Protocol for the Examination of Specimens from Patients with Primary Tumors of the Ovary, Fallopian Tube, or Peritoneum

**Version:** 1.5.0.0  
**Protocol Posting Date:** June 2024  
**CAP Laboratory Accreditation Program Protocol Required Use Date:** March 2025

The changes included in this current protocol version affect accreditation requirements. The new deadline for implementing this protocol version is reflected in the above accreditation date.

For accreditation purposes, this protocol should be used for the following procedures AND tumor types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resection</td>
<td>Includes oophorectomy, salpingo-oophorectomy, salpingectomy, subtotal resection, or removal of tumor in fragments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary malignant tumors of ovary, fallopian tube, or peritoneum</td>
<td>Includes all primary epithelial borderline tumors and carcinomas (including carcinosarcoma), malignant germ cell tumors, malignant sex cord-stromal tumors, and ovarian sarcomas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This protocol is NOT required for accreditation purposes for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>Primary resection specimen with no residual cancer (e.g., following neoadjuvant therapy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytologic specimens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following tumor types should NOT be reported using this protocol:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal mesothelioma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>(consider the Precursor and Mature Lymphoid Malignancies protocol)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Accreditation Requirements
This protocol can be utilized for a variety of procedures and tumor types for clinical care purposes. For accreditation purposes, only the definitive primary cancer resection specimen is required to have the core and conditional data elements reported in a synoptic format.

• **Core data elements** are required in reports to adequately describe appropriate malignancies. For accreditation purposes, essential data elements must be reported in all instances, even if the response is “not applicable” or “cannot be determined.”

• **Conditional data elements** are only required to be reported if applicable as delineated in the protocol. For instance, the total number of lymph nodes examined must be reported, but only if nodes are present in the specimen.

• **Optional data elements** are identified with “+” and although not required for CAP accreditation purposes, may be considered for reporting as determined by local practice standards.

The use of this protocol is not required for recurrent tumors or for metastatic tumors that are resected at a different time than the primary tumor. Use of this protocol is also not required for pathology reviews performed at a second institution (i.e., secondary consultation, second opinion, or review of outside case at second institution).

Synoptic Reporting
All core and conditionally required data elements outlined on the surgical case summary from this cancer protocol must be displayed in synoptic report format. Synoptic format is defined as:

• Data element: followed by its answer (response), outline format without the paired Data element: Response format is NOT considered synoptic.

• The data element should be represented in the report as it is listed in the case summary. The response for any data element may be modified from those listed in the case summary, including “Cannot be determined” if appropriate.

• Each diagnostic parameter pair (Data element: Response) is listed on a separate line or in a tabular format to achieve visual separation. The following exceptions are allowed to be listed on one line:
  - Anatomic site or specimen, laterality, and procedure
  - Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM) elements
  - Negative margins, as long as all negative margins are specifically enumerated where applicable

• The synoptic portion of the report can appear in the diagnosis section of the pathology report, at the end of the report or in a separate section, but all Data element: Responses must be listed together in one location

Organizations and pathologists may choose to list the required elements in any order, use additional methods in order to enhance or achieve visual separation, or add optional items within the synoptic report. The report may have required elements in a summary format elsewhere in the report IN ADDITION TO but not as replacement for the synoptic report i.e., all required elements must be in the synoptic portion of the report in the format defined above.
Summary of Changes
v 1.5.0.0

- Cover page update
- Updates to content and explanatory notes, including WHO Histologic Types
- Inclusion of additional Histologic Grading Systems
- FIGO 2021 Cancer Report update
- pTNM staging terminology updates to pT and pN categories
- “DISTANT METASTASIS” section update
- “SPECIAL STUDIES” update
CASE SUMMARY: (OVARY or FALLOPIAN TUBE or PRIMARY PERITONEUM)

**Standard(s):** AJCC-UICC 8, FIGO Cancer Report 2021

*If bilateral malignant tumors of 2 different histologic types are present, separate synoptic reports should be used for each tumor. If borderline and malignant tumors of the same histologic type are present, the malignant tumor synoptic report takes precedence.*

### CLINICAL

**Clinical History (select all that apply)**

___ Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome (specify gene mutation, if known):

___ Lynch syndrome (specify gene mutation, if known): ________________

___ Other (specify): ________________

### SPECIMEN (Notes A,B)

**Procedure (select all that apply)**

*For information about lymph node sampling, please refer to the Regional Lymph Node section.*

___ Total hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy

___ Radical hysterectomy

___ Simple hysterectomy

___ Supracervical hysterectomy

___ Bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy

___ Right salpingo-oophorectomy

___ Left salpingo-oophorectomy

___ Salpingo-oophorectomy, side not specified

___ Right oophorectomy

___ Left oophorectomy

___ Oophorectomy, side not specified

___ Bilateral salpingectomy

___ Right salpingectomy

___ Left salpingectomy

___ Salpingectomy, side not specified

___ Omentectomy

___ Peritoneal biopsies

___ Peritoneal tumor debulking

___ Peritoneal washing

___ Pelvic washing

___ Ascitic fluid

___ Pleurocentesis (pleural fluid)

___ Other (specify): ____________________
**Hysterectomy Type**
- Abdominal
- Vaginal
- Vaginal, laparoscopic-assisted
- Laparoscopic
- Laparoscopic, robotic-assisted
- Other (specify): ___________________
- Not specified

**Specimen Integrity (select all that apply)**

For primary ovarian tumors, if the ovary containing primary tumor is removed intact into a laparoscopy bag and ruptured in the bag by the surgeon without spillage into the peritoneal cavity (to allow for removal via laparoscopy port site or small incision), the specimen integrity should be listed as "capsule intact" with a comment explaining this in the report. For primary peritoneal tumors in women with prior salpingo-oophorectomy, select "Not applicable".

- Not applicable
- Right ovary
  - **Right Ovary Integrity**
    - Capsule intact
    - Capsule ruptured
    - **Time of Rupture**
      - Preoperative
      - Intraoperative
      - Unknown
      - Fragmented
      - Other (specify): ___________________
  - Left ovary
  - **Left Ovary Integrity**
    - Capsule intact
    - Capsule ruptured
    - **Time of Rupture**
      - Preoperative
      - Intraoperative
      - Unknown
      - Fragmented
      - Other (specify): ___________________
  - Ovary, laterality not specified

**Ovary Integrity**
- Capsule intact
- Capsule ruptured
- **Time of Rupture**
  - Preoperative
  - Intraoperative
  - Unknown
  - Fragmented
  - Other (specify): ___________________
- Right fallopian tube
Right Fallopian Tube Integrity
  ___ Serosa intact
  ___ Serosa ruptured
  ___ Fragmented
  ___ Other (specify): _______________________
  ___ Left fallopian tube

Left Fallopian Tube Integrity
  ___ Serosa intact
  ___ Serosa ruptured
  ___ Fragmented
  ___ Other (specify): _______________________
  ___ Fallopian tube, laterality not specified

Fallopian Tube Integrity
  ___ Serosa intact
  ___ Serosa ruptured
  ___ Fragmented
  ___ Other (specify): _______________________

+Uterus Integrity
  ___ Intact
  ___ Opened
  ___ Morcellated
  ___ Other (specify): _______________________

TUMOR

Tumor Site (Notes C,D,E)
Please select the primary tumor site of origin only. For bilateral ovarian tumors with identical histology, choose "bilateral ovaries".
  ___ Right ovary: _______________________
  ___ Left ovary: _______________________
  ___ Bilateral ovaries: _______________________
  ___ Ovary, laterality cannot be determined (explain): _______________________
  ___ Right fallopian tube: _______________________
  ___ Left fallopian tube: _______________________
  ___ Bilateral fallopian tubes: _______________________
  ___ Fallopian tube, laterality cannot be determined (explain): _______________________
  ___ Right tubo-ovarian: _______________________
  ___ Left tubo-ovarian: _______________________
  ___ Bilateral tubo-ovarian: _______________________
  ___ Tubo-ovarian, laterality cannot be determined (explain): _______________________
  ___ Primary peritoneum: _______________________
  ___ Other (specify): _______________________

Tumor Size
For bilateral tumors, please report maximum dimension for the largest (if borderline only) or malignant tumor. For carcinomas that arise in a borderline tumor, report the size of the carcinoma component only.
  ___ Greatest dimension in Centimeters (cm): ______________________ cm
Additional Dimension in Centimeters (cm): ____ x ____ cm
___ Cannot be determined (explain): ________________________________

