Protocol for the Examination of Resection Specimens From Patients With Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST)
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CAP Laboratory Accreditation Program Protocol Required Use Date: March 2022
The changes included in this current protocol version affect accreditation requirements. The new deadline for implementing this protocol version is reflected in the above accreditation date.

For accreditation purposes, this protocol should be used for the following procedures and tumor types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal stromal tumor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This protocol is NOT required for accreditation purposes for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary resection specimen with no residual tumor (eg, following neoadjuvant therapy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytologic specimens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Accreditation Requirements
This protocol can be utilized for a variety of procedures and tumor types for clinical care purposes. For accreditation purposes, only the definitive primary cancer resection specimen is required to have the core and conditional data elements reported in a synoptic format.

- **Core data elements** are required in reports to adequately describe appropriate malignancies. For accreditation purposes, essential data elements must be reported in all instances, even if the response is “not applicable” or “cannot be determined.”
- **Conditional data elements** are only required to be reported if applicable as delineated in the protocol. For instance, the total number of lymph nodes examined must be reported, but only if nodes are present in the specimen.
- **Optional data elements** are identified with “+” and although not required for CAP accreditation purposes, may be considered for reporting as determined by local practice standards.

The use of this protocol is not required for recurrent tumors or for metastatic tumors that are resected at a different time than the primary tumor. Use of this protocol is also not required for pathology reviews performed at a second institution (ie, secondary consultation, second opinion, or review of outside case at second institution).

Synoptic Reporting
All core and conditionally required data elements outlined on the surgical case summary from this cancer protocol must be displayed in synoptic report format. Synoptic format is defined as:

- Data element: followed by its answer (response), outline format without the paired Data element: Response format is NOT considered synoptic.
- The data element should be represented in the report as it is listed in the case summary. The response for any data element may be modified from those listed in the case summary, including “Cannot be determined” if appropriate.
- Each diagnostic parameter pair (Data element: Response) is listed on a separate line or in a tabular format to achieve visual separation. The following exceptions are allowed to be listed on one line:
  - Anatomic site or specimen, laterality, and procedure
  - Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM) elements
  - Negative margins, as long as all negative margins are specifically enumerated where applicable
- The synoptic portion of the report can appear in the diagnosis section of the pathology report, at the end of the report or in a separate section, but all Data element: Responses must be listed together in one location

Organizations and pathologists may choose to list the required elements in any order, use additional methods in order to enhance or achieve visual separation, or add optional items within the synoptic report. The report may have required elements in a summary format elsewhere in the report IN ADDITION TO but not as replacement for the synoptic report ie, all required elements must be in the synoptic portion of the report in the format defined above.

Summary of Changes
v 4.2.0.0

- General Reformatting
- Revised Margins Section
- Revised Lymph Nodes Section
- Added Distant Metastasis Section
- Removed pTX and pNX Staging Classification
Reporting Template

Protocol Posting Date: June 2021
Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

CASE SUMMARY: (GASTROINTESTINAL STROMAL TUMOR (GIST): Resection)
Standard(s): AJCC-UICC 8
This checklist is recommended for reporting local excision specimens, but is not required for accreditation purposes.

CLINICAL

+Preresection Treatment (select all that apply)
   ___ No known preresection therapy
   ___ Previous biopsy or surgery (specify): _________________
   ___ Systemic therapy performed (specify type): _________________
   ___ Therapy performed, type not specified
   ___ Not specified

SPECIMEN

Procedure
   ___ Local excision
   ___ Resection (specify type, e.g., partial gastrectomy): _________________
   ___ Metastasectomy
   ___ Other (specify): _________________
   ___ Not specified

TUMOR

Tumor Focality
   ___ Unifocal
   ___ Multifocal

   Number of Tumors
      ___ Specify number: _________________
      ___ Other (specify): _________________
      ___ Cannot be determined: _________________

   Sizes of Tumors: _________________
      ___ Cannot be determined: _________________

Multiple Primary Sites (e.g., stomach and small intestine)
   ___ Not applicable (no additional primary site(s) present)
   ___ Present: _________________

