

# Protocol for the Examination of Specimens From Patients With Gestational Trophoblastic Malignancy

Protocol applies to all gestational trophoblastic malignancies.

Based on AJCC/UICC TNM, 7th edition, and FIGO 2009 Annual Report Protocol web posting date: January 2016

### Procedures

· Dilatation and Curettage

Resection

## Authors

Blaise A. Clarke, MBBCh\*

Department of Pathology, University of Toronto, Toronto General Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada Michael T. Deavers, MD

Department of Pathology, University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Centre, Houston, Texas Janice M. Lage, MD

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina

Esther Oliva, MD

Department of Pathology, Harvard University, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts Christopher N. Otis, MD

Department of Pathology, Baystate Medical Center (Tufts University School of Medicine), Springfield, Massachusetts

Kumarasen Cooper, MBChB, DPhil†

Department of Pathology, University of Vermont, Fletcher Allen Health Care, Burlington, Vermont For the Members of the Cancer Committee, College of American Pathologists

\* Denotes primary author. † Denotes senior author. All other contributing authors are listed alphabetically.

**Previous contributors:** Saeid Movahedi-Lankarani, MD; Donald E. Henson, MD; Enrique Hernandez, MD; Maureen Killacky, MD; Beverly B. Kramer, MD; Rachelle Lanciano, MD; Stanley J. Robboy, MD; Steven G. Ruby, MD; Robert E. Scully, MD; Steven G. Silverberg, MD; Richard Zaino, MD

### © 2016 College of American Pathologists (CAP). All rights reserved.

The College does not permit reproduction of any substantial portion of these protocols without its written authorization. The College hereby authorizes use of these protocols by physicians and other health care providers in reporting on surgical specimens, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for nonprofit purposes. This authorization does not extend to reproduction or other use of any substantial portion of these protocols for commercial purposes without the written consent of the College.

The CAP also authorizes physicians and other health care practitioners to make modified versions of the Protocols solely for their individual use in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, teaching, and carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes.

The CAP further authorizes the following uses by physicians and other health care practitioners, in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes: (1) **Dictation** from the original or modified protocols for the purposes of creating a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (2) **Copying** from the original or modified protocols into a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (3) The use of a **computerized system** for items (1) and (2), provided that the protocol data is stored intact as a single text-based document, and is not stored as multiple discrete data fields.

Other than uses (1), (2), and (3) above, the CAP does not authorize any use of the Protocols in electronic medical records systems, pathology informatics systems, cancer registry computer systems, computerized databases, mappings between coding works, or any computerized system without a written license from the CAP.

Any public dissemination of the original or modified protocols is prohibited without a written license from the CAP.

The College of American Pathologists offers these protocols to assist pathologists in providing clinically useful and relevant information when reporting results of surgical specimen examinations of surgical specimens. The College regards the reporting elements in the "Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary" portion of the protocols as essential elements of the pathology report. However, the manner in which these elements are reported is at the discretion of each specific pathologist, taking into account clinician preferences, institutional policies, and individual practice.

The College developed these protocols as an educational tool to assist pathologists in the useful reporting of relevant information. It did not issue the protocols for use in litigation, reimbursement, or other contexts. Nevertheless, the College recognizes that the protocols might be used by hospitals, attorneys, payers, and others. Indeed, effective January 1, 2004, the Commission on Cancer of the American College of Surgeons mandated the use of the required data elements of the protocols as part of its Cancer Program Standards for Approved Cancer Programs. Therefore, it becomes even more important for pathologists to familiarize themselves with these documents. At the same time, the College cautions that use of the protocols other than for their intended educational purpose may involve additional considerations that are beyond the scope of this document.

The inclusion of a product name or service in a CAP publication should not be construed as an endorsement of such product or service, nor is failure to include the name of a product or service to be construed as disapproval.

# **CAP Trophoblast Protocol Revision History**

### Version Code

The definition of version control and an explanation of version codes can be found at www.cap.org (search: cancer protocol terms).

Version: Trophoblast 3.1.0.0

### **Summary of Changes**

The following changes have been made since the October 2013 release.

The following data elements were modified: Tumor size Lymph-Vascular Invasion Distant Metastasis (changed to required only if confirmed pathologically)

The following data element was added: FIGO Stage (not required)

# Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

Protocol web posting date: January 2016

### **TROPHOBLAST: Dilation and Curettage, Resection**

### Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Specimen (select all that apply) (Note A) Uterus Other (specify): Not specified
Procedure Dilation and curettage Hysterectomy Radical hysterectomy Pelvic exenteration Other (specify): Not specified
Tumor Site Specify, if known: Not specified
Tumor Size Greatest dimension: cm + Additional dimensions: x cm Cannot be determined (explain):
Histologic Type (Notes B and C) Hydatidiform mole, complete Hydatidiform mole, partial Hydatidiform mole, invasive Choriocarcinoma Placental site trophoblastic tumor Epithelioid trophoblastic tumor Other (specify type): Malignant trophoblastic tumor, type cannot be determined
Microscopic Tumor Extension (select all that apply) Not applicable Tumor confined to uterus Tumor extends outside of the uterus but is limited to genital structures Tumor extends to fallopian tube Tumor extends to ovary Tumor extends to have all inserted.

