

Protocol for the Examination of Specimens From Patients With Primary Carcinoma of the Vulva

Version: 5.0.0.0

Protocol Posting Date: December 2023

CAP Laboratory Accreditation Program Protocol Required Use Date: September 2024

The changes included in this current protocol version affect accreditation requirements. The new deadline

for implementing this protocol version is reflected in the above accreditation date.

For accreditation purposes, this protocol should be used for the following procedures AND tumor types:

Procedure	Description
Resection	Includes vulvectomy (with or without removal of other organs and tissues)
Tumor Type	Description
Carcinoma	Includes squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma and variants, carcinosarcoma, neuroendocrine carcinoma, and mixed epithelial–neuroendocrine tumors

This protocol is NOT required for accreditation purposes for the following:

Procedure
Biopsy
Primary resection specimen with no residual cancer (e.g., following neoadjuvant therapy)
Cytologic specimens

The following tumor types should NOT be reported using this protocol:

The same of the same of the same to the sa	
Tumor Type	
Melanoma (consider the Skin Melanoma protocol)	
Lymphoma (consider the Hodgkin or non-Hodgkin Lymphoma protocols)	
Sarcoma (consider the Soft Tissue protocol)	
Phyllodes Tumor (consider the Breast Phyllodes Tumor protocol)	

Authors

Barbara A. Crothers, DO*; Uma G. Krishnamurti, MD, PhD*; Veronica Klepeis, MD, PhD; Gulisa Turashvili, MD, PhD; Oluwole Fadare, MD; Stephanie Skala, MD.

With guidance from the CAP Cancer and CAP Pathology Electronic Reporting Committees.

^{*} Denotes primary author.

Accreditation Requirements

This protocol can be utilized for a variety of procedures and tumor types for clinical care purposes. For accreditation purposes, only the definitive primary cancer resection specimen is required to have the core and conditional data elements reported in a synoptic format.

- Core data elements are required in reports to adequately describe appropriate malignancies. For accreditation purposes, essential data elements must be reported in all instances, even if the response is "not applicable" or "cannot be determined."
- <u>Conditional data elements</u> are only required to be reported if applicable as delineated in the protocol. For instance, the total number of lymph nodes examined must be reported, but only if nodes are present in the specimen.
- Optional data elements are identified with "+" and although not required for CAP accreditation purposes, may be considered for reporting as determined by local practice standards.

The use of this protocol is not required for recurrent tumors or for metastatic tumors that are resected at a different time than the primary tumor. Use of this protocol is also not required for pathology reviews performed at a second institution (i.e., secondary consultation, second opinion, or review of outside case at second institution).

Synoptic Reporting

All core and conditionally required data elements outlined on the surgical case summary from this cancer protocol must be displayed in synoptic report format. Synoptic format is defined as:

- Data element: followed by its answer (response), outline format without the paired Data element: Response format is NOT considered synoptic.
- The data element should be represented in the report as it is listed in the case summary. The response for any data element may be modified from those listed in the case summary, including "Cannot be determined" if appropriate.
- Each diagnostic parameter pair (Data element: Response) is listed on a separate line or in a tabular format to achieve visual separation. The following exceptions are allowed to be listed on one line:
 - o Anatomic site or specimen, laterality, and procedure
 - Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM) elements
 - Negative margins, as long as all negative margins are specifically enumerated where applicable
- The synoptic portion of the report can appear in the diagnosis section of the pathology report, at the end of the report or in a separate section, but all Data element: Responses must be listed together in one location

Organizations and pathologists may choose to list the required elements in any order, use additional methods in order to enhance or achieve visual separation, or add optional items within the synoptic report. The report may have required elements in a summary format elsewhere in the report IN ADDITION TO but not as replacement for the synoptic report ie, all required elements must be in the synoptic portion of the report in the format defined above.

Summary of Changes

v 5.0.0.0

- Update to AJCC Version 9 pTNM Staging Classifications
- FIGO staging 2021 update
- "Lymphovascular Invasion" question updated to "Lymphatic and / or Vascular Invasion"
- Additional Findings update
- Cover page update to tumor types not to be reported

Reporting Template Protocol Posting Date: December 2023 Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.
CASE SUMMARY: (VULVA) Standard(s): AJCC-UICC 9, FIGO Cancer Report 2021
SPECIMEN (Note A)
Procedure Local excision Wide excision Partial vulvectomy Total vulvectomy Radical vulvectomy Other (specify):
Not specified
TUMOR
Tumor Focality Unifocal Multifocal Cannot be determined (explain): Not specified
Tumor Site (select all that apply) Right vulva:
Labium majus Labium minus Bartholin gland Left vulva:
Labium majus Labium minus Labium minus Bartholin gland Clitoris: Other (specify): Not specified
Tumor Size (Note B) Greatest Dimension in Centimeters (cm): cm
+Additional Dimension in Centimeters (cm): x cm Cannot be determined (explain):
Histologic Type (Notes <u>C,D</u>) Squamous cell carcinoma, HPV-associated

Squamous cell carcinoma, HPV-independent
Squamous cell carcinoma, NOS
Basal cell carcinoma, NOS
Adenocarcinoma, NOS
Adenocarcinoma of mammary gland type
Adenocarcinoma, intestinal type
Paget disease, extramammary
Sweat gland adenocarcinoma (specify subtype)
Apocrine adenocarcinoma
Eccrine adenocarcinoma
Porocarcinoma, NOS
Adenoid cystic carcinoma
Bartholin gland carcinoma (specify subtype)
Squamous cell carcinoma, NOS
Squamous cell carcinoma, HPV-positive
Adenocarcinoma
Adenosquamous carcinoma
Carcinoma, poorly differentiated, NOS
Adenoid cystic carcinoma
Neuroendocrine tumor, NOS
Myoepithelial carcinoma
Epithelial-myoepithelial carcinoma
Neuroendocrine tumor, NOS
Neuroendocrine tumor, grade 1
Neuroendocrine tumor, grade 2
Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma
Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma
Combined small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma
Combined large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma
Germ cell tumor, NOS
Yolk sac tumor, NOS
Other histologic type not listed (specify):
Carcinoma, type cannot be determined
+Histologic Type Comment:
Histologic Grade (Note <u>E</u>)
G1, well differentiated
G2, moderately differentiated
G3, poorly differentiated
GX, cannot be assessed:
Other (specify):
Not applicable:
Depth of Invasion in Millimeters (mm) (FIGO 2021 method) (Note <u>F</u>)
Specify in Millimeters (mm): mm
Other (specify):

