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The changes included in this current protocol version affect accreditation requirements. The new deadline for implementing this protocol version is reflected in the above accreditation date.

For accreditation purposes, this protocol should be used for the following procedures AND tumor types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resection</td>
<td>Includes specimens designated pancreatectomy, partial or total, and pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple resection)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>Invasive carcinomas including small cell and large cell (poorly differentiated) neuroendocrine carcinoma.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This protocol is NOT required for accreditation purposes for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enucleation (excisional biopsy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary resection specimen with no residual cancer (eg, following neoadjuvant therapy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytologic specimens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following tumor types should NOT be reported using this protocol:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm without associated invasive carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous cystic neoplasm without associated invasive carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumor (consider Pancreas Endocrine protocol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampullary tumors (consider Ampulla of Vater protocol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma (consider the Hodgkin or non-Hodgkin Lymphoma protocols)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoma (consider the Soft Tissue protocol)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authors
Lawrence J. Burgart, MD*; William V. Chopp, MD*; Dhanpat Jain, MD*. 

With guidance from the CAP Cancer and CAP Pathology Electronic Reporting Committees.

* Denotes primary author.
Accreditation Requirements
This protocol can be utilized for a variety of procedures and tumor types for clinical care purposes. For accreditation purposes, only the definitive primary cancer resection specimen is required to have the core and conditional data elements reported in a synoptic format.

- **Core data elements** are required in reports to adequately describe appropriate malignancies. For accreditation purposes, essential data elements must be reported in all instances, even if the response is “not applicable” or “cannot be determined.”
- **Conditional data elements** are only required to be reported if applicable as delineated in the protocol. For instance, the total number of lymph nodes examined must be reported, but only if nodes are present in the specimen.
- **Optional data elements** are identified with “+” and although not required for CAP accreditation purposes, may be considered for reporting as determined by local practice standards.

The use of this protocol is not required for recurrent tumors or for metastatic tumors that are resected at a different time than the primary tumor. Use of this protocol is also not required for pathology reviews performed at a second institution (ie, secondary consultation, second opinion, or review of outside case at second institution).

Synoptic Reporting
All core and conditionally required data elements outlined on the surgical case summary from this cancer protocol must be displayed in synoptic report format. Synoptic format is defined as:

- Data element: followed by its answer (response), outline format without the paired Data element: Response format is NOT considered synoptic.
- The data element should be represented in the report as it is listed in the case summary. The response for any data element may be modified from those listed in the case summary, including “Cannot be determined” if appropriate.
- Each diagnostic parameter pair (Data element: Response) is listed on a separate line or in a tabular format to achieve visual separation. The following exceptions are allowed to be listed on one line:
  - Anatomic site or specimen, laterality, and procedure
  - Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM) elements
  - Negative margins, as long as all negative margins are specifically enumerated where applicable
- The synoptic portion of the report can appear in the diagnosis section of the pathology report, at the end of the report or in a separate section, but all Data element: Responses must be listed together in one location

Organizations and pathologists may choose to list the required elements in any order, use additional methods in order to enhance or achieve visual separation, or add optional items within the synoptic report. The report may have required elements in a summary format elsewhere in the report IN ADDITION TO but not as replacement for the synoptic report ie, all required elements must be in the synoptic portion of the report in the format defined above.
Summary of Changes

v 4.2.0.2

- The CAP made no changes to Cancer Protocol content. We updated metadata only for the electronic Cancer Checklists (eCC), requiring a version number change for the Word and PDF Cancer Protocols.
CASE SUMMARY: (PANCREAS (EXOCRINE))
Standard(s): AJCC-UICC 8

SPECIMEN (Note A)

Procedure
___ Excisional biopsy (enucleation)
___ Pancreatectoduodenectomy (Whipple resection), partial pancreatectomy
___ Total pancreatectomy
___ Partial pancreatectomy, pancreatic body
___ Partial pancreatectomy, pancreatic tail
___ Other (specify): _________________________
___ Not specified

TUMOR

Tumor Site (Note B) (select all that apply)
___ Pancreatic head: _________________________
___ Uncinate process: _________________________
___ Pancreatic body: _________________________
___ Pancreatic tail: _________________________
___ Other (specify): _________________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________________
___ Not specified

Histologic Type (Note C)