Tumor extends to broad ligament Tumor extends to vagina

- \_\_\_\_ Tumor extends to cervix

Specify organ(s) with separate metastasis:

+	Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be
	clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Tumor extends to other nongenital organs or structures (specify):

Margins	
Cannot be assessed	
Uninvolved by malignant tumor	
Distance of malignant tumor from closest margin: mm	
Specify margin:	
Involved by malignant tumor	
Specify margin(s):	
Lymph-Vascular Invasion	
Not identified	
Present	
Cannot be determined	
Fetal Tissue (Macroscopic or Microscopic)	
Cannot be determined	
Not identified	
Present	
+ Specify type:	
Fetal Anomalies	
Not applicable	
Cannot be determined	
Cannot be determined Not identified	
Present	
 + Specify type:	
Pathologic Staging (pTNM) (Note D)	
Pathologic Staging (privile D)	
TNM Descriptors (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)	
m (multiple)	
r (recurrent)	
r (recurrent) y (posttreatment)	
Primary Tumor (pT)	
pTX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed	
pT0: No evidence of primary tumor	
pT1: Tumor confined to uterus	
pT2: Tumor extends to other genital structures (ovary, tube, vagina, broad ligamer direct extension	its) by metastasis or
Distant Metastasis (pM) (required only if confirmed pathologically in this case)	
pM1a: Lung metastasis	
pM1b: All other distant metastasis	
Specify site(s), if known (select all that apply)	
Lung	
Spleen	
Kidney	
Gastrointestinal tract	
Liver	
Brain	
Other (specify):	
Specify number of metastases, if known:	
1-4	

\_\_\_\_ 5-8 >8

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

### + FIGO Stage

- + \_\_\_\_ I: Disease confined to the uterus
   + \_\_\_\_ II: Gestational trophoblastic tumor extends outside of the uterus, but limited to the genital structures (adenexa, vagina, broad ligament)
- + \_\_\_\_ III: Gestational trophoblastic tumor extends to the lungs, with or without known genital tract involvement
- + IV: All other metastatic sites

### + Additional Pathologic Findings (select all that apply)

- + \_\_\_\_ None identified
- + \_\_\_\_ Implantation site
- + \_\_\_\_ Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_
- + Ancillary Studies
- + Specify: \_\_\_\_\_
- + Clinical History
- + Specify: \_\_\_\_\_

+ Comment(s)

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

### **Explanatory Notes**

### A. Previous History

Previous slides should be reviewed by the pathologist if it is deemed necessary by the gynecologist or pathologist for optimal evaluation of the specimen.

### B. Histologic Type

A modified World Health Organization (WHO) classification of gestational trophoblastic lesions is as follows<sup>1-6</sup>:

### Histologic Classification of Gestational Trophoblastic Lesions

Hydatidiform mole Complete<sup>#</sup> Partial<sup>##</sup> Invasive hydatidiform mole Choriocarcinoma Placental site trophoblastic tumor<sup>###</sup> Epithelioid trophoblastic tumor<sup>7,###</sup> Trophoblastic lesions, miscellaneous Exaggerated placental site Placental site nodule<sup>^^</sup> Unclassified trophoblastic lesions<sup>^^</sup>

<sup>#</sup>Usually diploid, 46 chromosomes; most commonly no fetal tissues unless with a twin gestation; villi markedly enlarged, hydropic, central cistern; prominent trophoblastic hyperplasia.

<sup>##</sup> Usually triploid, 69 chromosomes; fetal tissues present; villi scalloped, have stromal trophoblastic inclusions; focal trophoblastic hyperplasia, usually of syncytiotrophoblast.

### Malignant tumor of intermediate trophoblast.

<sup>^</sup> Benign lesion composed of seemingly increased intermediate trophoblast at the implantation site, most commonly seen in uterine curettage specimens. These lesions are benign and do not require staging.