Approved
Approved

Vulva_5.0.0.0.REL_CAPCP

___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____

Specify in Millimeters (mm): mm Other (specify): Cannot be determined (explain): +Tumor Growth Pattern (Note G) Pushing Infiltrating Other (specify): Other (specify): Other Tissue / Organ Involvement# (select all that apply) # Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted Not applicable Not identified Vagina, lower one-third
+Tumor Growth Pattern (Note G) Pushing Infiltrating Other (specify): Other Tissue / Organ Involvement# (select all that apply) # Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted. Not applicable Not identified Vagina, lower one-third
+Tumor Growth Pattern (Note ©) Pushing Infiltrating Other (specify): Other Tissue / Organ Involvement# (select all that apply) # Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted. Not applicable Not identified Vagina, lower one-third
Pushing Infiltrating Other (specify): Other Tissue / Organ Involvement# (select all that apply) # Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted Not applicable Not identified Vagina, lower one-third
Infiltrating Other (specify): Other Tissue / Organ Involvement# (select all that apply) # Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted. Not applicable Not identified Vagina, lower one-third
Other Tissue / Organ Involvement# (select all that apply) # Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted. Not applicable Not identified Vagina, lower one-third
Other Tissue / Organ Involvement# (select all that apply) # Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted. Not applicable Not identified Vagina, lower one-third
Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted. Not applicable Not identified Vagina, lower one-third
Any organ not selected is either not involved or was not submitted. Not applicable Not identified Vagina, lower one-third
Not identified Vagina, lower one-third
Vagina, lower one-third
Vagina, lower one-third
Vagina, upper two-thirds
Urethra, lower one-third
Urethra, upper two-thirds
Anus
Mucosal surface of bladder or rectum should be involved by tumor
Bladder mucosa#
Rectal mucosa#
Pelvic bone
Other organs / tissue (specify):
Cannot be determined (explain):
Lymphatic and / or Vascular Invasion (Note H)
Not identified
Present
Equivocal (explain):
Cannot be determined (explain):
+Tumor Comment:
MARGINS
Margin Status for Invasive Carcinoma
Margin status for precursor lesions of squamous cell carcinoma and / or Paget disease should be reported if present, even if
margin is involved by invasive carcinoma.
All margins negative for invasive carcinoma#
+Closest Margin(s) to Invasive Carcinoma (select all that apply)
Peripheral (specify location, if possible):
Deep (specify location, if possible):
Other (specify): Cannot be determined (explain):
+Distance from Invasive Carcinoma to Closest Margin Specify in Millimeters (mm)

Exact distance:	mm
Greater than:	
At least:	
Less than:	
Less than 1 mm	
Other (specify):	
Cannot be determined (exp	olain):
Invasive carcinoma present a	t margin
• ,	e Carcinoma (select all that apply)
Peripheral (specify location	, if possible):
Deep (specify location, if po	ossible):
Other (specify):	
Cannot be determined (exp	
Other (specify):	
Cannot be determined (explai	n):
Not applicable	
Margin Status for Procursor Les	sions of Squamous Cell Carcinoma and / or Paget Disease(select
all that apply)	nons of oquamous con our officina and 7 of 1 aget biscase (scient
	elial lesion (HSIL), differentiated vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (dVIN) and / or vulvar
	53-wild-type verruciform acanthotic vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (VAM / HPVi (p53wt)
vaVIN).	
All margins negative for squar	
Squamous precursor lesion p	
	mous Precursor Lesion(s) (select all that apply)
	i, if possible):
Deep (specify location, if po	•
Other (specify):	
Cannot be determined (exp	,
	ithelial lesion (HSIL) present at margin
+Margin(s) Involved by HSIL	`
	i, if possible):
Deep (specify location, if po	
Other (specify):	1
Cannot be determined (exp	olain):
	nelial neoplasia (dVIN) present at margin
+Margin(s) Involved by dVIN	
	i, if possible):
	ossible):
	Noin)
Cannot be determined (exp	AM) / HPV-independent, p53-wild-type verruciform acanthotic vulvar
	/i (p53wt) vaVIN) present at margin
- · · ·	HPVi (p53wt) vaVIN (select all that apply)
	i, if possible):
	ossible):
Other (specify):	

Cannot be det	ermined (explain):
 Paget disease pr	
	ed by Paget Disease (select all that apply)
	ecify location, if possible):
	location, if possible):
):
	ermined (explain):
	mined (explain):
Not applicable	
+Margin Comment:	
REGIONAL LYMPH	NODES
Regional Lymph No	de Status#
(pM1) and should be descr mm, or single cells or cell o	al nodes are considered regional lymph nodes. Any other involved nodes are categorized as metastases ribed in a comment in the distant metastasis section. Presence of isolated tumor cells no greater than 0.2 clusters no more than 200 cells in regional lymph node(s) is considered N0(i+).
	o regional lymph nodes submitted or found)
Regional lymph r	·
	mph nodes negative for tumor cells
	t in regional lymph node(s)
	les with Metastasis Greater than 5 mm
	per (specify):
	ecify):
	cify):
	determined (explain):
	les with Metastasis 5 mm or Less but Greater than 2 mm
	per (specify):
	ecify):
	cify):
	determined (explain):
	les with Micrometastasis 2 mm or Less but Greater than 0.2 mm (excludes
	cells)
	per (specify):
	ecify):
` ` `	sify):
	determined (explain):
Number of Noc	les with Isolated Tumor Cells (0.2 mm or Less, or Single Cells, or Cell Clusters
not more than	200 Cells in a Single Node Cross-section) (required only if applicable)#
	nber of lymph nodes with isolated tumor cells is required only in the absence of metastasis
•	n in other lymph nodes.
Not applica	
	per (specify):
	ecify):
Other (spec	sify):