Histologic Type (Notes F,G) (select all that apply)
___ Serous borderline tumor
___ Serous borderline tumor, micropapillary / cribriform variant
___ Serous borderline tumor with microinvasion
___ Microinvasive low-grade serous carcinoma
___ Low-grade serous carcinoma
___ High-grade serous carcinoma
___ Mucinous borderline tumor
___ Mucinous borderline tumor with intraepithelial carcinoma
___ Mucinous borderline tumor with microinvasion
___ Microinvasive mucinous adenocarcinoma
___ Mucinous adenocarcinoma
___ Endometrioid borderline tumor
___ Endometrioid carcinoma
___ Endometrioid carcinoma, seromucinous type
___ Seromucinous borderline tumor
___ Clear cell borderline tumor
___ Clear cell carcinoma
___ Borderline Brenner tumor
___ Malignant Brenner tumor
___ Mesonephric-like adenocarcinoma
___ Small cell carcinoma, hypercalcemic type
___ Dedifferentiated carcinoma
___ Undifferentiated carcinoma, NOS
___ Carcinosarcoma
___ Carcinoma, subtype cannot be determined
___ Mixed epithelial borderline tumor (specify types and percentages): _______________________
___ Mixed carcinoma (specify types and percentages): _______________________
___ Endometrioid stromal sarcoma, low-grade
___ Endometrioid stromal sarcoma, high-grade
___ Adenosarcoma
___ Leiomyosarcoma
___ Fibrosarcoma
___ Granulosa cell tumor, adult type
___ Granulosa cell tumor, juvenile type
___ Steroid cell tumor, NOS
___ Steroid cell tumor, malignant
___ Sertoli-Leydig cell tumor
___ Other sex cord-stromal tumor (specify type): _______________________
___ Immature teratoma
___ Teratoma with malignant transformation (specify type): _______________________
___ Malignant struma ovarii (specify type): _______________________
___ Dysgerminoma
___ Yolk sac tumor
___ Embryonal carcinoma
___ Gonadoblastoma
___ Choriocarcinoma, non-gestational type
___ Mixed malignant germ cell tumor (specify types and percentages): _______________________

Primary Peritoneal Tumors
___ Gastrointestinal stromal tumor
___ Solitary fibrous tumor, malignant
___ Desmoplastic small round cell tumor
___ Other histologic type not listed (specify): _______________________

+Histologic Type Comment: _______________________

Histologic Grade (required for endometrioid and mucinous carcinomas, immature teratomas, and Sertoli-Leydig cell tumors) (Note H)

Endometrioid carcinomas are graded via a 3-tier FIGO system identical to their endometrial counterparts. Mucinous carcinomas are graded via Silverberg, FIGO or growth pattern-based systems. Immature teratomas can be graded using either a 2-tier or 3-tier system. Sertoli-Leydig cell tumors are graded via a 3-tier grading system based on the degree of tubular differentiation of the Sertoli component, the quantity of gonadal stroma, and the number of Leydig cells. For mixed tumors, report the highest grade tumor and comment on all others.

___ Not applicable

WHO Grading System
___ GB, borderline tumor
___ G1, well-differentiated
___ G2, moderately differentiated
___ G3, poorly differentiated
___ GX, cannot be assessed: _______________________

FIGO Grading System (recommended for endometrioid carcinomas and may also be used for mucinous carcinomas; when severe nuclear atypia is present in the majority of the tumor cells in grade 1 or 2 tumors (grade 3 nuclei), the FIGO grade is increased by one)

___ FIGO Grade 1 (5% or less of non-squamous solid growth)
___ FIGO Grade 2 (6% to 50% of non-squamous solid growth)
___ FIGO Grade 3 (more than 50% of non-squamous solid growth)

Silverberg Grading System (recommended for mucinous carcinomas and may also be used for endometrioid carcinomas)
___ Silverberg Grade 1 (scores 3-5)
___ Silverberg Grade 2 (scores 6-7)
___ Silverberg Grade 3 (scores 8-9)

Growth Pattern-based Grading (recommended for mucinous carcinomas only)
___ Low-grade, growth pattern-based (confluent / expansile growth, or less than or equal to 10% infiltrative growth)
___ High-grade, growth pattern-based (infiltrative growth in greater than 10% of tumor)
___ Other growth pattern-based grading (specify): _______________________

2-Tier Grading System (recommended for immature teratomas only)
___ Low-grade
___ High-grade

3-Tier Grading System (recommended for immature teratomas only)
___ Grade 1 (low-grade)
___ Grade 2 (high-grade)
___ Grade 3 (high-grade)
**Ovarian Surface Involvement (required only if applicable)**
- Not applicable
- Not identified
- Present, right
- Present, left
- Present, right and left
- Present
- Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

**Fallopian Tube Surface Involvement (required only if applicable)**
- Not applicable
- Not identified
- Present, right
- Present, left
- Present, right and left
- Present
- Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

**Implants (required for advanced stage serous / seromucinous borderline tumors only) (Note !)**
Serous borderline tumor implants that were formerly classified as “invasive implants” are now considered extraovarian low-grade serous carcinoma. If the foci cannot be categorized as non-invasive or invasive, they are indeterminate.
- Not applicable
- Not sampled
- Not identified
- Present (specify sites): _________________
- Indeterminate

**Other Tissue / Organ Involvement (select all that apply)**
Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted.
- Not applicable
- Not identified
- Right ovary
- Left ovary
- Ovary (side not specified)
- Right fallopian tube
- Left fallopian tube
- Fallopian tube (side not specified)
- Uterine corpus
- Uterine cervix
- Pelvic peritoneum
- Abdominal peritoneum
- Omentum
- Other organs / tissue (specify): _________________
- Cannot be determined (explain): _________________
Largest Extrapelvic Peritoneal Focus (required only if applicable)
___ Not applicable
___ Microscopic
___ Macroscopic (2 cm or less) (specify site, if applicable): _________________
___ Macroscopic (greater than 2 cm) (specify site, if applicable): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Peritoneal / Ascitic Fluid Involvement (Note J)
# If the ovary shows borderline tumor, but neoplastic cells are present in fluids, they should be classified as “atypia of undetermined significance”, rather than “suspicious for malignancy”, if the International System for Reporting Serous Fluid Cytopathology categories are used. If the staging is category T1, borderline tumors with neoplastic cells in fluids are staged as T1c3. The category of “suspicious for malignancy” should be reserved only for malignant ovarian tumors.
___ Not submitted / unknown
___ Negative for malignant cells
___ Atypical# (explain): _________________
   + ___ Neoplastic cells present (serous neoplasm, low-grade, see corresponding surgical specimen)
   + ___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Suspicious# (explain): _________________
___ Malignant cells present
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________
___ Results pending

Chemotherapy Response Score (CRS) (required only if applicable) (Note K)
Required only for high-grade serous carcinomas. Treatment effect is based on assessment of residual tumor in the omentum.
___ Not applicable
___ No known presurgical therapy
___ CRS1 (no definite or minimal response)
___ CRS2 (moderate response)
___ CRS3 (marked response with no or minimal residual cancer)
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

+Tumor Comment: _________________

REGIONAL LYMPH NODES

Regional Lymph Node Status#
# Lymph nodes designated as pelvic (parametrial, obturator, internal iliac (hypogastric), external iliac, common iliac, sacral), para-aortic, and retroperitoneal are considered regional lymph nodes. Intra-omental and peri-intestinal lymph nodes are not considered regional nodes and their involvement is regarded as part of T3 intraperitoneal disease for staging purposes. Any other involved nodes should be categorized as metastases (pM1) and reported on in the distant metastasis section. Although there is limited evidence, Stage IIIA1 is subdivided based on the 10 mm cut-off for nodal metastases. The presence of isolated tumor cells no greater than 0.2 mm in regional lymph node(s) is considered N0(i+).
___ Not applicable (no regional lymph nodes submitted or found)
___ Regional lymph nodes present
   ____ All regional lymph nodes negative for tumor cells
   ____ Tumor present in regional lymph node(s)
   **Number of Nodes with Metastasis Greater than 10 mm**
   ____ Exact number (specify): _________________
___ At least (specify): _____________________
___ Other (specify): _____________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _____________________

**Number of Nodes with Metastasis 10 mm or Less (excluding isolated tumor cells)**
___ Exact number (specify): _____________________
___ At least (specify): _____________________
___ Other (specify): _____________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _____________________