Ductal Adenocarcinoma
___ Ductal adenocarcinoma (NOS)
___ Colloid carcinoma (mucinous noncystic carcinoma)
___ Signet-ring cell carcinoma (poorly cohesive carcinoma)
___ Intraductal papillary-mucinous neoplasm with an associated invasive carcinoma
___ Intraductal oncocytic papillary neoplasm with associated invasive carcinoma
___ Intraductal papillary neoplasm with an associated invasive carcinoma
___ Mucinous cystic neoplasm with an associated invasive carcinoma
___ Medullary carcinoma
___ Adenosquamous carcinoma
___ Hepatoid carcinoma
___ Large cell carcinoma with rhabdoid phenotype
___ Undifferentiated carcinoma
___ Undifferentiated carcinoma with osteoclast-like giant cells

Acinar Cell Carcinoma
___ Acinar cell carcinoma (NOS)
___ Acinar cell cystadenocarcinoma
___ Mixed acinar-neuroendocrine carcinoma
___ Mixed ductal-neuroendocrine carcinoma
___ Mixed acinar-endocrine-ductal carcinoma
___ Mixed acinar-ductal carcinoma

Other
___ Pancreatoblastoma
___ Solid-pseudopapillary neoplasm
___ Solid-pseudopapillary neoplasm with high grade carcinoma
___ Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma
___ Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma
___ Mixed neuroendocrine-non-neuroendocrine neoplasm
___ Other histologic type not listed (specify): _________________

+Histologic Type Comment: _________________

Histologic Grade (ductal carcinoma only) (Note D)
___ Not applicable
___ G1, well differentiated
___ G2, moderately differentiated
___ G3, poorly differentiated
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ GX, cannot be assessed: _________________

Tumor Size
___ Greatest dimension in Centimeters (cm): _________________ cm

+Additional Dimension in Centimeters (cm): ____ x ____ cm
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Site(s) Involved by Direct Tumor Extension (select all that apply)
___ No invasion (carcinoma in situ / high-grade dysplasia, including pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia III)
___ Confined to pancreas

+Pancreatic Surface Involvement (select all that apply)
___ Posterior surface
___ Anterior surface
___ Vascular bed / groove (corresponding to superior mesenteric vein / portal vein)
___ Ampulla of Vater or sphincter of Oddi
___ Duodenal wall
___ Peripancreatic soft tissues
___ Retroperitoneal soft tissue
___ Mesenteric adipose tissue
___ Mesocolon
___ Other peripancreatic soft tissue (specify): _________________
___ Extrapancreatic common bile duct
___ Stomach
___ Superior mesenteric vein
___ Portal vein
___ Celiac axis
___ Superior mesenteric artery
___ Common hepatic artery
___ Other adjacent organ(s) or structure(s): _________________
Cannot be determined: _________________

No evidence of primary tumor

**Treatment Effect (Note E)**

No known presurgical therapy

Present, with no viable cancer cells (complete response, score 0)

Present, with single cells or rare small groups of cancer cells (near complete response, score 1)

Present, with residual cancer showing evident tumor regression, but more than single cells or rare small groups of cancer cells (partial response, score 2)

Present (not otherwise specified)

Absent, with extensive residual cancer and no evident tumor regression (poor or no response, score 3)

Cannot be determined: _________________

**Lymphovascular Invasion (Note F)**

Not identified

Present

Cannot be determined: _________________

**Perineural Invasion (Note G)**

Not identified

Present

Cannot be determined: _________________

**Tumor Comment:**

**MARGINS (Note H)**

Margin Status for Invasive Carcinoma

All margins negative for invasive carcinoma

**Closest Margin(s) to Invasive Carcinoma (select all that apply)**

Proximal pancreatic parenchymal: _________________

Distal pancreatic parenchymal: _________________

Pancreatic neck / parenchymal: _________________

Uncinate (retroperitoneal / superior mesenteric artery): _________________

Bile duct: _________________

Proximal (gastric or duodenal): _________________

Distal (duodenal or jejunal): _________________

Other (specify): _________________

Cannot be determined: _________________

**Distance from Invasive Carcinoma to Closest Margin**

*Specify in Centimeters (cm)*

Exact distance in cm: _________________ cm

Greater than 1 cm

*Specify in Millimeters (mm)*

Exact distance in mm: _________________ mm

Greater than 10 mm

Other (specify): _________________

Cannot be determined: _________________
___ Invasive carcinoma present at margin

**Margin(s) Involved by Invasive Carcinoma (select all that apply)**
- ___ Proximal pancreatic parenchymal: _________________
- ___ Distal pancreatic parenchymal: _________________
- ___ Pancreatic neck / parenchymal: _________________
- ___ Uncinate (retroperitoneal / superior mesenteric artery): _________________
- ___ Bile duct: _________________
- ___ Proximal (gastric or duodenal): _________________
- ___ Distal (duodenal or jejunal): _________________
- ___ Other (specify): _________________
- ___ Cannot be determined: _________________
- ___ Other (specify): _________________
- ___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________
- ___ Not applicable