CAP Approved	ı
--------------	---

Vulva_5.0.0.0.REL_CAPCP

___ Cannot be determined (explain): ____

Exact size (specify):	mm
Less than:	
Greater than:	
Other (specify):	
Cannot be determined (exp	
+Nodal Site(s) with Tumor (selec	
Right inguinal:	
Left inguinal:	
Inguinal, NOS:	
Right femoral:	
Left femoral:	
Femoral, NOS:	
Other (specify):	
Cannot be determined:	
Additional Lymph Node Finding	s (required only if applicable) (select all that ap
Not applicable	
None identified	
Extranodal extension and / or	extracapsular spread
Fixed and / or ulcerated node:	S
Other (specify):	
Cannot be determined (explai	n):
Other (specify):	
_ Cannot be determined (explain):	
otal Number of Lymph Nodes Exa	
Exact number (specify):	
_ At least (specify):	
_ Other (specify):	
_ Cannot be determined (explain):	
+Nodal Site(s) Examined (select	
Right inguinal:	
Left inguinal:	
Inguinal, NOS:	
Right femoral:	
Left femoral:	
Femoral, NOS:	
Other (specify):	
Cannot be determined:	
umber of Sentinel Nodes Examin	red (required only if applicable)
_ Not applicable	
Exact number (specify):	
_ At least (specify):	
_ Other (specify):	
Cannot be determined (explain):	

+Regional Lymph Node Comment:
DISTANT METASTASIS
Distant Site(s) Involved, if applicable (select all that apply)
Not applicable
Pelvic lymph node(s):
Internal iliac / hypogastric lymph node(s):
External iliac lymph node(s):
Common iliac lymph node(s):
Presacral lymph node(s):
Lung:
Liver: Bone:
Other (specify):
Cannot be determined
pTNM CLASSIFICATION (AJCC Version 9) (Note I) Reporting of pT, pN, and (when applicable) pM categories is based on information available to the pathologist at the time the report is issued. As per the AJCC (Chapter 1, 8th Ed.) it is the managing physician's responsibility to establish the final pathologic stage based upon all pertinent information, including but potentially not limited to this pathology report. Modified Classification (required only if applicable) (select all that apply) Not applicable y (post-neoadjuvant therapy) r (recurrence)
pT Category
pT not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)pT0: No evidence of primary tumor
pT1: Tumor confined to the vulva
Depth of invasion is measured from the basement membrane of the deepest adjacent tumor-free rete ridge to the deepest point of invasion.
pT1a: Tumor size less than or equal to 2 cm in greatest dimension and stromal invasion less than or
equal to 1 mm#
pT1b: Tumor size greater than 2 cm in greatest dimension or stromal invasion greater than 1 mm#
pT1 (subcategory cannot be determined)
pT2: Tumor of any size with extension to lower one-third of urethra, lower one-third of vagina, or
anus
pT3: Tumor of any size with extension to upper two-thirds of urethra, upper two-thirds of vagina,
bladder mucosa, rectal mucosa
pT4: Tumor fixed to pelvic bone
T Suffix (required only if applicable)
Not applicable
(m) multiple primary synchronous tumors in a single organ

pN Category

Regional lymph nodes include inguinal and femoral nodes. Involvement of internal iliac / hypogastric, external iliac, and common iliac lymph nodes is considered distant metastases. The site, size, and laterality of lymph node metastases should be recorded. pN not assigned (no nodes submitted or found)
Histologic examination of an inguinofemoral lymphadenectomy specimen will ordinarily include 6 or more lymph nodes. If the lymph nodes are negative, but the number ordinarily examined is not met, classify as pN not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information).
pN not assigned (cannot be determined based on available pathological information)#
pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis
pN0(i+): Isolated tumor cells less than or equal to 0.2 mm, or single cells or clusters of cells less than or equal to 200 cells in a single lymph node cross-section pN1: Tumor involvement of non-fixed, non-ulcerated regional lymph nodes
pN1mi: Tumor involvement greater than 0.2 mm but less than or equal to 2.0 mm in diameter of regional lymph nodes
pN1a: Tumor involvement greater than 2.0 mm but less than or equal to 5 mm of regional lymph nodes
pN1b: Tumor involvement greater than 5 mm of regional lymph nodes
pN1c: Tumor involvement of regional lymph nodes with extranodal extension (ENE) pN1 (subcategory cannot be determined)
pN2: Tumor involvement of fixed or ulcerated regional lymph nodes
N Suffix (required only if applicable)
Not applicable
(sn) Sentinel node procedure
(f) FNA or core needle biopsy
pM Category (required only if confirmed pathologically) Not applicable - pM cannot be determined from the submitted specimen(s)
pM1: Microscopic confirmation of distant metastasis
FIGO STAGE
+FIGO Stage (2021 FIGO staging for carcinoma of the vulva)
I: Tumor confined to the vulva # Depth of invasion is measured from the basement membrane of the deepest, adjacent (or nearest) dysplastic, tumor-free rete
ridge to the deepest point of invasion.
IA: Tumor size less than or equal to 2 cm and stromal invasion less than or equal to 1 mm#
IB: Tumor size greater than 2 cm or stromal invasion greater than 1 mm#
II: Tumor of any size with extension to lower one-third of the urethra, lower one-third of the vagina, or
lower one-third of the anus with negative nodes
III: Tumor of any size with extension to upper part of adjacent perineal structures, or with any number of non-fixed, non-ulcerated lymph node(s)
Regional lymph nodes include inguinal and femoral nodes.
IIIA: Tumor of any size with disease extension to upper two-thirds of the urethra, upper two-thirds of the vagina, bladder mucosa, rectal mucosa, or regional lymph node metastases less than or equal to
5 mm##
IIIB: Regional lymph node metastases greater than 5 mm##