**Number of Nodes with Isolated Tumor Cells (ITCs) (0.2 mm or less) (required only if applicable)**#

# Reporting the number of lymph nodes with isolated tumor cells is required only in the absence of metastasis greater than 0.2 mm in other lymph nodes.
___ Not applicable
___ Exact number (specify): _____________________
___ At least (specify): _____________________
___ Other (specify): _____________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _____________________

**+ Nodal Site(s) with Tumor (select all that apply)**
___ Right pelvic: _____________________
___ Left pelvic: _____________________
___ Pelvic, NOS: _____________________
___ Right para-aortic: _____________________
___ Left para-aortic: _____________________
___ Para-aortic, NOS: _____________________
___ Other (specify): _____________________
___ Cannot be determined: _____________________

**Size of Largest Nodal Metastatic Deposit**

Specify in Millimeters (mm)
___ Exact size: _____________________ mm
___ At least: _____________________ mm
___ Greater than: _____________________ mm
___ Less than: _____________________ mm
___ Other (specify): _____________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _____________________

**Location of Largest Nodal Metastatic Deposit**
___ Right pelvic: _____________________
___ Left pelvic: _____________________
___ Pelvic, NOS: _____________________
___ Right para-aortic: _____________________
___ Left para-aortic: _____________________
___ Para-aortic, NOS: _____________________
___ Other (specify): _____________________
___ Cannot be determined: _____________________
___ Other (specify): _____________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _____________________

**Number of Lymph Nodes Examined**
___ Exact number (specify): _____________________
At least (specify): 

Other (specify): 

Cannot be determined (explain): 

### Nodal Site(s) Examined (select all that apply)

- Right pelvic: 
- Left pelvic: 
- Pelvic, NOS: 
- Right para-aortic: 
- Left para-aortic: 
- Para-aortic, NOS: 
- Other (specify): 
- Cannot be determined: 

### Regional Lymph Node Comment:

### DISTANT METASTASIS

**Distant Site(s) Involved, if applicable**

- Not applicable
- Positive cytology indicates confirmed malignant cells
- Pleural effusion with positive cytology:
- Liver parenchyma:
- Splenic parenchyma:
- Extra-abdominal organ(s):
- Inguinal lymph node(s) and lymph node(s) outside of the abdominal cavity (such as supraclavicular or axillary):
- Transmural involvement of intestine:
- Other (specify):
- Cannot be determined:

### pTNM CLASSIFICATION (AJCC 8th Edition) (Note L)

Reporting of pT, pN, and (when applicable) pM categories is based on information available to the pathologist at the time the report is issued. As per the AJCC (Chapter 1, 8th Ed.) it is the managing physician’s responsibility to establish the final pathologic stage based upon all pertinent information, including but potentially not limited to this pathology report.

**Modified Classification (required only if applicable)**

- Not applicable
- y (post-neoadjuvant therapy)
- r (recurrence)

**pT Category**

- pT not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)
- pT0: No evidence of primary tumor
- pT1: Tumor limited to ovaries (one or both) or fallopian tube(s)

When found incidentally or in risk reducing salpingo-oophorectomy specimens, serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma (STIC) does not need synoptic reporting. If staging procedure was performed, STIC without invasion or extratubal spread may be staged as pT1a
if it involves one fallopian tube only, as pT1b if it involves both fallopian tubes, and as pT1c if it is accompanied by positive peritoneal washings or ascites, with an annotation that there is no "invasive" carcinoma. The presence of ascites does not affect staging unless malignant cells are present.

___ pT1a: Tumor limited to one ovary (capsule intact) or fallopian tube, no tumor on ovarian or fallopian tube surface; no malignant cells in ascites or peritoneal washings

___ pT1b: Tumor limited to both ovaries (capsules intact) or fallopian tubes; no tumor on ovarian or fallopian tube surface; no malignant cells in ascites or peritoneal washings

pT1c: Tumor limited to one or both ovaries or fallopian tubes, with any of the following:

___ pT1c1: Surgical spill

___ pT1c2: Capsule ruptured before surgery or tumor on ovarian or fallopian tube surface

___ pT1c3: Malignant cells in ascites or peritoneal washings

___ pT1 (subcategory cannot be determined)

pT2: Tumor involves one or both ovaries or fallopian tubes with pelvic extension below pelvic brim or primary peritoneal cancer

___ pT2a: Extension and / or implants on the uterus and / or fallopian tube(s) and / or ovaries

___ pT2b: Extension to and / or implants on other pelvic tissues

___ pT2 (subcategory cannot be determined)

pT3: Tumor involves one or both ovaries or fallopian tubes, or primary peritoneal cancer, with microscopically confirmed peritoneal metastasis outside the pelvis and / or metastasis to the retroperitoneal (pelvic and / or para-aortic) lymph nodes

___ pT3a: Microscopic extrapelvic (above the pelvic brim) peritoneal involvement with or without positive retroperitoneal lymph nodes

___ pT3b: Macroscopic peritoneal metastasis beyond pelvis 2 cm or less in greatest dimension with or without metastasis to the retroperitoneal lymph nodes

___ pT3c: Macroscopic peritoneal metastasis beyond the pelvis more than 2 cm in greatest dimension with or without metastasis to the retroperitoneal lymph nodes (includes extension of tumor to capsule of liver and spleen without parenchymal involvement of either organ)

___ pT3 (subcategory cannot be determined)

T Suffix (required only if applicable)

___ Not applicable

___ (m) multiple primary synchronous tumors in a single organ

pN Category#

# For ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal tumors, lymph nodes designated as pelvic [parametrial, obturator, internal iliac (hypogastric), external iliac, common iliac, sacral, presacral], para-aortic, and retroperitoneal are considered regional lymph nodes. Intra-omental and peri-intestinal lymph nodes are not considered regional nodes and their involvement is regarded as part of T3 intraperitoneal disease for staging purposes. Any other involved nodes should be categorized as metastases (pM1) and reported in the distant metastasis section. Although there is limited evidence, Stage IIIA1 is subdivided based on the 10 mm cut-off for nodal metastases. The presence of isolated tumor cells no greater than 0.2 mm in regional lymph node(s) is considered N0(i+).

___ pN not assigned (no nodes submitted or found)

___ pN not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)

___ pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis

___ pN0(i+): Isolated tumor cells in regional lymph node(s) no greater than 0.2 mm

pN1: Positive retroperitoneal (pelvic and / or para-aortic) lymph nodes only (histologically confirmed)

___ pN1a: Metastasis up to and including 10 mm in greatest dimension

___ pN1b: Metastasis more than 10 mm in greatest dimension

___ pN1 (subcategory cannot be determined)

N Suffix (required only if applicable)

___ Not applicable
___ (sn) metastasis is identified only by sentinel lymph node biopsy
___ (f) metastasis is identified only by FNA or core biopsy

pM Category (required only if confirmed pathologically)
Parenchymal liver or splenic metastasis is classified as stage IV disease, whereas liver or splenic capsule metastasis is classified as stage III disease. Non-regional lymph node metastases (such as inguinal, supraclavicular, and axillary nodes) are considered M1. Involvement of diaphragm surface is considered pT3; however, involvement of diaphragm skeletal muscle or abdominal wall tissue beyond the peritoneum is considered distant metastasis (M1).
___ Not applicable - pM cannot be determined from the submitted specimen(s)

pM1: Distant metastasis, including pleural effusion with positive cytology; liver or splenic parenchymal metastasis; metastasis to extra-abdominal organs (including inguinal lymph nodes and lymph nodes outside the abdominal cavity); and transmural involvement of intestine
___ pM1a: Pleural effusion with positive cytology
___ pM1b: Liver or splenic parenchymal metastases; metastases to extra-abdominal organs (including inguinal lymph nodes and lymph nodes outside the abdominal cavity); transmural involvement of intestine
___ pM1 (subcategory cannot be determined)

