

Protocol for the Examination of Specimens From Patients With Tumors of the Peritoneum

Protocol applies to all primary borderline and malignant epithelial tumors and malignant mesothelial neoplasms of the peritoneum.

No AJCC/UICC TNM Staging System

Protocol web posting date: August 2015

Procedure

Resection

Authors

Katja Gwin, MD, PhD, FCAP Department of Pathology, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois
Philip A. Branton, MD, FCAP Department of Pathology, Inova Fairfax Hospital, Fairfax, Virginia
Marisa R. Nucci, MD, FCAP Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts
Esther Oliva, MD, FCAP Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts
Kumarasen Cooper, MD, FCAP Department of Pathology, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont
For the Members of the Cancer Committee, College of American Pathologists

Previous lead contributors: Karen Antman, MD; Robert E. Scully, MD

© 2015 College of American Pathologists (CAP). All rights reserved.

The College does not permit reproduction of any substantial portion of these protocols without its written authorization. The College hereby authorizes use of these protocols by physicians and other health care providers in reporting on surgical specimens, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for nonprofit purposes. This authorization does not extend to reproduction or other use of any substantial portion of these protocols for commercial purposes without the written consent of the College.

The CAP also authorizes physicians and other health care practitioners to make modified versions of the Protocols solely for their individual use in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, teaching, and carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes.

The CAP further authorizes the following uses by physicians and other health care practitioners, in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes: (1) **Dictation** from the original or modified protocols for the purposes of creating a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (2) **Copying** from the original or modified protocols into a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (3) The use of a **computerized system** for items (1) and (2), provided that the protocol data is stored intact as a single text-based document, and is not stored as multiple discrete data fields.

Other than uses (1), (2), and (3) above, the CAP does not authorize any use of the Protocols in electronic medical records systems, pathology informatics systems, cancer registry computer systems, computerized databases, mappings between coding works, or any computerized system without a written license from the CAP.

Any public dissemination of the original or modified protocols is prohibited without a written license from the CAP.

The College of American Pathologists offers these protocols to assist pathologists in providing clinically useful and relevant information when reporting results of surgical specimen examinations of surgical specimens. The College regards the reporting elements in the "Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary" portion of the protocols as essential elements of the pathology report. However, the manner in which these elements are reported is at the discretion of each specific pathologist, taking into account clinician preferences, institutional policies, and individual practice.

The College developed these protocols as an educational tool to assist pathologists in the useful reporting of relevant information. It did not issue the protocols for use in litigation, reimbursement, or other contexts. Nevertheless, the College recognizes that the protocols might be used by hospitals, attorneys, payers, and others. Indeed, effective January 1, 2004, the Commission on Cancer of the American College of Surgeons mandated the use of the required data elements of the protocols as part of its Cancer Program Standards for Approved Cancer Programs. Therefore, it becomes even more important for pathologists to familiarize themselves with these documents. At the same time, the College cautions that use of the protocols other than for their intended educational purpose may involve additional considerations that are beyond the scope of this document.

The inclusion of a product name or service in a CAP publication should not be construed as an endorsement of such product or service, nor is failure to include the name of a product or service to be construed as disapproval.

CAP Peritoneum Protocol Revision History

Version Code

The definition of the version code can be found at www.cap.org/cancerprotocols.

Version: Peritoneum 3.2.0.1

Summary of Changes

The only change to the October 2013 version is the addition of the following:

Important Note

Recent observations including molecular findings have indicated that high-grade serous carcinoma of the fallopian tube/ovary/and peritoneum is very often of fallopian tube origin. Serous intraepithelial carcinoma of the fallopian tube has been observed in patients undergoing prophylactic and routine salpingectomy/salpingooophorectomy for nonneoplastic disease, providing supportive evidence for this change in the understanding of high-grade serous carcinoma carcinogenesis occurring in the adnexa and peritoneum. FIGO 2014 has acknowledged high-grade serous carcinoma as a unified entity based on clinical behavior but recommends assigning a primary site if possible. In a recent publication, Singh et al describe 10 scenarios to illustrate assigning high-grade serous carcinoma to fallopian tube, ovary, or peritoneum.

Bibliography

Gilks CB, Irving J, Kobel M, et al. Incidental nonuterine high-grade serous carcinomas arise in the fallopian tube in most cases: further evidence for the tubal origin of high-grade serous carcinomas. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2015;39:357-364.

McCluggage WG, Judge MJ, Clarke BA, et al. Data set for reporting of ovary, fallopian tube and primary peritoneal carcinoma: recommendations from the International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR). *Mod Pathol.* 2015;28(8):1101-1122.

Singh N, Gilks CB, Wilkinson N. Assessment of a new system for primary site assignment in high-grade serous carcinoma of the fallopian tube, ovary, and peritoneum. *Histopathology.* 2015;67(3):331-337.

Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

Protocol web posting date: August 2015

PERITONEUM: Resection

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Specimen (select all that apply)



Procedure (select all that apply)

- ____ Peritoneal resection
- ___ Omentectomy
- ____ Hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy
- Other (specify):
- ____ Not specified

Lymph Node Sampling

- ____ No lymph node sampling
- Obturator lymph nodes
- Common iliac lymph nodes
- ____ Periaortic lymph nodes
- ____ Inguinal lymph nodes
- Pelvic lymph nodes not otherwise specified (NOS)
- ____ Retroperitoneal lymph nodes NOS
- ____ Other lymph nodes (specify): _____

Tumor Site

Specify:	
Cannol	be determined

Tumor Size (Peritoneum / Omentum)

Greatest dimension: ____cm + Additional dimensions: ____x ___cm Cannot be determined (see Comment)

Tumor Focality

Unifocal
 Multifocal
 Diffuse
 Cannot be determined

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Involvement of Other Locations (Note A)

Left Ovary
No tumor
Confined to surface epithelium
Surface and cortical stroma involvement
Only ovarian substance involvement
Greatest dimensions of tumor: x mm
+ Additional dimension: mm
Cannot be determined (see Comment)
Right Ovary
No tumor
Confined to surface epithelium
Surface and cortical stroma involvement
Only ovarian substance involvement
Greatest dimensions of tumor: x mm
+ Additional dimension: mm
Cannot be determined (see Comment)
Other (specify):
Greatest dimension of tumor:mm
+ Additional dimensions: x mm
Cannot be determined (see Comment)
Histologic Type (Note A. Note B)
Malignant mesothelioma enithelioid
Malignant mesothelioma, sprincipide Malignant mesothelioma, sarcomatoid (spindle cell)
Malignant mesothelioma, binhasic
Malignant mesothelioma, other (specify):
Serous borderline tumor (of low malignant notential)
Serous carcinoma
Other malignant tumor of Mullerian type (specify):
Other (specify):
Other (specify) Malignant tumor, type cannot be determined
Histologic Grade (Note C)
Not applicable (borderline neoplasms and mesotheliomas)
GX: Cannot be assessed
G1: Well differentiated

- __ G2: Moderately differentiated
- G3: Poorly differentiated
- Other (specify):

+ Lymph-Vascular Invasion

- + ____ Not identified + ____ Present + ____ Indeterminate

+ Effusions

- + ____ Positive ascites/peritoneal washings
 + ____ Positive pleural effusions
 + ____ Indeterminate

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

+ Metastasis

- + ____ None identified
- + ____ Microscopic peritoneal metastasis beyond pelvis (no macroscopic tumor)
- + Macroscopic peritoneal metastasis beyond pelvis 2 cm or less in greatest dimension
- + ____ Peritoneal metastasis beyond pelvis more than 2 cm in greatest dimension and/or regional lymph node metastasis
- + ____ Liver capsule metastasis
- + ____ Liver parenchymal metastasis
- + ____ Other (specify): _
- + ____ Cannot be determined

+ Additional Pathologic Findings (select all that apply)

- + ____ None identified
- + ____ Ferruginous bodies
- + ____ Endosalpingiosis
- + ____ Endometriosis
- + ____ Mesothelial inclusion cysts + ____ Other (specify): _____

+ Ancillary Studies

+ Specify: _____

+ Clinical History

- + Specify:
- + ____ Not specified
- + Comment(s)

Explanatory Notes

A. Histologic Type

This protocol refers only to primary borderline and malignant epithelial tumors of the peritoneum. Secondary tumors, for example, those causing pseudomyxoma peritonei (almost always of appendiceal origin), are not addressed. However, in some cases "peritoneal spread" of a serous borderline tumor may actually reflect a primary peritoneal tumor rather than a metastasis from the ovary.

Classification of Peritoneal Tumors

Benign

Adenomatoid tumor Benign multicystic mesothelioma (multilocular peritoneal inclusion cyst) Mesothelial cyst(s) (unilocular) (free or attached) Well-differentiated papillary mesothelioma Solitary fibrous tumor (fibrous mesothelioma) (usually benign)

Malignant

Diffuse malignant mesothelioma Epithelioid type Sarcomatoid type Biphasic type Rare types[#] Serous tumor of borderline malignancy (of low malignant potential)¹⁻³ ^{##} Serous carcinoma⁴⁻⁸ ^{###} Malignant tumors of other Mullerian types Sarcomas

[#] Rare types include desmoplastic, small cell, lymphohistiocytoid, deciduoid, and undifferentiated types.

^{##} When this tumor involves the extraovarian peritoneum significantly and the ovarian surface minimally or not at all, it is generally considered to be of peritoneal origin.