Please complete a separate checklist for each primary site

Tumor Site (Note A)
   ___ Esophagus: _________________
      ___ Cervical esophagus
      ___ Thoracic esophagus
      ___ Abdominal esophagus
___ Upper third of esophagus
___ Middle third of esophagus
___ Lower third of esophagus
___ Esophagus, NOS
___ Stomach: ________________________
   ___ Cardia of stomach
   ___ Fundus of stomach
   ___ Body of stomach
   ___ Gastric antrum
   ___ Pylorus
   ___ Lesser curvature of stomach, NOS
   ___ Greater curvature of stomach, NOS
   ___ Stomach, NOS
___ Small intestine: ________________________
   ___ Duodenum
   ___ Jejunum
   ___ Ileum (excluding ileocecal valve)
   ___ Meckel diverticulum (site of neoplasm)
   ___ Small intestine, NOS
___ Appendix: ________________________
___ Colon: ________________________
   ___ Cecum
   ___ Ascending colon
   ___ Hepatic flexure of colon
   ___ Transverse colon
   ___ Splenic flexure of colon
   ___ Descending colon
   ___ Sigmoid colon
   ___ Colon, NOS
___ Rectosigmoid junction: ________________________
___ Rectum, NOS: ________________________
___ Retroperitoneum: ________________________
   ___ Peritoneum, including omentum and mesentery (specify parts): ________________________
___ Peritoneum, NOS: ________________________
___ Other (specify): ________________________
___ Cannot be determined: ________________________
___ Not specified

Tumor Size
___ Greatest dimension in Centimeters (cm): ____________ cm
___ Additional Dimension in Centimeters (cm): ___ x ___ cm
___ Cannot be determined (explain): ________________________

Histologic Type
___ Gastrointestinal stromal tumor, spindle cell type
___ Gastrointestinal stromal tumor, epithelioid type
___ Gastrointestinal stromal tumor, mixed
___ Gastrointestinal stromal tumor, other (specify): ________________________
___ Histologic Type Comment: ________________________
Mitotic Rate (Note B)
The required total count of mitoses is per 5 mm² on the glass slide section. With the use of older model microscopes, 50 HPF is equivalent to 5 mm². Most modern microscopes with wider 40X lenses / fields require approximately 20 to 25 HPF to encompass 5 mm². If necessary, please measure field of view to accurately determine actual number of fields required to be counted on individual microscopes to encompass 5 mm².

___ Specify mitotic rate per 5 mm²: _________________ mitoses per 5 mm²
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

Histologic Grade (Note B)
___ G1, low grade (mitotic rate less than or equal to 5 per 5 mm²)
___ G2, high grade (mitotic rate greater than 5 per 5 mm²)
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ GX, cannot be assessed: _________________

+Necrosis
___ Not identified
___ Present

+Extent of Necrosis
___ Specify percentage: _________________ %
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

Treatment Effect (Note C)
___ No known presurgical therapy
___ Not identified
___ Present

+Percentage of Viable Tumor
___ Specify percentage: _________________ %
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

Risk Assessment (Note D)
___ None
___ Very low risk
___ Low risk
___ Moderate risk
___ High risk
___ Overtly malignant / metastatic
___ Cannot be determined: _________________

+Tumor Comment: _________________

MARGINS

Margin Status
___ All margins negative for GIST
Closest Margin(s) to GIST (select all that apply)
- ___ Proximal: ____________________
- ___ Distal: ____________________
- ___ Omental (radial): ________________
- ___ Mucosal: ____________________
- ___ Deep: ____________________
- ___ Other (specify): ________________
- ___ Cannot be determined: ________________

Distance from GIST to Closest Margin

Specify in Centimeters (cm)
- ___ Exact distance in cm: ________________ cm
- ___ Greater than 1 cm

Specify in Millimeters (mm)
- ___ Exact distance in mm: ________________ mm
- ___ Greater than 10 mm

Other
- ___ Other (specify): ________________
- ___ Cannot be determined: ________________
- ___ GIST present at margin

Margin(s) Involved by GIST (select all that apply)
- ___ Proximal: ____________________
- ___ Distal: ____________________
- ___ Omental (radial): ________________
- ___ Mucosal: ____________________
- ___ Deep: ____________________
- ___ Other (specify): ________________
- ___ Cannot be determined: ________________
- ___ Other (specify): ________________
- ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ________________
- ___ Not applicable