**Margin Status for Dysplasia and Intraepithelial Neoplasia (select all that apply)**
- ___ All margins negative for dysplasia and intraepithelial neoplasia
- ___ Pancreatic high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia present at margin

**Margin(s) Involved by Pancreatic High-Grade Intraepithelial Neoplasia (select all that apply)**
- ___ Proximal pancreatic parenchymal: _________________
- ___ Distal pancreatic parenchymal: _________________
- ___ Pancreatic neck / parenchymal: _________________
- ___ Other (specify): _________________
- ___ Cannot be determined: _________________
- ___ High-grade intraepithelial neoplasia present at bile duct margin: _________________
- ___ High-grade dysplasia present at margin

**Margin(s) Involved by High-Grade Dysplasia (select all that apply)**
- ___ Proximal (gastric or duodenal): _________________
- ___ Distal (duodenal or jejunal): _________________
- ___ Other (specify): _________________
- ___ Cannot be determined: _________________
- ___ Other (specify): _________________
- ___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________
- ___ Not applicable

+Margin Comment: _________________

**REGIONAL LYMPH NODES**

**Regional Lymph Node Status**
- ___ Not applicable (no regional lymph nodes submitted or found)
- ___ Regional lymph nodes present
- ___ All regional lymph nodes negative for tumor
- ___ Tumor present in regional lymph node(s)

**Number of Lymph Nodes with Tumor**
- ___ Exact number (specify): _________________
- ___ At least (specify): _________________
- ___ Other (specify): _________________
- ___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________
Number of Lymph Nodes Examined

- Exact number (specify): _________________
- At least (specify): _________________
- Other (specify): _________________
- Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Regional Lymph Node Comment: _________________

DISTANT METASTASIS

Distant Site(s) Involved, if applicable (select all that apply)

- Not applicable
- Non-regional lymph node(s): _________________
- Liver: _________________
- Other (specify): _________________
- Cannot be determined: _________________

PATHOLOGIC STAGE CLASSIFICATION (pTNM, AJCC 8th Edition) (Note 1)

Reporting of pT, pN, and (when applicable) pM categories is based on information available to the pathologist at the time the report is issued. As per the AJCC (Chapter 1, 8th Ed.) it is the managing physician's responsibility to establish the final pathologic stage based upon all pertinent information, including but potentially not limited to this pathology report.

TNM Descriptors (select all that apply)

- Not applicable
- m (multiple primary tumors)
- r (recurrent)
- y (post-treatment)

pT Category#

- pT not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)
  
  # Size of invasive component should be used for determining the T category.
  
  - pT0: No evidence of primary tumor
  
  - pTis: Carcinoma in situ (This includes high-grade pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN-3), intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm with high-grade dysplasia, intraductal tubulopapillary neoplasm with high-grade dysplasia, and mucinous cystic neoplasm with high-grade dysplasia.)
  
  - pT1: Tumor less than or equal to 2 cm in greatest dimension
  
  - pT1a: Tumor less than or equal to 0.5 cm in greatest dimension
  
  - pT1b: Tumor greater than 0.5 cm and less than 1 cm in greatest dimension
  
  - pT1c: Tumor 1-2 cm in greatest dimension
  
  - pT1 (subcategory cannot be determined)
  
  - pT2: Tumor greater than 2 cm and less than or equal to 4 cm in greatest dimension
  
  - pT3: Tumor greater than 4 cm in greatest dimension
  
  - pT4: Tumor involves the celiac axis or the superior mesenteric artery, and / or common hepatic artery, regardless of size

pN Category

- pN not assigned (no nodes submitted or found)
- pN not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)
___ pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis
___ pN1: Metastasis in one to three regional lymph nodes
___ pN2: Metastasis in four or more regional lymph nodes

pM Category (required only if confirmed pathologically)
___ Not applicable - pM cannot be determined from the submitted specimen(s)
___ pM1: Distant metastasis

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS (Note J)