FIGO STAGE

+FIGO Stage (2021 FIGO Cancer Report)#
# Measurements denote size of the tumor within the lymph node and not lymph node dimension.
___ I: Tumor confined to ovaries or fallopian tube(s)
___ IA: Tumor limited to one ovary (capsule intact) or fallopian tube; no tumor on ovarian or fallopian tube surface; no malignant cells in ascites or peritoneal washings
___ IB: Tumor limited to both ovaries (capsules intact) or fallopian tubes; no tumor on ovarian or fallopian tube surface; no malignant cells in the ascites or peritoneal washings
___ IC: Tumor limited to one or both ovaries or fallopian tubes, with any of the following:
___ IC1: Surgical spill
___ IC2: Capsule ruptured before surgery or tumor on ovarian or fallopian tube surface
___ IC3: Malignant cells in the ascites or peritoneal washings
___ IC: Tumor limited to one or both ovaries or fallopian tubes, not otherwise specified
___ II: Tumor involves one or both ovaries or fallopian tubes with pelvic extension (below pelvic brim) or peritoneal cancer
___ IIA: Extension and / or implants on uterus and / or fallopian tubes and / or ovaries
___ IIB: Extension to other pelvic intraperitoneal tissues
___ III: Tumor involves one or both ovaries or fallopian tubes, or peritoneal cancer, with cytologically or histologically confirmed spread to the peritoneum outside the pelvis and / or metastasis to the retroperitoneal lymph nodes
___ IIIA1: Positive retroperitoneal lymph nodes only (cytologically or histologically proven)
___ IIIA1(i): Metastasis up to 10 mm in greatest dimension
___ IIIA1(ii): Metastasis more than 10 mm in greatest dimension
___ IIIA2: Microscopic extrapelvic (above the pelvic brim) peritoneal involvement with or without positive retroperitoneal lymph nodes
___ IIIB: Macroscopic peritoneal metastases beyond the pelvis up to 2 cm in greatest dimension, with or without metastasis to the retroperitoneal lymph nodes
___ IIIC: Macroscopic peritoneal metastasis beyond the pelvis more than 2 cm in greatest dimension, with or without metastasis to the retroperitoneal lymph nodes (includes extension of tumor to capsule
of liver and spleen without parenchymal involvement of either organ)
___ IV: Distant metastasis excluding peritoneal metastases
___ IVA: Pleural effusion with positive cytology
## Stage IVB includes parenchymal metastases to liver or spleen (only capsular involvement is IIIC), invasion through the bowel wall and into the mucosa, or any metastasis to extra-abdominal organs.
___ IVB: Parenchymal metastases and metastases to extra-abdominal organs (including inguinal lymph nodes and lymph nodes outside of the abdominal cavity)##

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS (Note M)

+Additional Findings (select all that apply)
___ None identified
___ Serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma (STIC)
   +___ Left
   +___ Right
   +___ Bilateral
___ Endometriosis
   +___ Within tumor
   +___ Outside of tumor
___ Endosalpingiosis
___ Other (specify): _________________

SPECIAL STUDIES (Note N)
For reporting molecular testing, immunohistochemistry, and other cancer biomarker testing results, the appropriate CAP biomarker template should be used. Pending biomarker studies should be listed in the Comments section of this report.

+p53 Immunohistochemistry
___ Normal (wild-type) expression
___ Abnormal (mutated) expression
   ___ Overexpression (strong, diffuse nuclear expression)
   ___ Null (lack of nuclear or cytoplasmic expression)
   ___ Cytoplasmic only (with or without nuclear expression)
___ Subclonal abnormal (mutated) expression
   ___ Overexpression (strong, diffuse nuclear expression)
   ___ Null (lack of nuclear or cytoplasmic expression)
   ___ Cytoplasmic only (with or without nuclear expression)

COMMENTS

Comment(s): _________________
Explanatory Notes

A. Suggestions for Sampling for Microscopic Examination

Ovarian Surface
Involvement of the surface of the ovary or ovarian tumor is an important element in staging tumors limited to the ovary, and the presence of surface involvement may influence treatment. Therefore, careful examination of the ovarian surface is crucial. Furthermore, in patients who undergo risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy (see below), small foci of involvement of the ovarian surface or serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma with or without associated tubal mucosal high-grade serous carcinoma may be present that may be potentially lethal and may be missed if the macroscopic inspection is not optimal.1,2,3,4,5,6

Ovarian/Adnexal Tumor
One section for each centimeter of the tumor’s largest dimension is generally recommended, with modification based on the degree of heterogeneity of the tumor and the difficulty of diagnosis. Serous borderline tumor (especially micropapillary/cribriform variant), and mucinous borderline tumors require more sections (2 sections for each centimeter of the tumor’s largest dimension, excluding smooth-walled cystic foci). The ovarian surface where it is most closely approached by tumor on gross examination should be sampled, with the number of sections depending on the degree of suspicion of surface involvement. Tumor adhesions and sites of rupture should be sampled and labeled specifically for microscopic identification.

Risk Reducing Salpingo-Oophorectomy Specimens
The ovary and fallopian tube should be submitted entirely in patients with known gene mutations such as BRCA1/BRCA2 (hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome), RAD51, PALB2, etc., or suspected to be at increased risk of ovarian/fallopian tube cancer, even when grossly normal. This detailed examination results in an approximately 4-fold increase in detection of precursor lesions or early microscopic carcinoma.7 Appropriate handling implies that all ovarian and tubal tissue should be serially sectioned and submitted.8,9 For fallopian tubes, amputate the fimbriated ends and section parallel to the long axis of the fallopian tube to maximize the amount of tubal epithelium available for histologic examination (SEE-FIM protocol)10 (Figure 1). The remainder of the fallopian tube is submitted as serial cross-sections. Fixation for 1 to 2 hours prior to sectioning and/or manipulation may help prevent sloughing of the epithelium.
Sampling Issues
The recommendation for the number of sections to be taken of an ovarian/adnexal tumor is a general guideline, with the pathologist determining how many sections are necessary. If a tumor is obviously malignant and homogeneous throughout on gross examination, fewer sections may be needed. In contrast, if there is great variability in the gross appearance of the sectioned surfaces or opened cysts, it may be necessary to take more sections to sample the tumor adequately. In addition, as a general recommendation, borderline serous tumors with micropapillary foci or with microinvasion should be extensively sampled to ensure adequate assessment of the extent of invasion, when present. Mucinous tumors (particularly those with solid areas), solid teratomas, and malignant germ cell tumors often require careful gross examination and judicious sampling. Of note, additional sampling of a tumor that poses problems in differential diagnosis may be more informative than special studies.

Fallopian Tube(s)
For patients with high-grade serous carcinoma, if no gross lesion is present in the fimbriated end of each fallopian tube, complete microscopic examination is recommended using the SEE-FIM protocol.\textsuperscript{10}

Uterus
If tumor is grossly present, sections should be taken to determine its extent, including depth of myometrial invasion if tumor possibly originated in endometrium, and to determine its relation to ovarian tumor (metastatic to, metastatic from, independent primary). If uterine serosa is grossly involved, representative sections should be taken.

There are conflicting reports on whether patients with\textit{BRCA1}/\textit{BRCA2} mutations or those suspected to be at increased risk of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome are also at increased risk of endometrial carcinoma, in particular serous carcinoma. If no gross lesion is identified in the endometrium, submission of the entire endometrium may be considered.\textsuperscript{11,12,13}
Omentum
If implant/tumor is grossly identifiable, multiple representative sections should be submitted. Although there is no general consensus regarding the number of sections that should be taken from a grossly unremarkable omentum from a patient with an ovarian serous borderline tumor, serous carcinoma, or immature teratoma, a general recommendation is to take 5 to 10 sections. One model demonstrated that 5 blocks produced a sensitivity of 82%, whereas 10 blocks increased the sensitivity to 95%.14

For patients who have received neoadjuvant chemotherapy for advanced stage tubo-ovarian carcinoma (typically high-grade serous carcinoma), 4 to 6 sections of omentum, to sample the most abnormal areas, are recommended to allow assessment of response to chemotherapy (see Note K).

Lymph Nodes
If the lymph nodes are grossly involved by tumor, representative sections are sufficient. However, if the lymph nodes appear grossly free of tumor, they should be entirely submitted. In either case, the dimension of the largest metastatic deposit should be documented.

Other Staging Biopsy Specimens
Staging biopsy tissues should be entirely processed unless grossly positive for tumor. If tumor is grossly seen, representative sections are usually sufficient. For borderline tumors or immature teratomas with grossly apparent implants, multiple sections of the implants should be taken (as in omental sampling).