IIIC: Regional lymph node metastases with extracapsular spread##
IV: Tumor of any size fixed to bone, or fixed, ulcerated lymph node metastases, or distant
metastases
IVA: Disease fixed to pelvic bone, or fixed or ulcerated regional lymph node metastases##
IVB: Distant metastases
ADDITIONAL FINDINGS (Note <u>J</u>)
+Additional Findings (select all that apply)
None identified
Condyloma acuminatum
Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion / Vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia, grade 1
High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion / Vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia, grade 2
High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion / Vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia, grade 3
Differentiated vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (dVIN)
Vulvar aberrant maturation / HPV-independent, p53-wild-type verruciform acanthotic vulva
intraepithelial neoplasia
Lichen sclerosus
Other (specify):
SPECIAL STUDIES (Note K)
or Edial drobled (Note K)
+Ancillary Studies (specify):
+p16 Immunohistochemistry
Positive (diffuse, block-like expression)
Negative (no staining, or focal or patchy expression)
+p53 Immunohistochemistry
Normal (wild-type)
Abnormal (mutated)
Basal overexpression (uniform strong, diffuse nuclear expression in basal cells)
Parabasal / diffuse overexpression
Absent / null (lack of nuclear or cytoplasmic expression)
Cytoplasmic expression only with or without nuclear expression
+HPV-ISH
Positive, high risk, not otherwise specified
Positive, low risk, not otherwise specified
Negative
COMMENTS
Comment(s):

Explanatory Notes

A. Suggestions for Sampling of Tissue Removed for Diagnosis or Treatment of Vulvar Carcinoma Tumor

Sections taken will vary with procedure, as designated by the surgeon. Sections to include the following should be taken (if appropriate):

- Tumor, representative sections, including site of deepest invasion and interface of tumor with adjacent epithelium
- Resection margins
- Sections of abnormal epithelium or other tissue away from tumor
- Sections of area(s) marked by surgeon
- Sections of prior biopsy or resection site of tumor if no tumor is present grossly

Lymph Nodes

The femoral and inguinal lymph nodes are the sites of regional spread. L2 Involvement of pelvic or other lymph nodes is considered stage IV disease. Although inguinal-femoral lymphadenectomy is still performed in some patients, increasing evidence suggests that sentinel lymph node assessment is an alternative standard of care approach in select cases. L2.3.4.5

Sections of grossly positive lymph nodes should demonstrate the maximum diameter of nodal metastasis and document the presence or absence of extranodal/extracapsular extension. Sentinel lymph nodes should be assessed in accordance with a locally agreed upon and established protocol. The pathology note should specify whether or not an ultrastaging procedure was performed and whether nodal metastases were identified on routine histologic examination (without ultrastaging) or by ultrastaging. Reportedly, ultrastaging can improve the detection of nodal metastases from 8.6% to 41.7%. There is no universally accepted ultrastaging protocol; however, protocols used at the 2 largest cancer centers in USA are as follows:

- 1. <u>Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Protocol</u>: If the initial H&E-stained slide is negative for carcinoma, 2 additional levels at 50 µm apart are examined; at each level 2 slides are obtained, one for H&E and the second for keratin cocktail IHC.
- 2. The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Protocol: If the initial H&E-stained slide is negative for carcinoma, 5 levels at 250 μm intervals are obtained (1 H&E and 2 unstained sections per level to be used for keratin cocktail IHC if the additional H&E-stained slides are negative).¹

Other Organs and Tissues

Other organs and tissues may be submitted with the vulva specimen. Sections to include the following should be taken (if appropriate):

- Sections to demonstrate presence or absence of tumor
- Sections to demonstrate its relation, if present, to vulvar tumor (contiguous or metastatic)
- Sections of other lesions, if present
- Resection margins

If frozen section analysis was performed, post-frozen tissue fragment(s) should be submitted.

References

- 1. Van der Zee AG, Oonk MH, De Hullu JA, et al. Sentinel lymph node dissection is safe in the treatment of early-stage vulvar carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26:884-889.
- Levenback CF, Ali S, Coleman R, et al. Lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymph node biopsy in women with squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva: a gynecologic oncology group study. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:3786-3791.
- 3. Abu-Rustum NR, Yashar C, et al. NCCN guidelines. Version 1.2023 Vulvar Cancer: National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), 2022.
- 4. Covens A, Vella ET, Kennedy EB, et al. Sentinel lymph node biopsy in vulvar cancer: Systematic review, meta-analysis and guideline recommendations. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2015;137:351-361.
- Te Grootenhuis NC, van der Zee AG, van Doorn HC, et al. Sentinel nodes in vulvar cancer: Longterm follow-up of the GROningen INternational Study on Sentinel nodes in Vulvar cancer (GROINSS-V) I. Gynecol Oncol. 2016;140:8-14.
- 6. Hoang L, Webster F, Bosse T, et al. Data Set for the Reporting of Carcinomas of the Vulva: Recommendations From the International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR). *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2022;41(Suppl 1):S8-S22.
- 7. Euscher ED, Malpica A. Gynaecological malignancies and sentinel lymph node mapping: an update. *Histopathol.* 2020;76(1):139-150.

B. Size of Tumor

Assessment of gross size of the tumor is important for staging. The tumor should be accurately measured to determine if its maximum dimension is less than or equal to 2 cm or greater than 2 cm. If there is a significant discrepancy between gross and microscopic measurements of the invasive tumor, careful microscopic assessment should be performed.