+Margin Comment: ________________

REGIONAL LYMPH NODES (Note E)

Regional Lymph Node Status
- ___ Not applicable (no regional lymph nodes submitted or found)
- ___ Regional lymph nodes present
  - ___ All regional lymph nodes negative for tumor
  - ___ Tumor present in regional lymph node(s)
  
  Number of Lymph Nodes with Tumor
  - ___ Exact number (specify): ________________
  - ___ At least (specify): ________________
  - ___ Other (specify): ________________
  - ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ________________
  - ___ Other (specify): ________________
  - ___ Cannot be determined (explain): ________________
  - ___ Not applicable

Number of Lymph Nodes Examined
- ___ Exact number (specify): ________________
- ___ At least (specify): ________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined (explain): _________________

+Regional Lymph Node Comment: _________________

DISTANT METASTASIS

Distant Site(s) Involved, if applicable (select all that apply)
___ Not applicable
___ Liver: _________________
___ Other (specify): _________________
___ Cannot be determined

PATHOLOGIC STAGE CLASSIFICATION (pTNM, AJCC 8th Edition) (Note F)
Reporting of pT, pN, and (when applicable) pM categories is based on information available to the pathologist at the time the report is issued. As per the AJCC (Chapter 1, 8th Ed.) it is the managing physician’s responsibility to establish the final pathologic stage based upon all pertinent information, including but potentially not limited to this pathology report.

TNM Descriptors (select all that apply)
___ Not applicable
___ m (multiple)
___ r (recurrent)
___ y (post-treatment)

pT Category
___ pT not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)
___ pT0: No evidence of primary tumor
___ pT1: Tumor 2 cm or less
___ pT2: Tumor more than 2 cm but not more than 5 cm
___ pT3: Tumor more than 5 cm but not more than 10 cm
___ pT4: Tumor more than 10 cm in greatest dimension

pN Category (Notes E,F)
# When no lymph nodes are present (as is often the case with resection for GIST), the pathologic ‘N’ category is not assigned (pNX is not used for GIST) and should not be reported.
___ pN not assigned (no nodes submitted or found)
___ pN not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)
___ pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis
___ pN1: Regional lymph node metastasis

pM Category (required only if confirmed pathologically) (Notes E,F)
___ Not applicable - pM cannot be determined from the submitted specimen(s)
___ pM1: Distant metastasis

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS

+Additional Findings (specify): _________________
SPECIAL STUDIES (Note G)
The CAP GIST Biomarker Template can be used for reporting biomarkers requested. Pending biomarker studies should be listed in the Comments section of this report.

+Immunohistochemical Studies (select all that apply)
___ Not performed: _________________
___ KIT (CD117)
   ___ Positive
   ___ Negative
   ___ Pending
___ DOG1 (ANO1)
   ___ Positive
   ___ Negative
   ___ Pending
___ SDHA
   ___ Intact
   ___ Deficient
   ___ Pending
___ SDHB
   ___ Intact
   ___ Deficient
   ___ Pending
___ Other (specify): _________________

+Molecular Genetic Studies (e.g., KIT, PDGFRA, BRAF, SDHA/B/C/D, or NF1 mutational analysis)
___ Submitted for analysis; results pending
___ Performed, see separate report: _________________
___ Performed (specify method(s) and result(s)): _________________
___ Not performed

COMMENTS

Comment(s): _________________
Explanatory Notes

A. Location
Gastrointestinal stromal tumors may occur anywhere along the entire length of the tubal gut, as well as in extravisceral locations, which include the omentum, mesentery, pelvis, and retroperitoneum. Typically, they arise from the wall of the gut and extend inward toward the mucosa, outward toward the serosa, or in both directions. Lesions that involve the wall of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract frequently cause ulceration of the overlying mucosa. Infrequently, lesions invade through the muscularis mucosae to involve the mucosae. Mucosal invasion is an adverse prognostic factor in numerous studies. Because the anatomic location along the GI tract affects prognosis, with location in the stomach having a more favorable prognosis, it is very important to specify anatomic location as precisely as possible.
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B. Histologic Grade
Histologic grading, an important component of soft tissue sarcoma staging, is not well suited to GISTs, because most of these tumors have low or relatively low mitotic rates below the thresholds used for grading of soft tissue tumors, and because GISTs often manifest aggressive features with mitotic rates below the thresholds used for soft tissue tumor grading (the lowest tier of mitotic rates for soft tissue sarcomas being 10 mitoses per 10 HPF). In GIST staging, the grade is determined entirely by mitotic activity.

