated with response to MET tyrosine kinase inhibitors
___ MET amplification is present which is associated with response to MET tyrosine kinase inhibitors

NTRK
+Rearrangement by Molecular Methods
___ No NTRK rearrangement detected
___ NTRK rearrangement identified (specify if known): ___________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________

**+NTRK by immunohistochemistry**
___ Negative
___ Positive
___ Equivocal

**+Interpretation (select all that apply)**
___ An NTRK fusion is present which is associated with response to NTRK inhibitors
___ NTRK immunohistochemical staining is present. Fusion testing by NGS or FISH will be performed
___ NTRK immunohistochemical staining is present but fusion testing is negative. This is not associated with response to NTRK inhibitors

**Mismatch Repair**

**+Immunohistochemistry (IHC) Testing for Mismatch Repair (MMR) Proteins (select all that apply)**
___ MLH1
   **MLH1 Result**
   ___ Intact nuclear expression
   ___ Loss of nuclear expression
   ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________

___ MSH2
   **MSH2 Result**
   ___ Intact nuclear expression
   ___ Loss of nuclear expression
   ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________

___ MSH6
   **MSH6 Result**
   ___ Intact nuclear expression
   ___ Loss of nuclear expression
   ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________

___ PMS2
   **PMS2 Result**
   ___ Intact nuclear expression
   ___ Loss of nuclear expression
   ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____________________

___ Background nonneoplastic tissue / internal control with intact nuclear expression

**+Microsatellite Instability (MSI)**
___ MSI-Stable (MSS)
___ MSI-Low (MSI-L)
___ MSI-High (MSI-H)
___ Cannot be determined: ____________________
Interpretation (select all that apply)
___ The case is MSI-H which is associated with response to immune checkpoint inhibitors
___ The case is mismatch repair deficient which is associated with response to immune checkpoint inhibitors

Tumor Mutational Burden
Specify Tumor Mutational Burden: _________________

Tumor Mutational Burden Level
___ Low
___ High
___ Equivocal
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Interpretation
___ The case is TMB-high which is associated with response to immune checkpoint inhibitors
___ The case is TMB low; this finding is not associated with response to immune checkpoint inhibitors

PD-L1 IHC
PD-L1 IHC Interpretation
___ Positive
___ Negative
___ Cannot be determined (indeterminate)

Specify Percentage of Tumor Cells with Staining (TPS): _________________ %

Combined Number of Tumor and Immune Cells with Staining per 100 Tumor Cells (CPS):
_______________

Specify Percentage of Tumor-associated Immune Cells with Staining: _________________ %

Specify Percentage of Tumor Area Occupied by Tumor-associated Immune Cells:
_______________ %

Comments: _________________

Methods
Antibody
___ 22C3
___ SP142
___ SP263
___ 28-8
___ Other (specify): _________________

Controls (select all that apply)
___ Internal control cells present; expected immunoreactivity
___ Internal control cells present; no immunoreactivity of either tumor cells or internal controls
___ External controls available, expected immunoreactivity
___ External controls available; no immunoreactivity in expected cells

Replaced by version 2.0.1.1 on September 20, 2023, Obsolete as of June 2024 (8 months after newest release date)
Assay Information

___ Food and Drug Administration (FDA) cleared test / vendor (specify): ________________
___ Laboratory-developed test

Specify Quantitative Imaging Analytics Performed: ________________

Other Markers Tested (repeat as needed)
Specify Other Marker and Results: ________________

COMMENTS

Comment(s): ________________