Other Organ or Tissue Removed
Sections should be taken to determine the presence or absence, as well as location and extent, of tumor, if present. Resection margins should be taken, if applicable.
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**B. Rupture of Tumor**

It is important to establish if the tumor is intact or ruptured, because in the latter scenario, neoplastic cells may have spilled into the abdominal cavity. In a meta-analysis of early stage epithelial ovarian cancer with rupture, pre-operative rupture decreased progression free survival when compared with intraoperative rupture, but both showed reduced progression free survival compared to no rupture. In tumors that have an admixture of benign, borderline, and/or malignant areas, it may also be important to know which area ruptured.2,3
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**C. Site of Origin**

Determination of primary site for most histologic types of adnexal tumor is relatively straightforward when the tumor is confined to the ovary. When the ovary(ies), fallopian tube(s), uterus, and/or multiple intraperitoneal sites are involved, it may be difficult or impossible to determine the primary site. Historically, a primary site was assigned based on the dominant mass, but this resulted in ovarian metastases from a number of extra-ovarian primary sites (e.g., stomach, appendix, colon, pancreas, endocervix, endometrium) being mistaken for primary ovarian neoplasms. Increased awareness of the ability of small extra-ovarian primary tumors to metastasize to the ovary, their characteristic morphologic features, and the introduction of immunostains that aid in primary site determination have led to improved recognition of ovarian metastases in practice. It is widely accepted that most high-grade serous carcinomas (HGSC) arise from the fallopian tube or less commonly from the ovarian surface or epithelial inclusion cysts. Table 1 reflects current recommendations for primary site assignment. Although ascertaining the primary site is important for evaluation of tumor incidence and mortality, epidemiologic
studies, and cancer registry data, currently distinguishing between primary sites of origin for HGSC has no clinical management implications.

Table 1. Criteria for Assignment of Primary Site in High-Grade Serous Carcinoma (HGSC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Site Designation</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fallopian Tube</td>
<td>Serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma (STIC) present OR Mucosal high-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC) present, with or without STIC OR Part or entire length of fallopian tube is inseparable from the tubo-ovarian mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary</td>
<td>Both fallopian tubes are separate from the mass AND No STIC or mucosal HGSC present in either fallopian tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubo-ovarian</td>
<td>Fallopian tubes and ovaries not available for complete examination AND Pathologic findings consistent with extrauterine HGSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal</td>
<td>Both fallopian tubes and ovaries are fully examined AND No gross or microscopic evidence of STIC or HGSC in fallopian tubes or ovaries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Assigning a “tubo-ovarian” primary site should be reserved for small biopsy samples or HGSC developing in patients with a prior salpingo-oophorectomy with incomplete tubal examination but may also be applicable in cases of previously treated tumor specimens. Site assignment as “undesignated” should be avoided. If a case does not fit into any of the above categories and/or there remains doubt over whether it is of tubo-ovarian or endometrial origin, synoptic reporting may be omitted.

It is important to note that it may be difficult to assign tumor origin in cases when there is multifocal high-grade serous neoplasia. Although endometrial and adnexal HGSC may arise independently, most cases of simultaneous involvement of the adnexa and endometrium by HGSC represent spread from the endometrium to adnexa, while secondary involvement of the endometrium (“drop metastasis”) from a likely tubal primary is less common. Endometrial serous carcinoma may present with adnexal mass(es) and/or may involve the fallopian tube(s) with STIC-like features. In such cases, extensive omental involvement characteristic of primary tubo-ovarian HGSC is usually lacking. WT-1 staining is typically strong and diffuse in tubo-ovarian HGSC and weak/focal or negative in endometrial serous carcinoma. However, WT-1 is not completely sensitive or specific in determining primary site. In most cases of high-grade serous neoplasia identified at more than one site, this is one primary tumor with metastasis to the other rather than two separate primaries. Finding the same genetic alterations, such as TP53 mutations, in two different sites may be helpful.
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D. Tumor Location

Distribution of tumor in the ovary may provide clues to its origin. Tumor present mainly on the ovarian surface without forming a discrete lesion is more likely to represent metastasis. A tumor centered on or mainly involving the ovarian hilum is more likely metastatic. Mucinous neoplasms, if bilateral or associated with mucinous ascites or peritoneal/ovarian surface involvement, are more likely to be metastatic (see Note F, Table 2).12
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E. Contralateral Ovary

Contralateral ovary refers to the ovary that is non-dominant because it is either (1) involved by a tumor that is similar to but smaller than the dominant ovarian tumor, (2) contains only what appears to be metastatic tumor on gross and/or microscopic examination, or (3) is negative for tumor. If the contralateral ovary contains only focal tumor, the gross and microscopic examination should concentrate on determining whether the tumor is an independent primary or is metastatic from the dominant ovary. Metastatic involvement is supported by the same criteria that are used to distinguish primary and metastatic malignancies of the ovary (multiple nodules, surface implants, and hilar vascular space invasion favor metastasis). If the contralateral ovary shows a borderline tumor of a different histotype in a case of a primary ovarian malignancy, the malignant tumor is reported first with a separate synoptic report.
for the contralateral borderline tumor. If the contralateral ovary shows the same histotype (whether malignant or borderline), then one synoptic report is sufficient, and the contralateral ovary is reported “bilateral ovaries” should be checked under “Tumor Site”. Only the largest ovarian tumor size is required if the tumor is bilateral. Of note, designation of contralateral versus ipsilateral ovary is usually clinically inconsequential for high-grade serous carcinoma.

F. Histologic Type
The World Health Organization (WHO) classification and nomenclature of ovarian tumors is widely used. Different histotypes of ovarian carcinoma can be diagnosed with a high degree of reproducibility in routine practice, which has clinical implications. For example, hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome is associated with high-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC), while Lynch syndrome is associated with endometrioid and clear cell tumors (both are frequently associated with endometriosis), so accurate diagnosis is important.

If the ovary(ies) contain(s) more than one malignant tumor type, report either “mixed carcinoma” or the most aggressive malignant tumor, with a clinical note that clarifies the presence of multiple tumors and the percentage of each. Although it is rare to have two different malignant tumors in the separate ovaries, this circumstance requires separate synoptic reports. If a malignant tumor is arising from a borderline or benign tumor in the same site, one report with a note clarifying the co-existence of a borderline or benign tumor is sufficient with both tumor types selected under Histologic Type.

Serous Tumors
Serous borderline tumor (SBT) shows epithelial proliferation with papillary hierarchical branching and low-grade cytology, involving at least 10% of the overall tumor volume, and lacking stromal invasion. It is often surgically staged to include peritoneal washings, peritoneal and omental biopsies. Omitting staging in SBT may increase recurrence rates but has no effect on overall survival. Although it is uncommon to have positive cytology with borderline tumors, in one study, SBT was the most common finding after HGSC. Borderline tumor cells in peritoneal fluids are reported as atypia of undetermined significance (AUS) based on the International System for Reporting Serous Fluid Cytopathology (see Explanatory Note J below).

The micropapillary/cribriform variant of serous borderline tumor (SBT) shows elongated micropapillae without fibrovascular cores that are at least 5 times longer than wide, measure at least 5 mm, and directly emanate from broad papillae, imparting a “Medusa-head” appearance, and/or small cribriform spaces. The term “implant” is used in the context of extraovarian disease associated with ovarian SBT or seromucinous borderline tumors. Implants are non-invasive by definition (see Note I). The previously recognized “invasive implants” are now considered extraovarian low-grade serous carcinoma (LGSC). If the ovarian tumor is suspected to be SBT but shows “invasive implants”, additional sampling is warranted, but the tumor should be categorized as LGSC.

SBT with microinvasion is the term to use when the overall histology is SBT, but there are foci of invasion less than 5 mm in greatest dimension in any single focus, presenting as individual or small clusters of plump eosinophilic cells, or small papillary clusters in lacunar spaces without a stromal reaction.
LGSC has many morphologic appearances but typically forms small nests, glands, micropapillae and inverted macropapillae lying within clear spaces (retraction artifact). Psammoma bodies are often abundant. **Microinvasive LGSC** is the term used when the overall histology resembles a LGSC but only individual foci of invasion less than 5 mm in dimension are found. Extensive sampling should be done to exclude larger invasive foci; otherwise, these tumors usually behave similar to SBTs at lower stages (I and II) and are often associated with areas of conventional SBT.6,7

The distinction between high-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC) and LGSC is not an assignment of grade based on a continuum of differentiation. These are two distinct tumors that differ with respect to risk factors, precursor lesions, response to chemotherapy, and genetic events during oncogenesis, and merit consideration as separate histologic types. The criteria for distinguishing between LGSC and HGSC are primarily based on nuclear variability (at least 3-fold nuclear size variation for HGSC). In cases where the distinction is difficult, block-like p16 expression and abnormal (aberrant) p53 expression in HGSC and assessment of mitotic activity (at least 12 mitoses/10 high-power fields in HGSC) may be used. This system has molecular and prognostic validity and excellent inter-observer agreement.1

Serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma (STIC) is a precursor for HGSC. Although an “in situ” neoplasm, it has the potential to metastasize throughout the peritoneal cavity.8 Therefore, when staging procedure was performed and there is only fallopian tube involvement with STIC and peritoneal washings are negative, the tumor is staged as AJCC pT1a/FIGO IA with an annotation that there is no “invasive” carcinoma. Incidentally identified STIC in risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy or opportunistic salpingectomy specimens does not require synoptic reporting.