GX: Grade cannot be assessed
G1: Low grade; mitotic rate ≤5/5 mm²
G2: High grade; mitotic rate >5/5 mm²

The mitotic count should be initiated on an area that on screening magnification shows the highest level of mitotic activity and be performed as consecutive high-power fields (HPF). Stringent criteria should be applied when counting mitotic figures; pyknotic, dyskaryotic, or apoptotic nuclei should not be regarded as mitosis.

Note: The required total count of mitoses is per 5 mm² on the glass slide section. With the use of older model microscopes, 50 HPF is equivalent to 5 mm². Most modern microscopes with wider 40X lenses/fields require approximately 20 to 25 HPF to encompass 5 mm². If necessary, please measure field of view to accurately determine actual number of fields required to be counted on individual microscopes to encompass 5 mm².
C. Treatment Effect
Gastrointestinal stromal tumors respond well to the newer targeted systemic therapies, imatinib mesylate and sunitib malate. The types of treatment effects that have been seen are hypocellularity, myxoid stroma, fibrosis, and necrosis. Nests of viable tumor cells are virtually always seen. Because all of these histologic features can be seen in untreated GISTs, it is not possible to know whether they are due to treatment or not. As a practical compromise, we think it is best to report the percentage of viable tumor after treatment.

D. Risk Assessment
Because GISTs can recur many years after initial excision, we now regard most GISTs as having at least some potential for distant metastasis. This concept was originally the result of a National Cancer Institute-sponsored consensus conference that was held in 2002. More specific data generated by large follow-up studies refined the biologic potential assessment. Criteria obtained from those data were adopted in a National Cancer Care Network (NCCN) Task Force report on GIST. We have adopted the criteria for risk stratification, as indicated in Table 1. The scheme includes anatomic site as a factor, because small bowel GISTs carry a higher risk of progression than gastric GISTs of similar size and mitotic activity. This prognostic assessment applies best to KIT/PDGFRA mutant GISTs whereas SDD-deficient GISTS are more unpredictable. For anatomic sites not listed in this table, such as esophagus, mesentery, and peritoneum, or in the case of “insufficient data,” it is best to use risk criteria for jejunum/ileum.

Table 1. Guidelines for Risk Assessment of Primary Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Parameters</th>
<th>Risk of Progressive Disease*(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gastric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mitotic Rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5 per 5 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2 cm</td>
<td>None (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 - ≤5 cm</td>
<td>Very low (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 - ≤10 cm</td>
<td>Low (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 cm</td>
<td>Moderate (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>&gt;5 per 5 mm</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2 cm</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 - ≤5 cm</td>
<td>Moderate (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 - ≤10 cm</td>
<td>High (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 cm</td>
<td>High (86%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adapted with permission from Miettinen and Lasota. Copyright 2006 by Elsevier.

Data based on long-term follow-up of 1055 gastric, 629 small intestinal, 144 duodenal, and 111 rectal GISTs from the pre-imatinib era.

*Defined as metastasis or tumor-related death

# Denotes small number of cases

Note: See Note B, "Histologic Grade," regarding the number of high power fields to evaluate.
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E. Regional Lymph Nodes, Metastasis

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors generally metastasize to a very limited subset of anatomic sites. They rarely metastasize to lymph nodes, which is important to note because lymphadenectomy is unnecessary except in rare circumstances when an enlarged or otherwise suspicious lymph node is encountered. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors metastasize predominantly to the liver or to the peritoneal surfaces, where there can be disseminated intra-abdominal disease presenting as innumerable metastatic nodules. Very rarely, GISTs metastasize to the lungs. This situation is associated with rectal location or very advanced disease. Metastasis to bone has also been documented, but it is very rare.
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F. Pathologic Stage Classification
The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and International Union Against Cancer (UICC) GIST staging system is recommended. The staging system should not be applied to pediatric GIST, familial GIST (germline mutant KIT or PDGFRA) or syndromic GIST (GISTs arising in the setting of neurofibromatosis type 1, Carney triad, or Carney dyad also known as Carney-Stratakis syndrome).