+Additional Findings (select all that apply)
___ None identified
___ Pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN) (specify highest grade): _________________
___ Chronic pancreatitis
___ Acute pancreatitis
___ Other (specify): _________________

SPECIAL STUDIES

+Ancillary Studies (Note K) (specify): _________________

COMMENTS

Comment(s): _________________
Explanatory Notes

A. Tumors
This protocol applies to epithelial tumors of the exocrine pancreas. It excludes endocrine tumors and tumors of the ampulla of Vater. More than 90% to 95% of malignant tumors of the pancreas are exocrine carcinomas. For these tumors, surgical resection remains the only potentially curative approach, and the prognosis is primarily dependent on the anatomic extent of disease and performance status.

B. Definition of Location
The anatomic subdivisions defining location of tumors of the pancreas (Figure 1) are as follows:

- Tumors of the head of the pancreas are those arising to the right of the left border of the superior mesenteric vein. The uncinate process is part of the head.
- Tumors of the body of the pancreas are those arising between the left border of the superior mesenteric vein and the left border of the aorta.
- Tumors of the tail of the pancreas are those arising between the left border of the aorta and the hilum of the spleen.

Figure 1. Anatomic subsites of the pancreas. From Greene et al. Used with permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, Illinois. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Atlas (2006) published by Springer Science and Business Media LLC, www.springerlink.com.
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C. Histologic Type
A classification of malignant epithelial tumors of the exocrine pancreas recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), however, this protocol does not preclude the use of other histologic types or systems of classification.
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D. Histopathologic Grade
For adenocarcinomas, a histologic grade based on the extent of glandular differentiation is shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Well-differentiated (greater than 95% of tumor composed of glands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated (50% to 95% of tumor composed of glands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated (49% or less of tumor composed of glands)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Certain histologic subtypes, including acinar cell carcinoma, acinar cell cystadenocarcinoma, serous cystadenocarcinoma, and solid-pseudopapillary neoplasm, are not assigned a grade. By convention, signet-ring cell carcinomas are assigned grade 3. Undifferentiated carcinomas lack morphologic or immunohistochemical evidence of glandular, squamous, or neuroendocrine differentiation. This grading scheme is not applicable to poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas.

For pancreatic ductal carcinoma, histologic grade has been shown to have prognostic significance, with high grade (grade 3) being an unfavorable prognostic factor. The Kloepel grading scheme uses a combination of glandular differentiation, mucin production, mitoses, and nuclear pleomorphism. No differences in predictive value have been demonstrated in comparisons between the Klöppel grading system and the grading system based on glandular differentiation alone. Other systems based on patterns of infiltration of predominant and secondary tumor patterns have been proposed but have not been widely adopted.
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E. Treatment Effect
Response of tumor to previous chemotherapy or radiation therapy should be reported. Several scoring systems have been described, and a modified Ryan scheme is recommended, as below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Tumor Regression Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No viable cancer cells (complete response)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single cells or rare small groups of cancer cells (near complete response)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual cancer with evident tumor regression, but more than single cells or rare small groups of cancer cells (partial response)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive residual cancer with no evident tumor regression (poor or no response)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sizable pools of acellular mucin may be present after chemoradiation but should not be interpreted as representing residual tumor. The size of the viable tumor should be used to assign the ypT category and requires a combined assessment of gross and microscopic findings. Multiple foci of viable tumor within the same tumor mass can be added to obtain the maximum linear dimension for staging.
This protocol does not preclude the use of other systems for assessment of tumor response.\textsuperscript{2,3} A modification of the above scoring scheme into a 3-tier scheme has been shown to correlate better with outcome: no residual carcinoma (grade 0), minimal residual carcinoma defined as single cells or small groups of cancer cells, <5% residual carcinoma (grade 1), 5% or more residual carcinoma (grade 2).\textsuperscript{4,5}
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F. Venous/Lymphatic Vessel Invasion
Venous as well as lymphatic (small vessel) invasion has been shown to be an adverse prognostic factor.\textsuperscript{1,2}
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G. Perineural Invasion
Perineural invasion has been shown to be an adverse prognostic factor.\textsuperscript{1,2}
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H. Margins
The nonperitonealized surface of the uncinate process (uncinate margin) constitutes the inferior-posterior retroperitoneal margin of pancreatectoduodenectomy specimens (Figure 2) and should be inked; sections through the tumor at its closest approach to this margin should be submitted.\textsuperscript{1} This margin has also been referred to as retroperitoneal margin and superior mesenteric artery margin.
Figure 2. Posterior view of tumor arising in the pancreatic head, with dotted line indicating the location of the confluence of the portal and superior mesenteric veins. The hatched area shows the retroperitoneal (uncinate process) margin. From Greene et al.\textsuperscript{2} Used with permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, Illinois. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Atlas (2006) published by Springer Science and Business Media LLC, www.springerlink.com.