^{###} The Gynecological Oncology Group has adopted the following criteria for the diagnosis of primary peritoneal serous carcinoma:

- 1. Both ovaries are either normal in size or enlarged by a benign process. In the judgment of the surgeon and the pathologist, the bulk of the tumor involves the peritoneum, and the extent of tumor involvement at 1 or more extraovarian sites is greater than that on the surface of or within either ovary.
- 2. Microscopic examination of the ovaries reveals: (a) no tumor; (b) tumor confined to the surface epithelium, with no evidence of cortical invasion; (c) tumor involving the ovarian surface and the underlying cortical stroma, but less than 5 x 5 mm in diameter; or (d) tumor less than 5 x 5 mm within the ovarian substance, with or without surface involvement.
- 3. The histologic and cytologic characteristics of the tumor are predominantly serous and similar or identical to those of ovarian serous papillary carcinoma of any grade.
- 4. If an oophorectomy has been performed in the past, a confident diagnosis of primary peritoneal serous carcinoma requires 1 of the following: (a) a pathology report to document the absence of carcinoma in the ovarian specimen, with review of all the slides if the oophorectomy has been performed within 5 years of the current procedure; (b) if the oophorectomy has been performed more than 5 years before the current procedure, the pathology report of the specimen should be obtained, and the slides should be reviewed if still available. The peritoneal tumor should be interpreted in light of the ovarian findings.

B. Special Studies

Histochemical, immunohistochemical, and electron microscopic studies are helpful to routine microscopic evaluation in the diagnosis of mesothelioma. These tumors are usually mucicarmine and Pas-D negative. They may be positive for Alcian blue or colloidal iron stains. Mesotheliomas usually are positive for different keratins, including cytokeratins 5/6, EMA, thrombomodulin, WT1, D2-40 (podoplanin), and calretinin. They are usually

negative for CEA, B72.3, BER-EP4, and CD15 (Leu-M1), although they may be positive for single antibodies. In all these cases, a panel of antibodies is recommended. (For further detail, see Thoracic Mesothelium protocol.)

C. Histologic Grade

There is no established grading system for malignant mesotheliomas. Serous and other Mullerian-type tumors can be graded according to the criteria used for similar tumors in the female genital tract, as shown below. (For further detail, see Ovary protocol.)

- Grade X Cannot be assessed
- Grade 1 Well differentiated
- Grade 2 Moderately differentiated

Grade 3 Poorly differentiated (tumors with minimal differentiation seen in very small foci)

D. Staging of Peritoneal Tumors

There is no widely accepted staging system for peritoneal tumors, but their extent may have prognostic significance.⁹ Thus, it is important to determine whether a mesothelioma is unifocal, multifocal, or diffuse¹⁰; and whether there are lymph node or distant metastases. Peritoneal serous carcinomas are generally staged as though they were stage II to stage IV ovarian cancers. (For further detail, see ovary protocol.)

References

- 1. Bell DA, Scully RE. Serous borderline tumors of the peritoneum. Am J Surg Pathol. 1990;14:230-239.
- 2. Biscotti CV, Hart WR. Peritoneal serous micropapillomatosis of low malignant potential (serous borderline tumors of the peritoneum): a clinicopathologic study of 17 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1992;16:467-475.
- 3. Hutton RL, Dalton SR. Primary peritoneal serous borderline tumors. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2007;131:138-144.
- 4. Gilks CB, Bell DA, Scully RE. Serous psammocarcinoma of the ovary and peritoneum. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 1990;9:110-121.
- 5. Bloss JD, Liao S, Buller RE, et al. Extraovarian peritoneal serous papillary carcinoma: a case-control retrospective comparison to papillary adenocarcinoma of the ovary. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1993;50:347-351.
- 6. Dubernard G, Morice P, Rey A, et al. Prognosis of stage III or IV primary peritoneal serous papillary carcinoma. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2004;30:976-981.
- 7. Piver MS, Jishi MF, Tsukuda Y, Nava G. Primary peritoneal carcinoma after prophylactic oophorectomy in women with a family history of ovarian cancer: a report of the Gilda Radner Familial Ovarian Cancer Registry. *Cancer*. 1993;71:2751-2755.
- 8. Kindelberger DW, Lee Y, Miron A, et al. Intraepithelial carcinoma of the fimbria and pelvic serous carcinoma: evidence for a causal relationship. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2007;31:161-169.
- 9. Wittenkind CH, Henson DE, Hutter RVP, Sobin LH. *TNM Supplement: A Commentary on Uniform Use.* 2nd ed. New York: Wiley-Liss; 2001.
- 10. Goldblum J, Hart WR. Localized and diffuse mesotheliomas of the genital tract and peritoneum in women: a clinicopathologic study of nineteen true mesothelial neoplasms, other than adenomatoid tumors, multicystic mesotheliomas, and localized fibrous tumors. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1995;19:1124-1137.