“Seromucinous carcinoma” shows poor interobserver reproducibility and is now considered a variant of endometrioid carcinoma that often shows mucinous differentiation.9 Seromucinous borderline tumor remains a distinct entity showing an admixture of Müllerian epithelium, including endometrioid, ciliated, hobnailed, and endocervical mucinous epithelium with foci of squamous differentiation.1,10

Mucinous Tumors

Mucinous borderline tumor (MBT) shows proliferation of gastrointestinal-type mucinous epithelium with low-grade nuclear atypia involving at least 10% of the total tumor, lining cysts with variable degrees of epithelial stratification, tufting, and villous or slender filiform papillae. Lesser degrees of proliferation are mucinous cystadenomas "with focal epithelial proliferation". MBT and primary ovarian mucinous adenocarcinoma must be differentiated from metastatic carcinoma from the endocervix, appendix, colon, stomach, pancreaticobiliary system, and breast.11,12,13,14,15 Metastatic mucinous carcinoma is more common than primary ovarian mucinous carcinoma.16 There is significant histologic overlap of metastatic tumors to the ovary, which may “differentiate” (maturation phenomenon) to more benign-appearing epithelium, mimicking primary ovarian mucinous tumors. Features that suggest metastatic carcinoma are listed below (see Table 2).16 Primary mucinous carcinoma may exhibit expansile or infiltrative growth. Expansile growth is more common and consists of at least 5 mm or more of back-to-back glands with minimal intervening stroma, without a desmoplastic reaction or stromal invasion. Infiltrative growth pattern demonstrates individual glands and cell clusters inciting a stromal (often desmoplastic) response.17

Histologic features that suggest particular primary sites include villoglandular growth with epithelial basal apoptotic figures and apical mitoses (human papillomavirus [HPV] associated endocervical adenocarcinoma); cribriform/"garland" growth and "dirty" luminal necrosis with significant epithelial atypia
(colorectal carcinoma); and extensive poorly-cellular mucinous dissection of stroma (pseudomyxoma ovarii) with incomplete gland formation and subepithelial “clefts” (appendiceal mucinous neoplasm). Metastatic pancreaticobiliary carcinoma and HPV-independent gastric-type endocervical adenocarcinoma are particularly likely to mimic ovarian mucinous tumors, even mucinous cystadenoma.18 Pseudomyxoma peritonei is most often associated with appendiceal mucinous tumors.19 An immunohistochemical panel is of limited value as the patterns are highly variable. Primary mucinous adenocarcinoma may be positive for PAX8 and/or PAX2 and CK7, and negative for SATB2 and CDX2.15,17,19,20 DPC4 expression, intact in most primary ovarian mucinous carcinomas, lower gastrointestinal tract and gastric tumors, but absent in mucin-producing tumors of the pancreaticobiliary tract, may also be helpful.17

**MBT with intraepithelial carcinoma** displays excessively stratified epithelium with high nuclear grade and frequent mitoses but remains confined to the epithelium. These foci may show cellular micropapillae and cribriform architecture and are a trigger to sample the tumor more extensively for invasion.12

**MBT with microinvasion** is a MBT with foci of invasion measuring less than 5 mm, typically represented by small cellular nests or single cells inciting a desmoplastic response to the stroma.1 Cell clusters often present in clear spaces, as nests surrounded by mucin, or as irregular glands inciting a stromal response. Focal cribriform patterns may also represent microinvasion but an extensive pattern is more characteristic of primary mucinous carcinoma.11

**Borderline Brenner tumors** are cystic and highly papillary tumors lined by transitional epithelium but lacking stromal invasion; metastatic urothelial carcinoma should be excluded. **Malignant Brenner tumors** mimic urothelial carcinoma but show stromal invasion in association with benign or borderline Brenner tumor. Most cases of ovarian “transitional cell carcinoma” represent a morphologic variant of HGSC with TP53 mutations or occasionally endometrioid carcinoma and can be distinguished from borderline Brenner tumor by morphology and immunohistochemistry.21,22

**Mesonephric-like adenocarcinoma** is a solid or solid/cystic tumor with mesonephric differentiation and a variety of glandular patterns, including tubular, pseudoendometrioid, angulated, silt-like, and papillary. Intraluminal colloid-like material is often present. The cells are low-columnar, crowded and have inconspicuous nucleoli. Tumor cells are positive for GATA3, TTF1, CD10 (luminal), and PAX8, and negative for ER, PR and WT1, with wild-type p53.1,23

**Undifferentiated carcinoma** refers to a malignant tumor that lacks any evidence of a line of differentiation. **Dedifferentiated carcinoma** shows foci of identifiable epithelial differentiation, usually low-grade endometrioid carcinoma or, less often, serous carcinoma.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Primary Ovarian</th>
<th>Metastatic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Frequent; more than 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface involvement</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular growth</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size greater than 10-12 cm</td>
<td>Frequent</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphovascular invasion</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilar involvement</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltrative growth</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Frequent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expansile growth | Frequent | Possible
---|---|---
Single-cell infiltration | Rare | Possible


Sarcomas
Apart from ovarian epithelial carcinomas, ovarian sarcomas are the tumor category most likely to metastasize. Sarcomas are added to this protocol because the WHO Classification of Tumours recommends the use of the conventional ovarian tumor staging system for ovarian sarcomas.

Primary Peritoneal Tumors
These tumors are extremely rare. Most tumors previously designated as primary peritoneal serous carcinoma are likely of tubo-ovarian origin, but exceptions occur. To designate a serous tumor as primary peritoneal, there must be no ovarian or fallopian tube involvement, and no serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma (STIC) in the entirely submitted ovaries and fallopian tubes.

Malignant Struma Ovarii
Malignant struma ovarii is rare. It may occur independently or within struma ovarii and/or teratoma as papillary, follicular, or other histologic subtypes of thyroid-type malignancy. The specific subtype is annotated in the protocol. Peritoneal implants of benign-appearing follicular cells, previously termed “strumosis”, are currently regarded as metastases from well-differentiated carcinoma. Clinical management of these tumors remains controversial, and their clinical behavior is not reliably predictable. Thyroid carcinoma arising from struma ovarii is staged using the AJCC and/or FIGO systems. Use of the FIGO staging system allows direct correlation with prognostic predictors in recent studies. Pathologists must document histologic tumor types and subtypes based on the 5th edition of the WHO classification of thyroid tumors.

Other Tumors
High-grade tumors with ambiguous features, such that one of the specific histologic types listed cannot be assigned, should be classified as “carcinoma, subtype cannot be determined”. This is an infrequent situation and every effort should be made to subclassify these tumors.

Ovarian tumors are characterized by a variety of molecular alterations that may be helpful in their differential diagnosis (see Table 3).

Table 3. Molecular Associations with Ovarian Tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ovarian Tumor</th>
<th>Molecular Associations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-grade serous carcinoma</td>
<td>BRAF, KRAS mutations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-grade serous carcinoma</td>
<td>TP53; BRCA1, BRCA2 mutations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrioid carcinoma</td>
<td>CTNNB1, ARID1A, PIK3CA, PTEN, POLE mutations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cell carcinoma</td>
<td>ARID1A, PIK3CA, PTEN mutations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous carcinoma</td>
<td>KRAS, CDKN2A, TP53 mutations; ERBB2 amplification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant Brenner tumor</td>
<td>PIK3CA mutations; MDM2 amplification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Endometrial stromal sarcoma, low-grade | JAZF1::SUZ12, EPC1::PHF1, and other rearrangements
Granulosa cell tumor, adult type | FOXL2 missense mutation; TP53 mutations in high-grade transformation
Granulosa cell tumor, juvenile type | AKT1 and GNAS mutations
Sertoli-Leydig cell tumors | DICER1 somatic and germline mutations, FOXL2 mutations
Dysgerminoma, yolk sac tumor, embryonal carcinoma | Chromosome 12 abnormalities
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G. Mixtures of Histologic Types of Tumors

The term *mixed carcinoma* should only be used when 2 or more distinctive subtypes of carcinomas are identified and preferably confirmed by ancillary testing. There is no minimal percentage of tumor required for reporting a second component. When a carcinoma is classified as “mixed”, the major and minor types and their relative proportions (percentages) should be specified.