C. Etiology/Pathogenesis

Vulvar squamous cell carcinoma can be classified into three clinicopathologically distinct subgroups based on their HPV and p53 status^{1,2}: HPV-independent/p53-mutant, HPV-independent/p53-wild-type, and HPV-associated (see Table 1). The HPV-associated pathway involves high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL), which is associated with high-risk HPV subtypes (mostly HPV 16) and is histologically similar to cervical HSIL. It affects younger women and tends to be multifocal, with a relatively low risk of progression to squamous cell carcinoma. Both HSIL and HPV-associated squamous cell carcinoma usually show diffuse, block-like expression of p16 by immunohistochemistry (reflecting HPV association). HSIL is characterized by loss of maturation, nuclear hyperchromasia, increased nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, and increased mitoses in the upper epidermal layers. The invasive component may display basaloid or warty morphology, but a significant subset are keratinizing.^{3,4}

HPV-independent carcinomas can be p53-mutant or p53-wild-type. Differentiated VIN (dVIN) is usually seen in the setting of chronic inflammatory dermatoses, most commonly lichen sclerosus, in older women. The morphologic features of dVIN are varied and may be subtle, but should include basal atypia. dVIN should be distinguished from lichen simplex chronicus, hypertrophic lichen sclerosus, vulvar aberrant maturation (VAM), and lichen planus. dVIN typically shows aberrant p53 expression and non-block or negative staining pattern for p16. It remains unsettled whether a p53-wild-type immunophenotype is within the allowable spectrum for dVIN. 4.5.6 The invasive component that is associated with dVIN is frequently keratinizing and also often shows aberrant p53 expression. To note, the HPV-associated and HPV-independent squamous lesions may show overlapping morphologic features, and

immunohistochemistry for p53 and p16 is therefore recommended to classify a case into one of the aforementioned subgroups.

Precursors of HPV-independent, p53-wild-type squamous cell carcinoma are still poorly understood. However, vulvar lesions with altered squamous maturation and verruciform acanthosis have previously been described using various terms such as vulvar acanthosis with altered differentiation (VAAD), differentiated exophytic vulvar intraepithelial lesion (DEVIL), vulvar aberrant maturation (VAM) and verruciform lichen simplex chronicus (vLSC), among others. Although the available data are limited, a subset of these lesions may harbor recurrent alterations in oncogenes such as PIK3CA, HRAS, and NOTCH1 and they have been shown to be associated with significant rates of recurrence and/or progression to carcinoma. The term VAM was proposed by the International Society of the Study of Vulvovaginal Diseases (ISSVD) Difficult Pathologic Diagnoses Committee and defined as "an umbrella term for HPV-independent lesions combining aberrant maturation with minimal nuclear atypia". In part to harmonize the nomenclature with the current WHO classification, an alternative term - HPV-independent, p53-wild-type verruciform acanthotic VIN (HPVi(p53wt) vaVIN) - has been proposed.

Table 1. Clinicopathologic features of three subtypes of vulvar squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)

-	HPV-associated SCC	HPV-independent SCC, p53-mutant	HPV-independent SCC, p53-wild-type
Prevalence ^{1,2}	17.4-18%	66-72%	10.5-15%
Median age ¹	59 years	75 years	73 years
Frequency of multifocality ²	18.2%	20.4%	30%
Morphology	Varied and overlaps with the other subtypes; relative over-representation of warty or basaloid morphology	Varied and overlaps with the other subtypes; relative over-representation of keratinizing morphology	Varied and overlaps with the other subtypes; overrepresentation of verrucous morphology
Precursor	HSIL	dVIN	Unknown; may include VAM/HPVi(p53wt) vaVIN, VAAD, DEVIL
Association with HPV	Yes, HPV 16 > HPV 18	No	No
Immunohistochemistry	p53: Wild-type expression (often with basal sparing)	p53: Aberrant	p53: Wild-type
	p16: Block-like expression	p16: Negative or non-block expression	p16: Negative or non- block expression
HPV in situ hybridization	Positive	Negative	Negative
Prognosis ^{1,2}	Best of the three subtypes	Worst of the three subtypes	Intermediate between HPV-associated and HPV-independent p53- mutant subtypes

References

- 1. Kortekaas KE, Bastiaannet E, van Doorn HC, et al. Vulvar cancer subclassification by HPV and p53 status results in three clinically distinct subtypes. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2020;159(3):649-656.
- 2. Carreras-Dieguez N, Saco A, Del Pino M, et al. Human papillomavirus and p53 status define three types of vulvar squamous cell carcinomas with distinct clinical, pathological, and prognostic features. *Histopathol.* 2023;83(1):17-30.

- Rakislova N, Clavero O, Alemany L, et al. Histological characteristics of HPV-associated and independent squamous cell carcinomas of the vulva: A study of 1,594 cases. *Int J Cancer*. 2017;141(12):2517-2527.
- Heller DS, Day T, Allbritton JI, et al; ISSVD Difficult Pathologic Diagnoses Committee. Diagnostic criteria for differentiated vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia and vulvar aberrant maturation. J Low Genit Tract Dis. 2021;25(1):57-70.
- 5. Day T, Marzol A, Pagano R, et al. Clinicopathologic diagnosis of differentiated vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia and vulvar aberrant maturation. *J Low Genit Tract Dis.* 2020;24(4):392-398.
- 6. Tessier-Cloutier B, Kortekaas KE, Thompson E, et al. Major p53 immunohistochemical patterns in in-situ and invasive squamous cell carcinomas of the vulva and correlation with TP53 mutation status. *Mod Pathol.* 2020;33(8):1565-1605.
- 7. Rakislova N, Alemany L, Clavero O, et al; VVAP Study Group. P53 immunohistochemical patterns in HPV-independent squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva and associated skin lesions: a study of 779 cases. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21(21):8091.
- 8. Parra-Herran C, Nucci MR, Singh N, et al. HPV-independent, p53-wild-type vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia: a review of nomenclature and the journey to characterize verruciform and acanthotic precursor lesions of the vulva. *Mod Pathol.* 2022;35(10):1317-1326.
- Nooij LS, Ter Haar NT, Ruano D, et al. Genomic characterization of vulvar (pre)cancers identifies distinct molecular subtypes with prognostic significance. Clin Cancer Res. 2017;23(22):6781-6789.
- 10. Roy SF, Wong J, Le Page C, et al. DEVIL, VAAD and vLSC constitute a spectrum of HPV-independent, p53-independent intra-epithelial neoplasia of the vulva. *Histopathol.* 2021;79(6):975-988.