Because local recurrences of invasive pancreatic adenocarcinoma arise in the pancreatic bed corresponding to the uncinate margin and vascular groove of, inking of the vascular groove corresponding to portal and superior mesenteric veins and submission of sections through the tumor at its closest approach to this surface is recommended. Reporting of tumor involvement of anterior and non-uncinate posterior surfaces is recommended, but not required. The vascular groove, anterior surface and the non-uncinate posterior surface are not considered as resection margins.\textsuperscript{1,3}

When dealing with an intraductal tumor, the pancreatic (neck/parenchymal) resection margin and the common bile duct margin (Whipple resection) are the most critical. Complete en face sections through the pancreatic resection margin and the common bile duct margin should be taken.\textsuperscript{1} The presence of tumor at or within 1 mm of resection margin constitutes a positive margin.\textsuperscript{4,5} Margin status can be reported as negative (R0, no residual disease), R1 (positive, microscopic residual disease) and R2 (positive, macroscopic residual disease).\textsuperscript{3}
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I. Pathologic Stage Classification
The TNM staging system for carcinoma of the exocrine pancreas of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union Against Cancer (UICC) is recommended and shown below. The postresection prognosis of a patient with pancreatic carcinoma is primarily determined by the anatomic extent of disease as defined by the TNM stage groupings.

According to AJCC/UICC convention, the designation “T” refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol “p” refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and is based on gross and microscopic examination. pT entails a resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, pN entails removal of nodes adequate to validate lymph node metastasis, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient or when pathologic classification is not possible.

Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (eg, when technically infeasible) and if the highest T and N categories or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

TNM Descriptors
For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the “m” suffix and “y,” “r,” and “a” prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

The “m” suffix indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

The “y” prefix indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or after initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a “y” prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The “y” categorization is not an estimate of tumor before multimodality therapy (ie, before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

The “r” prefix indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval and is identified by the “r” prefix: rTNM.

The “a” prefix designates the stage determined at autopsy: aTNM.

Vessel Invasion
According to AJCC/UICC convention, vessel invasion (lymphatic or venous) does not affect the T category indicating local extent of tumor unless specifically included in the definition of a T category.

T Category Considerations (Figures 3 and 4)
If more than 1 tumor is present in the pancreas, the tumor with the highest T category should be classified according to the pT definitions and either the multiplicity (“m”) or the actual number of simultaneous multiple tumors (eg, “3”) should be indicated in parentheses after the T category of the primary tumor (eg, pT3[m] or pT3[2]).
This applies only to grossly recognizable, synchronous primary carcinomas and not to a single, grossly detected tumor with multiple separate microscopic foci.2

Tis includes high-grade pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIn-3), intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm with high-grade dysplasia, intraductal tubulopapillary neoplasm with high-grade dysplasia and mucinous cystic neoplasm with high-grade dysplasia.

The T categories T1-T3 are defined by tumor size as it provides better prognostic stratification than classification based on extension into peripancreatic tissue.3,4,5,6,7 Tumor size is determined by measurement of the gross lesion and should be corroborated on microscopic assessment. For invasive carcinoma associated with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms, intraductal tubulopapillary neoplasms and mucinous cystic neoplasms, only the size of the invasive component should be used to determine the T category. The synoptic report is not required for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms, intraductal tubulopapillary neoplasms and mucinous cystic neoplasms in the absence of an invasive component. The invasive portion in these cases can be multifocal. It is currently not clear whether size of the largest tumor focus or combined size of all invasive foci determines tumor outcome. Both measurements can be included in the pathology report, and the maximum linear dimension of the largest invasive focus is used for staging.