The diagnosis of mixed carcinoma was relatively common in the past, but with application of current histopathologic criteria, less than 1% of tubo-ovarian carcinomas are mixed, and the most common admixture is of endometrioid and clear cell carcinoma. It is now established that high-grade serous carcinomas show a wide range of histopathologic features, including glandular (pseudoendometrioid), solid and transitional architecture, or clear cell change, and the presence of these variants does not warrant diagnosis as mixed carcinoma. Quantitation of various epithelial cell types within a carcinoma, as well as quantitation of tumor types within malignant germ cell tumors, may be prognostically important.
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H. Histologic Grade

Carcinomas

Clear cell carcinomas, malignant Brenner tumors, un-/dedifferentiated carcinomas, mesonephric-like carcinomas, and carcinosarcomas are not graded. Serous carcinomas are not graded as low-grade serous carcinoma and high-grade serous carcinoma represent distinct tumor types rather than low- and high-grade subtypes of the same tumor.
Endometrioid carcinomas are graded according to the FIGO system used for endometrioid carcinomas of the endometrium, as shown below. Notable nuclear atypia in the majority of the tumor cells in a grade 1 or 2 tumor, evident at low power and discordant with the architectural grade, raises the FIGO grade by one. Before upgrading an endometrioid carcinoma based on nuclear atypia, the possibility of a high-grade serous carcinoma should be considered.

**FIGO grading**

- Grade 1: 5% or less of non-squamous solid growth
- Grade 2: 6% to 50% of non-squamous solid growth
- Grade 3: More than 50% of non-squamous solid growth

Mucinous carcinomas can be graded via Silverberg, FIGO and growth-based classification systems. Silverberg system is prognostically significant, while FIGO grading is not.¹

**Silverberg grading**

- Grade 1: 3-5
- Grade 2: 6-7
- Grade 3: 8-9

The above combined score is based on 3 parameters:

- Predominant architecture (score 1 = glandular, 2 = papillary, 3 = solid)
- Nuclear atypia (score 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe)
- Mitoses/10 high-power fields (score 1 = 0-9, 2 = 10-24, 3 = 25 or more)

(Mitotic count assessed using a 10x wide field eyepiece and 40x objective with field diameter and area being 0.663 mm and 0.345 mm², respectively; only nuclei with definite morphologic features of metaphase, anaphase, or telophase are counted.)¹

**Growth pattern-based grading** is based on confluent/expansile vs infiltrative growth pattern, and has been shown association with survival.²³

- Low-grade: Confluent/expansile growth, or less than or equal to 10% infiltrative growth
- High-grade: Infiltrative growth in greater than 10% of tumor

**Germ Cell Tumors**

Immature teratomas are the only malignant germ cell tumors that are graded. They are classically graded on the basis of the quantity of immature/embryonal elements (the number of low-power microscopic fields containing aggregated amounts of immature neuroepithelium) that are present in any one slide.⁴⁵ Immature elements other than neuroepithelial elements are not considered for grading purposes. The most widely implemented grading system to classify immature teratomas is a 3-tier system (see Table 4 below). However, a 2-tier grading system (low versus high-grade) has been proposed by some experts as being more reproducible. Grade 1 tumors are low-grade and curable with resection while grade 2 and 3 tumors are considered high-grade. Implants associated with immature teratomas must be assessed for the presence of immature elements. Although immature neuroepithelium is most common, implants may be entirely comprised of mature glial tissue (gliomatosis).
Table 4. Grading Immature Teratomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of immature teratoma (immature neuroepithelial component only)</th>
<th>Total fields (in any slide) involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 (low-grade)</td>
<td>Less than 1 low power field (40X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2 (high-grade)</td>
<td>Between 1 and 3 low power fields (40X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3 (high-grade)</td>
<td>4 or more low-power fields (40X)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sertoli-Leydig Cell Tumors

Sertoli-Leydig cell tumors are graded with a 3-tier grading system, as described in the WHO 2020 classification. As differentiation of the Sertoli cell component decreases, so does the extent of tubular differentiation and number of Leydig cells, while the amount of primitive gonadal stroma increases. Briefly, in well-differentiated tumors, the Sertoli cells lack significant atypia or mitotic activity and are present in hollow or solid tubules in association with readily identified clusters, cords, and single Leydig cells. Moderately differentiated tumors have a lobular pattern and exhibit Sertoli cells that form nests, tubules, or cords with mild, moderate, or rarely bizarre cytologic atypia and modest mitotic activity with minimal amounts of Leydig cells at the periphery. In poorly differentiated tumors, there is sarcomatous stroma resembling primitive gonadal stroma, sparse Leydig cells, associated with a minor component of moderately differentiated Sertoli-Leydig cell tumor.

Three molecular subtypes of Sertoli-Leydig cell tumors have been described: 1) DICER1-mutant (younger patient age, moderately/poorly differentiated tumor, retiform or heterologous elements), 2) FOXL2 c.402C>G (p.Cys134Trp)-mutant (postmenopausal patients, moderately/poorly differentiated tumor, no retiform or heterologous elements), and 3) DICER1/FOXL2-wild-type (intermediate patient age, no retiform or heterologous elements, including well-differentiated tumors). The morphologic differences between well-differentiated and moderately/poorly differentiated tumors, together with the presence of DICER1 mutations only in moderately/poorly differentiated tumors, suggests that well-differentiated and moderately/poorly differentiated tumors may represent two distinct neoplasms with different requirements for DICER1 germline testing, analogous to low-grade and high-grade serous carcinomas. Similarly, FOXL2 mutation has been considered a hallmark of adult granulosa cell tumor. The presence of FOXL2 hotspot mutation in neoplasms that are morphologically consistent with moderate/poorly differentiated Sertoli-Leydig cell tumors admittedly poses a diagnostic challenge as to the primacy of morphology vs molecular findings in histopathologic diagnosis, and it raises the possibility that these FOXL2 mutant tumors could represent either bona fide Sertoli-Leydig cell tumors or adult granulosa cell tumors with infiltrates of Leydig-like luteinized stromal cells, resulting in morphologic mimicry of Sertoli-Leydig cell tumor.
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I. Implants (Serous/Seromucinous Borderline Tumors Only)
The term “implant” is reserved for serous and seromucinous borderline tumors, whereas involvement of peritoneal surfaces and organs by malignant tumor constitutes metastasis.

Implants (previously known as “non-invasive implants”) are associated with a favorable prognosis and can be divided into epithelial and desmoplastic subtypes. Epithelial implants are complex papillary structures and detached cell clusters on tissue surfaces or within peritoneal invaginations, without a stromal reaction. Desmoplastic implants are small groups or single cells confined to the surface, producing a significant granulation-type stromal reaction, but lacking retraction artifact and infiltration within fat. Distinction between these subtypes is academic and of no clinical significance, and reporting of separate subtypes of implants is not required.

“Invasive implant” is a term no longer applied to serous/seromucinous borderline tumors. “Invasive implants” are now considered extraovarian low-grade serous carcinomas, which may present with different patterns, including small or haphazardly arranged micropapillae, “inverted” glands and macropapillae, or densely packed small nests or papillae. Usually, the epithelial component predominates which is sharply demarcated from the surrounding stroma and may be associated with retraction artifact. Destructive invasion of the normal organ architecture is characteristic. If an ovarian serous “borderline” tumor has “invasive implants”, the ovarian tumor should be sampled extensively; the diagnosis comment should state that the primary ovarian neoplasm is a serous borderline tumor, while extraovarian site(s) show(s) low-grade serous carcinoma either from unsampled carcinoma in the ovary or tumor evolution at an extraovarian site. Invasive implants are associated with a shorter overall survival.
Rare implants cannot be classified as either non-invasive or invasive because of ambiguous morphology and are designated as indeterminate.
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J. Peritoneal/Ascitic Fluid Involvement
The International System (TIS) for Reporting Serous Cytopathology1,2 has been adopted as standardized terminology for reporting peritoneal and ascitic fluid involvement by tumor cells. The preferred categories for reporting are “nondiagnostic”, “negative for malignancy”, “atypia of undetermined significance” (AUS), “suspicious for malignancy”, and “malignant”.