TNM Descriptors
For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the “m” suffix and “y” and “r” prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

The “m” suffix indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

The “y” prefix indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or after initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a “y” prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The “y” categorization is not an estimate of tumor before multimodality therapy (ie, before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

The “r” prefix indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval and is identified by the “r” prefix: rTNM.

T Category Considerations
In the case of ruptured tumors, estimates of tumor size can be obtained from radiologic data, if available.

N Category Considerations
Regional nodal metastasis is extremely rare in GIST, and there is no routine indication for lymph node biopsy or lymph node dissection. When no lymph nodes are resected or present in the specimen (as is often the case with resections for GIST), the pathologic ‘N’ category is not assigned; pNX should not be used.

M Category Considerations
Most GISTs metastasize to intra-abdominal soft tissues, liver, or both. Intra-abdominal metastasis refers to tumor involvement in the abdominal cavity away from the primary mass. Such metastasis is usually to the serosal surfaces of the abdomen, pelvis, and retroperitoneum. Multiple primary tumors can be seen in the setting of neurofibromatosis type 1 or familial GIST syndrome and should not be considered intra-abdominal metastasis. Rare cases of multiple independent GISTs at different GI locations have been reported. In the absence of a primary gastrointestinal GIST, solitary omental, mesenteric, pelvic, or retroperitoneal GISTs should be considered primary tumors because extra-gastrointestinal GISTs have been described. Liver metastasis implies the presence of metastatic tumor inside the liver parenchyma as one or more nodules. Adherence to liver capsule, even if extensive, as sometimes seen in gastric GISTs, should not be considered liver metastasis.

Stage Groupings:
Although T, N and M definitions are identical for all GISTs, separate stage grouping schemes are provided for gastric and small intestinal tumors. Primary omental GISTs should follow the gastric GIST staging group scheme. GISTs arising in other locations (ie, mesentery, esophagus, colon, and rectum) are to follow the small intestinal group staging scheme.
G. Ancillary Studies

Immunohistochemistry
Because of the advent of small-molecule kinase inhibitor therapy in the treatment of GIST (see the following), it has become imperative to distinguish GIST from its histologic mimics, mainly leiomyoma, leiomyosarcoma, schwannoma, and desmoid fibromatosis. Immunohistochemistry is instrumental in the workup of GIST. For the initial workup of GIST, a basic immunohistochemical panel including CD117 (KIT), DOG1 (Ano1), Desmin, S100 protein and CD34 is recommended. GISTs are immunoreactive for KIT (CD117) (approximately 95%) and/or DOG1 (>99%). KIT immunoreactivity is usually strong and diffuse but can be more focal in unusual cases (Figure 1, A and B). It is not unusual for GISTs to exhibit dot-like perinuclear staining (Figure 1, C), while less commonly, some cases exhibit membranous staining (Figure 1, D). These patterns do not clearly correlate with mutation type or response to therapy. Most KIT-negative / DOG1 positive GISTs are gastric or extra-visceral GISTs and almost invariably harbor a platelet-derived growth factor receptor A (PDGFRA) mutation. DOG1 expression is not related to mutational status in GISTs, and it may be a useful marker to identify a subset of patients with CD117-negative GISTs, who might benefit from targeted therapy. Approximately 70% of GISTs are positive for CD34, 30% to 40% are positive for smooth muscle actin, 5% are positive for S100 protein (usually focal), 5% are positive for desmin (usually focal), and 1% to 2% are positive for keratin (weak/focal).

Since succinate dehydrogenase (SDH)-deficient GISTs have specific implications (see the following), it is recommended to screen all gastric GISTs for loss of SDH by immunohistochemistry, usually best accomplished by staining for SDHB, which is loss in all subtypes of SDH-deficient GISTs. Mutations in SDHA are detected in 30% of SDH-deficient GISTs and loss of expression of SDHA specifically identifies tumors with SDHA mutations; other SDH-deficient GISTs show normal (intact) cytoplasmic staining for SDHA. Patients with SDH-deficient GIST should be referred to a genetic counselor for appropriate work up.
Figure 1. Patterns of KIT staining in gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). A. Diffuse and strong immunoreactivity in a typical GIST. B. Focal and weak pattern in an epithelioid gastric GIST with a PDGFRA mutation. C. Dot-like perinuclear staining. D. Membranous pattern. (Original magnification X400.)