D. Histologic Type

The protocol adheres to the standardized terminology proposed by the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of malignant and premalignant vulvar epithelial tumors. The most common invasive tumor of the vulva is squamous cell carcinoma. Although the treatment of HPV-associated and HPV-independent squamous carcinoma is currently the same, their pathogenesis differs (see Note C). In some instances, it may not be possible to distinguish between the two, and "squamous cell carcinoma, NOS" is appropriate. Former descriptive terms such as "warty", "basaloid", "verrucous" and "papillary" are no longer necessary components of the histologic type. Adjacent squamous intraepithelial lesions, the putative precursors, are a helpful clue to determining whether a given tumor is HPV-associated or HPV-independent, but ancillary techniques are necessary for definitive classification. For HPV-associated precursors, low-grade or high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (SIL) is the preferred terminology but vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (VIN) may also be used, with appropriate grades 1, 2, or 3 (e.g., VIN2). For the HPV-independent squamous cell carcinoma, a common precursor is differentiated VIN (dVIN), which is not graded.

The vulva may harbor malignancies arising from mammary-like anogenital glands, such as adenocarcinoma of mammary gland type and malignant phyllodes tumors. Carcinomas of sweat gland origin are rare and include apocrine adenocarcinoma, eccrine adenocarcinoma, porocarcinoma and adenoid cystic carcinoma; these usually arise in the labia majora of older patients. Paget disease of the vulva may be associated with an invasive component, which may be a non-specific adenocarcinoma, an adenocarcinoma of mammary gland type, or a carcinoma of sweat gland type. Basal cell carcinomas and

sebaceous carcinomas occur but are uncommon. Bartholin glands may be the site of malignant transformation; these neoplasms have been categorized based upon similarities to their histologic counterparts in other organs. 1.2.3 Ideally, to designate a tumor as arising from a Bartholin gland, it should involve the region housing Bartholin glands, be histologically compatible with that origin, demonstrate a transition from a benign gland or cyst, and have no alternative primary site. Squamous cell carcinoma predominates, followed by adenocarcinoma. Numerous other subtypes have been described in the Bartholin glands, including adenosquamous carcinoma, mucinous adenocarcinoma, salivary gland-type carcinomas and neuroendocrine carcinoma. Exceptionally rare adenocarcinomas that may be seen in the vulva include endometriosis-related adenocarcinomas (clear cell and endometrioid carcinomas), adenocarcinomas of the intestinal type, and HPV-related adenocarcinoma. Notably, a significant subset of glandular malignancies that involve the vulva are secondary to this site. 5

References

- 1. Herrington CS, Kim K-R, McCluggage WG, Ordi J. Tumours of the vulva. In: WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board. Female genital tumours [Internet]. Lyon (France): International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 30]. (WHO classification of tumours series, 5th ed; vol 4). Available from https://tumoursclassification.iarc.who.int/chpters/1.
- 2. Ouldamer L, Chraibi Z, Arbion F, Barillot I, Body G. Bartholin's gland carcinoma: epidemiology and therapeutic management. Review. *Surg Oncol.* 2013;22:117-122.
- Nazeran T, Cheng AS, Karnezis AN, Tinker AV, Gilks CB. Bartholin gland carcinoma: clinicopathologic features, including p16 expression and clinical outcome. *Int J Gynecol Pathol*. 2018;38:189-195.
- 4. Desouki MM, Fadare O. Primary adenocarcinomas of the vulva and related structures: An enigmatic and diverse group of tumors. *Semin Diagn Pathol.* 2021;38:71-84.
- 5. van der Linden M, Schuurman M, Bulten J, et al. Incidence and survival of glandular vulvar malignancies in the Netherlands. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2017;144(3):553-557.

E. Histologic Grade

Current evidence suggests that histologic grading is not consistently associated with prognosis of vulvar squamous cell carcinoma. Although HPV-independent tumors are often keratinizing and well-differentiated, their prognosis is paradoxically worse than HPV-associated tumors which are usually non-keratinizing, basaloid and poorly differentiated. Furthermore, there is no validated grading system. Therefore, grading of vulvar squamous cell carcinoma is not recommended, and grade may be included as an optional element.

References

- Hoang L, Webster F, Bosse T, et al. Data set for the reporting of carcinomas of the vulva: recommendations from the International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR). Int J Gynecol Pathol. 2022;41(Suppl 1):S8-S22.
- 2. Chen JJ, Hoang LN. A review of prognostic factors in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva: evidence from the last decade. *Semin Diagn Pathol.* 2021;38(1):37-49.

F. Depth of Invasion

Tumor thickness and depth of invasion are separate measurements. Tumor thickness of a squamous cell carcinoma is measured in millimeters from the surface of the tumor or, if there is surface keratinization, from the bottom of the granular layer, to the deepest point of invasion. Tumor thickness is not a

parameter used in staging and should not be used for depth of invasion, because vulvar carcinoma can have a significant exophytic component.