A “malignant” specimen is one containing unequivocally malignant cells, whereas the “AUS” and “suspicious for malignancy” categories connote uncertainty. In order to avoid overuse of the latter two categories, pathologists are encouraged to microscopically compare the atypical/suspicious cells in the fluid specimen to the tumor cells in the surgical specimen and correlate the cytologic findings with the surgical specimen, specifically to understand if the presence of tumor cells in the fluid specimen can be explained by the findings in the surgical specimen (i.e., ovarian surface involvement, intraoperative spill, fallopian tube serosal involvement). Attempt to resolve uncertainty with ancillary testing should be made.

The “AUS” category represents a gray zone and should be reserved in situations when the further characterization of the cells in the fluid sample quantitatively or qualitatively is not possible and there is no explanation for their origin based on the surgical specimen. AUS is the proposed category for reporting the presence of neoplastic epithelial cells from the primary ovarian borderline tumor in peritoneal fluids, after the tumor is confirmed to be borderline without associated carcinoma in the surgical specimen. In such cases, the preferred wording for the cytologic diagnosis is “neoplastic cells present (serous neoplasm, low-grade, see corresponding surgical specimen)”. If the staging is category T1, borderline tumors with neoplastic cells in fluids are staged as pT1c3. Teratomas may also result in benign-appearing tumor cells in fluids when malignant components may be present in the surgical specimen; these cells may also be classified as “AUS” rather than malignant.

The category of “suspicious for malignancy” should not be used for borderline tumors and should be reserved for those tumor cells with some, but not all, features of malignancy, or that show malignant features but are qualitatively or quantitatively inferior for a definitive interpretation, in cases of a known malignant neoplasm in a surgical specimen. Cases commonly fall into the “suspicious” category due to the inability to confirm malignancy using ancillary tests, most often due to insufficient cell numbers.3,4,5
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**K. Chemotherapy Response Score**

A system for histopathologic assessment of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (chemotherapy response score or CRS) for high-grade serous carcinoma has been developed and validated, and shown to be highly reproducible.\(^1\)\(^2\) This 3-tiered scoring system is based on assessment of the section of *omentum* that shows the *least* response to chemotherapy. The criteria are shown in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. Criteria of the Chemotherapy Response Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRS 1: No or minimal tumor response</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainly viable tumor with no or minimal regression-associated fibro-inflammatory changes(^#), limited to a few foci; cases in which it is difficult to decide between regression and tumor-associated desmoplasia or inflammatory cell infiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRS 2: Appreciable tumor response amidst viable tumor, both readily identifiable and tumor regularly distributed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranging from multifocal or diffuse regression-associated fibro-inflammatory changes(^#), with viable tumor in sheets, streaks, or nodules, to extensive regression-associated fibro-inflammatory changes with multifocal residual tumor which is easily identifiable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRS 3: Complete or near-complete response with no residual tumor OR minimal irregularly scattered tumor foci seen as individual cells, cell groups, or nodules up to 2 mm in maximum size</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainly regression-associated fibro-inflammatory changes or, in rare cases, no/very little residual tumor in complete absence of any inflammatory response; advisable to record whether “no residual tumor” or “microscopic residual tumor present”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^#\) Regression-associated fibro-inflammatory changes: Fibrosis associated with macrophages, including foam cells, mixed inflammatory cells, and psammoma bodies; to distinguish from tumor-related inflammation or desmoplasia.
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L. pTNM Classification
In view of the role of the pathologist in the staging of cancers, the staging system for ovarian cancer endorsed by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union Against Cancer (UICC), as well as the parallel system formulated by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO), are recommended. This does not preclude the use of other staging systems.

By AJCC/UICC convention, the designation “cT” refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol “p” refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and the pathologist’s contribution is based on gross and microscopic examination after primary surgical treatment. pT entails a surgical treatment resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category and highest pN categories. pN entails removal or biopsy of nodes adequate to validate lymph node metastasis, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient. Pathologic classification (pTNM) must be assigned by the managing physician based on the clinical stage information, the operative findings, and the gross and microscopic examination of the surgical resection specimen. The pathologist provides vital information, but it is not the patient’s final pT, pN, and/or pM categories.

Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Biopsies of all frequently involved sites, such as the omentum, mesentery, diaphragm, peritoneal surfaces, pelvic nodes, and para-aortic nodes, are required for ideal staging of early disease. For example, a patient can be confidently coded as stage IA (T1 N0 M0), if negative biopsies of all of the aforementioned sites are obtained to exclude microscopic metastases. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (e.g., when technically infeasible), and if the highest T and N categories or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

TNM Stage Classifications
The “y” prefix indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or following initial multimodality therapy (i.e., neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy); prior hormonal therapy does not qualify for a “y” prefix. The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a “y” prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The “y” categorization is not an estimate of tumor prior to multimodality therapy (i.e., before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

The “r” prefix indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval and is identified by the “r” prefix: rTNM.

TNM Suffixes
For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the “(m)” T suffix and “(sn)” and “(f)” N suffixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing special analysis.

The “(m)” T suffix indicates the presence of multiple primary synchronous tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: e.g., pT1(m).
The “(sn)” N suffix indicates a sentinel node procedure only, without resection of the nodal basin, was performed and is recorded in parentheses: e.g., pN1(sn).

The “(f)” N suffix indicates a fine needle aspiration (FNA) or core needle biopsy, without a sentinel node procedure or resection of nodal basin, was performed and is recorded in parentheses: e.g., pN1(f).

**N Category Considerations**
Although there is limited evidence, Stage IIIA1 is subdivided based on the 10 mm cut-off for nodal metastases, while isolated tumor cells (ITCs) are single cells or small clusters of cells not more than 0.2 mm in greatest dimension. Cases with ITCs only in lymph nodes are classified as pN0(i+). Sentinel lymph node evaluation in early stage ovarian carcinoma is under investigation and not universally applied.5,6
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**M. Additional Findings**
The presence of endometriosis is an important clue as to the primary nature of the ovarian tumor. It may be associated with endometrioid and clear cell borderline tumors and carcinomas, mesonephric-like adenocarcinomas, and seromucinous borderline tumor.

**N. Special Studies**
Special studies including histochemical, immunohistochemical, and molecular genetic studies may be used in some cases. The appropriate biomarker template is suggested for reporting the results of prognostic or therapeutic tests. Evaluation for germline BRCA1/BRCA2 testing on patients with high-grade serous carcinoma of tubal/ovarian/primary peritoneal origin should be performed at the discretion of genetic counselors with assessment of other risk factors. Homologous recombination deficiency testing may be performed on high-grade serous carcinomas. Immunohistochemical stains for DNA mismatch repair (MMR) proteins (MLH1, PMS2, MSH2, and MSH6) for Lynch syndrome screening is recommended in all adnexal endometrioid and clear cell carcinomas.1,2,3

A p53 immunostain should be performed in carcinomas and granulosa cell tumors with high-grade transformation. An abnormal (mutated) immunohistochemical pattern serves as a surrogate marker for *TP53* gene mutations. The abnormal patterns are: 1) Overexpression (diffuse, strong nuclear positivity) due to a missense mutation, usually seen in at least 80% of tumor cells; 2) Null-type (complete absence of nuclear or cytoplasmic reactivity) that usually arises from insertion or deletion of the *TP53* gene. It is
important to ensure that internal positive control cells (lymphocytes, non-neoplastic cells) are present and show staining; 3) Cytoplasmic staining with or without nuclear reactivity, resulting from a mutation at the nuclear localization domain that does not allow p53 to enter the nucleus, thereby resulting in loss of function. The normal or “wild-type” pattern of reactivity, which is variable nuclear staining of varying intensity, can rarely be associated with high-grade serous carcinoma when the TP53 mutation is the result of truncated or 3’ splicing mutation. To prevent confusion, p53 expression should be reported as normal (wild-type) or abnormal with the pattern of abnormal expression in parenthesis.

Subclonal abnormal p53 pattern has been described in up to 21% of endometrial carcinomas, usually seen in association with MMR-deficiency or POLE mutations. Such subclonal abnormal p53 patterns in ovarian carcinomas are poorly understood, but should be reported if present. When unusual abnormal patterns occur, TP53 mutation analysis may be considered.
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