Extension beyond the pancreas may include invasion of peripancreatic soft tissue, peritoneum (including mesocolon, greater/lesser omentum), extrapancreatic biliary system, and/or duodenum (including the ampulla of Vater) for pancreatic head tumors, while stomach, spleen, left adrenal, and peritoneum can be involved by direct extension of body/tail tumors. Tumor extension in these areas does not affect staging, but should be noted in the pathology report. Invasion of the portal vein does not affect staging, but has been shown to be an independent prognostic factor.8 T4 tumors are characterized by involvement of superior mesenteric artery, celiac axis and/or common hepatic artery. In most instances, these tumors are considered unresectable and hence T4 category is determined by radiologic studies and is not usually assigned by pathologists.

Figure 3. T1 (left of dotted line) is defined as tumor measuring 2 cm or less in greatest dimension and limited to the pancreas. T2 (right of dotted line) is defined as tumor measuring more than 2 cm in greatest dimension and less than 4 cm in greatest dimension. From Greene et al.9 Used with permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, Illinois. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Atlas (2006) published by Springer Science and Business Media LLC, www.springerlink.com.
Figure 4. T4 tumor involves the celiac axis (above dotted line) or the superior mesenteric artery (below dotted line). T4 tumors are considered unresectable and are rarely encountered in surgical pathology specimens. From Greene et al. Used with permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, Illinois. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Atlas (2006) published by Springer Science and Business Media LLC, www.springerlink.com.

N Category Considerations

The regional lymph nodes for head and neck cancers include lymph nodes along common bile duct, common hepatic artery, portal vein, pyloric, anterior and posterior pancreaticoduodenal arcades, superior mesenteric vein and right lateral wall of superior mesenteric artery (Figures 5 and 6). The regional lymph nodes for the pancreatic body and tail cancers include lymph nodes along common hepatic artery, celiac axis, splenic artery, and splenic hilum. Tumor involvement of other nodal groups is considered distant metastasis. Anatomic division of lymph nodes is not necessary, but separately submitted lymph nodes should be individually reported.

Lymph node metastasis is an independent adverse prognostic factor. Microscopic evaluation of at least 12 lymph nodes is recommended for Whipple resections. Based on outcome data, tumors with positive lymph nodes are now categorized as N1 or N2.

Figure 5. Regional lymph nodes of the pancreas (anterior view). From Greene et al. Used with permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, Illinois. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Atlas (2006) published by Springer Science and Business Media LLC, www.springerlink.com.
Figure 6. Regional lymph nodes of the pancreas (anterior view with pancreatic body removed to reveal retroperitoneal vessels and lymph nodes). From Greene et al.8 Used with permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, Illinois. The original source for this material is the AJCC Cancer Staging Atlas (2006) published by Springer Science and Business Media LLC, www.springerlink.com.

M Category Considerations
Peritoneal seeding or positive peritoneal cytology is considered M1.18
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J. Additional Findings
Pancreatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia (PanIN)
Noninvasive lesions of the ductal epithelium often are found in the pancreatic parenchyma surrounding ductal adenocarcinoma. These lesions are collectively known as pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN). PanINs were previously classified into 3 grades. The most recent consensus recommends a 2-tier grading scheme for better reproducibility and for better alignment of the grades with treatment options. A similar 2-tier scheme is recommended for noninvasive MCN and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN).

Normal Nonmucinous flattened or cuboidal epithelium without dysplasia
PanIN, low grade Includes flat mucinous epithelium without dysplasia (PanIN-1A), papillary mucinous epithelium without dysplasia (PanIN-1B) and flat or papillary mucinous epithelium with mild-to-moderate dysplasia featuring mild-to-moderate nuclear irregularity, hyperchromasia, and loss of polarity (PanIN-2)
PanIN, high grade Flat or papillary mucinous epithelium with severe dysplasia (marked nuclear irregularity, hyperchromasia, and loss of polarity), often with cribriforming and intraluminal blebbing (budding off of noncohesive cells), corresponds to carcinoma in situ

High-grade PanIN at the resection margins of an otherwise completely resected malignancy should be noted in the pathology report. In this setting, the biologic significance of PanIN of any grade remains unclear. The presence of dysplasia at the margin of a noninvasive IPMN is also uncertain. The highest grade even if focal determines the final grade. For IPMN and MCN, the extent of high-grade dysplasia can be recorded, but does not currently have clinical relevance.

Other Findings
In addition to the examination of other tissues and organs that are part of pancreaticoduodenectomy specimens, pathologic evaluation may also include examination of the gastric antrum for gastritis (eg,
*Helicobacter pylori* gastritis or chemical gastritis) and the duodenum for duodenitis, peptic ulcer disease, and ampullitis.
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