Assessment of the maximum depth of tumor invasion is important because invasion >1 mm requires regional lymph node evaluation. The depth of invasion has traditionally been measured from the most superficial dermal papilla adjacent to the tumor to the deepest point of invasion (conventional measurement), and there is significant interobserver variability in assessment of superficial invasion, including invasion vs VIN and invasion ≤1 vs >1 mm (i.e., stage IA vs IB).3 An alternative method has been adopted by FIGO and AJCC for all vulvar carcinomas, irrespective of type or HPV status, which measures the depth of invasion from the basement membrane of the deepest adjacent (or nearest) dysplastic tumor-free rete ridge or the nearest dysplastic rete peg to the deepest point of invasion. 4.5 This alternative method "down-stages" some conventional stage IB tumors to IA. Down-staged patients have been shown to develop fewer inquinal recurrences^{4.5} with higher disease-specific survival⁴ and a lower risk of inquinal node involvement at diagnosis⁵ compared with conventional stage 1B patients, raising the possibility that they may be spared a lymphadenectomy. Some investigators suggest that in cases where the deepest rete ridge is deeper than the tumor, the most adjacent basement membrane of the rete ridge may be used to measure the depth of invasion, regardless of whether it is dysplastic or not.⁴ When depth of invasion is ambiguous or difficult to determine, this should be clearly stated in the pathology report. The AJCC recommends using the conventional method of measurement as an ancillary data point.

In early stage disease, there are insufficient data on other features to identify patients at higher risk for recurrence, such as distance from margins, inguinal lymph node metastases, tumor size or focality, and depth of invasion. Tumor stage and lymph node status are the strongest predictors of overall progression-free survival. Measuring the distance of tumor from the nearest surgical margin may be challenging, but one study showed that most pathologists measure in a straight line from the tumor to the nearest inked edge, rather than measuring along the epithelial surface.

References

- 1. Olawaiye AB, Cuello MA, Rogers LJ. Cancer of the vulva: 2021. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2021;155 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):7-18.
- Hoang L, Webster F, Bosse T, et al. Data set for the reporting of carcinomas of the vulva: recommendations from the International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR). Int J Gynecol Pathol. 2022;41(Suppl 1):S8-S22.
- 3. Pouwer AW, Bult P, Otte I, et al. Measuring the depth of invasion in vulvar squamous cell carcinoma: interobserver agreement and pitfalls. *Histopathol.* 2019;75(3):413-420.
- 4. van den Eindin LC, Massuger LF, Jonkman JK, et al. An alternative way to measure the depth of invasion of vulvar squamous cell carcinoma in relation to prognosis. *Mod Pathol.* 2015:28(2):295-302.
- 5. Skala SL, Ebott JA, Zhao L, Lieberman RW. Predictive value of an alternative strategy for measuring depth and size of stage 1 vulvar squamous cell carcinoma. *J Low Genit Tract Dis.* 2020;24(3):265-271.
- Te Grootenhuis NC, Pouwer AFW, de Bock GH et al. Prognostic factors for local recurrence of squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva: a systematic review. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2018;148(3):622-631.
- 7. Julia CJ, Hoang LN. A review of prognostic factors in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva: evidence from the last decade. *Semin Diagn Pathol.* 2021;38(1):37-49.

8. Kortekaas KE, Van de Vijver KK, van Poelgeest MIE, et al. Practical guidance for measuring and reporting surgical margins in vulvar cancer. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2020;39(5):420-427.

G. Tumor Growth Pattern

Vulvar squamous cell carcinomas can generally be separated into those tumors that have a predominately infiltrating pattern and those that invade with a broad, pushing front.¹ Related parameters include high tumor budding,² a distinctive spray-like infiltration pattern,¹.³ and a prominent fibromyxoid response.⁴ Some studies have associated these features with worsened patient outcomes, but as a group, they are understudied.¹.²

References

- Drew PA, Al-Abbadi MA, Orlando CA, Hendricks JB, Kubilis PS, Wilkinson EJ. Prognostic factors in carcinoma of the vulva: a clinicopathologic and DNA flow cytometric study. *Int J Gynecol Pathol*. 1996;15:235-241.
- 2. Zare SY, Ciscato A, Fadare O. Tumor budding activity is an independent prognostic factor in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *Hum Pathol.* 2022;126:77-86.
- 3. Jeffus SK, Gehlot A, Holthoff E, et al. A fibromyxoid stromal response is associated with an infiltrative tumor morphology, perineural invasion, and lymph node metastasis in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2015;39(9):1226-33.
- Ambros RA, Malfetano JH, Mihm MC Jr. Clinicopathologic features of vulvar squamous cell carcinomas exhibiting prominent fibromyxoid stromal response. *Int J Gynecol Pathol*. 1996;15(2):137-45.

H. Lymphatic and/or Vascular Invasion

Lymphatic and/or vascular space invasion by squamous cell carcinoma has been associated with a poorer prognosis and increased risk for regional lymph node metastasis. 1.2.3

References

- 1. Chan JK, Sugiyama V, Pham H, et al. Margin distance and other clinico-pathologic prognostic factors in vulvar carcinoma: a multivariate analysis. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2007;104:636-641.
- 2. Raspagliesi F, Hanozet F, Ditto A, et al. Clinical and pathologic prognostic factors in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2006;102:333-337.
- 3. Hauspy J, Beiner M, Harley I, et al. Sentinel lymph node in vulvar cancer. *Cancer*. 2007;110:1015-1022.

I. pTNM Classification

The TNM staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) for carcinoma of the vulva is recommended. FIGO staging is desirable but optional.

By AJCC/UICC convention, the designation "cT" refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol "p" refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and the pathologist's contribution is based on gross and microscopic examination after primary surgical treatment. pT entails a surgical treatment resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category and highest pN categories, pN entails removal or biopsy of nodes adequate to validate lymph node metastasis, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during the initial evaluation of the patient. Pathological classification (pTNM) must be assigned by the managing physician based on the clinical stage information, the operative findings, and the gross and

microscopic examination of the surgical resection specimen. The pathologist provides vital information, but it is not the patient's final pT, pN, and/or pM categories.