Molecular Analysis
Approximately 75% of GISTs possess activating mutations in the KIT gene, whereas another 10% have activating mutations in the PDGFRA gene.14,15,16,17 These mutations result in virtually full-length KIT proteins that exhibit ligand-independent activation. KIT and PDGFRA each contain 21 exons. However, mutations cluster within “hotspots”: exons 9, 11, 13, and 17 in KIT, and exons 12, 14, and 18 in PDGFRA (Figure 2). About 5% to 10% of GISTs appear to be negative for both KIT and PDGFRA mutations. The most recent NCCN Task Force on GIST strongly encourages that KIT and PDGFRA mutational analysis be performed if tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) are considered as part of the treatment plan for unresectable or metastatic disease and that mutational analysis be considered for patients with primary disease, particularly those with high-risk tumors. KIT and PDGFRA mutation status can be determined easily from paraffin-embedded tissue. Secondary or acquired mutations can be associated with development of tumor resistance in the setting of long-term imatinib mesylate treatment. These are usually point mutations that occur most commonly in KIT exons 13, 14, and 17.18 The clinical utility of these mutations is an evolving concept, but it is important not to confuse them with the primary or initial mutation in GIST.

Recent studies focusing on the molecular classification of GISTs recognized two major subgroups: succinate dehydrogenase (SDH)-competent and SDH-deficient GISTs, both of which can arise in the sporadic or familiar setting.8,9 SDH-competent GISTs include tumors with mutations of KIT and PDGFRA as well of a subset of wild-type GISTs with mutations mainly in NF1 and BRAF genes. On the other hand, SDH-deficient GISTs include tumors with a genetic alteration in any of the SDH subunits leading to SDH dysfunction.

SDH-deficient GISTs represent approximately 8% of GISTs and comprise some sporadic cases, the majority of pediatric GISTs, and two forms of syndromic GISTs (Carney triad and Carney-Stratakis syndrome). SDH is a mitochondrial enzyme comprising four subunits (SDHA, SDHB, SDHC and SDHD).
that is involved in the Krebs cycle. Genetic alteration of any of the four subunits results in SDH dysfunction and subsequent loss of SDHB expression by immunohistochemistry. SDH deficient GISTs arise almost exclusive in the stomach, affect predominantly female patients and tend to manifest at a young age. Pathologic features associated with SDH-deficient tumors include multinodular and/or plexiform growth pattern, epithelioid morphology, lymphovascular invasion, nodal involvement and frequent metastasis to the liver and peritoneum. Importantly, germline mutations in the genes coding for any of the SHD subunits can lead to paragangliomas/pheochromocytomas, SDH-deficient renal cell carcinoma and pituitary tumors in addition to GISTs. Since SDH-deficient GISTs typically require germline genetic testing possibly including family members as well as possible surveillance for paragangliomas/pheochromocytomas, it is recommended that all gastric GISTs be screened for loss of SDHB by immunohistochemistry. All patients with SDH-deficient GISTs identified by loss of SDHB stain should be referred to a genetic counselor.

Figure 2. Locations and frequency of activating KIT and PDGFRA mutations in GIST. Adapted with permission from Heinrich et al. 14 Copyright 2003 by the American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

KIT and PDGFRA are excellent targets for small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors, and two compounds of this class, imatinib mesylate (Gleevec, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Basel, Switzerland) and sunitinib malate (Sutent, Pfizer Pharmaceuticals, New York, New York), have shown efficacy in clinical trials and have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of GIST. 19,20,21 SDH-deficient GISTs are usually resistant to imatinib but may have a higher probability of response to sunitinib. 8 Because different tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) may have more efficacy in genetic subsets of GIST, oncologists may want to know the mutation status of each GIST, because this may impact which drug each patient should receive. 14,22 Secondary resistance mutations may also affect drug selection as their significance is further defined.
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