TNM Stage Classifications

<u>The "y" prefix</u> indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or following initial multimodality therapy (i.e., neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a "y" prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The "y" categorization is not an estimate of tumor prior to multimodality therapy (i.e., before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

<u>The "r" prefix</u> indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval and is identified by the "r" prefix: rTNM.

TNM Suffixes

For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the "(m)" T suffix and "(sn)" and "(f)" N suffixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing special analysis.

<u>The "(m)" T suffix</u> indicates the presence of multiple primary synchronous tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: e.g., pT1(m).

<u>The "(sn)" N suffix</u> indicates a sentinel node procedure only, without resection of the nodal basin, was performed and is recorded in parentheses: e.g., pN1(sn).

<u>The "(f)" N suffix</u> indicates a fine needle aspiration (FNA) or core needle biopsy, without a sentinel node procedure or resection of nodal basin, was performed and is recorded in parentheses: e.g., pN1(f).

Isolated tumor cells (ITCs) are single cells or small cell clusters not more than 0.2 mm in greatest dimension or more than 200 cells. Lymph nodes or distant sites with ITCs found by either histologic examination (e.g., immunohistochemical evaluation for cytokeratin) or nonmorphological techniques (e.g., flow cytometry, DNA analysis, polymerase chain reaction [PCR] amplification of a specific tumor marker) should be so identified. There is currently no guidance in the literature as to how these patients should be coded; until more data are available, they should be coded as "N0(i+)" with a comment noting how the cells were identified.

Sentinel Lymph Nodes

The sentinel lymph node is the first node to receive drainage from a primary tumor. There may be more than 1 sentinel node for some tumors. If a sentinel node contains metastatic tumor, it indicates that other more distant nodes may also contain metastatic disease. If sentinel nodes are negative, other regional nodes are less likely to contain metastasis.³⁴ Patients with metastases <2 mm in sentinel nodes may be candidates to forego groin dissection.⁴

Extranodal Extension/Extracapsular spread

Both extranodal extension and the size of lymph node metastasis have been shown to reflect prognosis and should be noted in the report. 5.6

References

- 1. AJCC Version 9 Tumors of the Vulva Cancer Staging System. Copyright 2023 American College of Surgeons.
- 2. Olawaiye AB, Cotler J, Cuello MA, et al. FIGO staging for carcinoma of the vulva: 2021 revision. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2021;155(1):43-47.
- 3. Brincat MR, Baron YM. Sentinel lymph node biopsy in the management of vulvar carcinoma: an evidence-based insight. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2017;27(8):1769-1773.
- 4. Abu-Rustum NR, Yashar C et al. NCCN guidelines. Version 1.2023 Vulvar Cancer: National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), 2022.
- van der Velden J, van Lindert AC, Lammes FB, et al. Extracapsular growth of lymph node metastases in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva: the impact on recurrence and survival. *Cancer*. 1995;75:2885-2890.
- 6. Raspagliesi F, Hanozet F, Ditto A, et al. Clinical and pathologic prognostic factors in squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2006;102:333-337.

J. Additional Findings

The presence of adjacent lesions such as lichen sclerosus may increase the risk of recurrence and development of new primary tumors in patients with HPV-independent squamous cell carcinoma. Therefore, consider reporting the presence of this finding.

References

 Yap JK, Fox R, Leonard S, et al. Adjacent lichen sclerosus predicts local recurrence and second field tumour in women with vulvar squamous cell carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2016;142(3):420-426.

K. Ancillary Tests

Reporting ancillary tests in synoptic format is optional. However, distinguishing between HPV-associated and HPV-independent squamous cell lesions of the vulva has diagnostic and prognostic significance. Given that HPV-associated and HPV-independent vulvar lesions often show significant morphologic overlap, their differential diagnosis often requires immunohistochemical studies for p16 and p53. Accurate classification is important because the prognosis for HPV-associated squamous cell carcinoma is superior to that of HPV-independent types.¹

Diffuse, block-like expression of p16 indicates association with HPV. Focal or patchy expression, or absence of staining is seen in HPV-independent lesions.²

There are two normal (wild-type) and 4 abnormal (aberrant, mutated) patterns of p53 expression. Wild-type expression is usually patchy with scattered basal/parabasal cells showing heterogeneous staining of variable intensity. HPV-associated squamous lesions often show strong mid-epithelial expression of p53 that spares the basal layer (negative to weak basal cell staining) and is associated with block-like p16 expression.

The 4 abnormal patterns of p53 are as follows: 1) Basal overexpression with strong, diffuse nuclear expression in basal cells; 2) Parabasal/diffuse overexpression; 3) Absent/null "null" phenotype, lacking nuclear or cytoplasmic expression; 4) Cytoplasmic expression with moderate to strong cytoplasmic staining and with or without nuclear staining.³

Of note, although the basal expression pattern has been associated with the presence of an underlying *TP53* mutation,³ this pattern is considered non-specific, since it may also be observed in non-neoplastic lesions such as lichen sclerosus, lichen planus and spongiotic dermatitis.⁴ References

- 1. Nooij LS, Ter Haar NT, Ruano D, et al. Genomic characterization of vulvar (pre)cancers identifies distinct molecular subtypes with prognostic significance. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017; 23:6781-6789.
- 2. Rakislova N, Clavero O, Alemany L, et al; VVAP study group. 'Histological characteristics of HPV-associated and -independent squamous cell carcinomas of the vulva: A study of 1,594 cases'. *Int J Cancer.* 2017;141(12):2517-2527.
- 3. Tessier-Cloutier B, Kortekaas KE, Thompson E, et al. Major p53 immunohistochemical patterns in in situ and invasive squamous cell carcinomas of the vulva and correlation with TP53 mutation status. *Mod Pathol.* 2020;33:1595-1605.
- 4. Liu YA, Ji JX, Almadani N, et al. Comparison of p53 immunohistochemical staining in differentiated vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (dVIN) with that in inflammatory dermatoses and benign squamous lesions in the vulva. *Histopathol.* 2021;78(3):424-433.