<sup>^^</sup> Retention of nodule(s) of benign intermediate trophoblast. These lesions are generally benign and do not require staging. However, placental site nodules have been described in association with epithelioid trophoblastic tumors.<sup>7</sup> Furthermore, there is a morphological continuum, and atypical placental site nodules present with equivocal morphological features, being larger and showing greater cellularity than is typically seen in a placental site nodule but having insufficient features for a diagnosis of epithelioid trophoblastic tumor. Cyclin E is useful in the distinction of placental site nodule and epithelioid trophoblastic tumor, with the former showing focal, weak nuclear staining, whereas the latter typically shows diffuse (>50% of tumor nuclei) intense staining. Atypical placental site nodules may show elevated cyclin E staining.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>^^^</sup> Composite or mixed trophoblastic lesions are recognized. Epithelioid trophoblastic tumors have been described coexistent with placental site nodule and with placental site trophoblastic tumor and choriocarcinoma either alone or in combination.<sup>7,9</sup> Rarely, a placental site nodule and placental site trophoblastic tumor may co-exist.<sup>10</sup> Rather than specifying the "Histological Type" as "Unclassified," we would recommend classifying composite lesions as "Other," with further annotation of the different components.

### C. Immunohistochemistry in Diagnosis of Gestational Trophoblastic Disease

### Immunohistochemistry in the Distinction of Partial and Complete Hydatidiform Moles

The complete hydatidiform mole is an androgenic conceptus, having either 46, XX or 46, XY chromosomes. Due to lack of maternal DNA, only gene products derived from paternal DNA are expressed. P57<sup>kip2</sup> is a paternally (differentially) imprinted, maternally expressed gene and thus shows differential expression in trophoblastic disease (Table1). The gene resides on chromosome 11p15. In a complete hydatidiform mole, P57<sup>kip2</sup> expression

is absent or expressed at low levels in villous cytotrophoblast and villous stromal cells. Intermediate trophoblastic cells and decidualised stromal cells will be positive and are useful as positive internal controls. Rare cases of complete hydatidiform mole with aberrant (retained) p57 expression, attributable to trisomy of chromosome 11, have been described.<sup>11</sup>

In a partial hydatidiform mole, P57<sup>kip2</sup> is strongly expressed in villous cytotrophoblast and villous stromal cells.

Table 1, P57 <sup>kip2</sup>	in Partial and Comple	ete Hydatidiform Moles

	Complete Hydatidiform Mole	Partial Hydatidiform Mole
P57 <sup>kip2</sup> nuclear stain	Absent or very low <sup>#</sup> in villous cytotrophoblast and villous stromal cells, but is present in intervillous islands and decidualised stromal cells	Strong expression in villous cytotrophoblast and villous stromal cells

Adapted from Lage et al.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>#</sup> Some studies have used cutoff values for p57 staining. In a recent study by McConnell et al,<sup>11</sup> semiquantitative assessment of staining in the villous cytotrophoblast and villous stromal cells was performed, with 0% to 10% regarded as negative, >10% but <50% as equivocal, and a positive result was reported when >50% of these cells were positive. They emphasized that most cases were readily interpreted as positive or negative. Three equivocal cases were encountered that were shown to be partial hydatidiform moles by molecular genotyping. Although uncommon, they recommend ancillary testing when an equivocal staining pattern is encountered.

The molar implantation site may have a Ki-67 index of  $5.2\% \pm 4\%$ .<sup>13</sup>

Immunohistochemistry in the Distinction of Exaggerated Placental Site Reaction, Placental Site Nodule, Placental Site Trophoblastic Tumor, Epithelioid Trophoblastic Tumor, and Choriocarcinoma Work by Kurman and Shih<sup>13</sup> has dissected the subpopulations of trophoblast that give rise to trophoblast tumors and tumor-like lesions. It is proposed that exaggerated placental site and placental site trophoblastic tumor arise from implantation site intermediate trophoblast, whereas placental site nodule and epithelioid trophoblastic tumor arise from chorionic-type intermediate trophoblast. A panel of immunohistochemical stains (Table 2) is recommended to distinguish these entities.

	Exaggerated Placental Site	Placental Site Nodule	Placental Site Trophoblastic Tumor	Epithelioid Trophoblastic Tumor	Choriocarcinoma
Mel-Cam (CD146) (membranous) <sup>#</sup>	75%-100%	0%-2%	75%-100%	0%-2%	6%-75%
HPL	75%-100%	0%-2%	25%-75% <sup>##</sup>	0%-2%	Positive in IT and ST
ß-HCG	0%-25% <sup>###</sup>	0%-25%	0%-25% <sup>###</sup>	0%-25%	Positive in ST
P63	Negative	>50%-75%	Negative	<25% up to 75% <sup>^</sup>	<25%
Ki-67 (MIB-1)	0%	3%-10%	>10%	>10%	69 ± 20%
Cyclin E		Focal		>50%	

Table 2. Immunohistochemical Studies in Exaggerated Placental Site Reaction, Placental Site
Trophoblastic Tumor, Epithelioid Trophoblastic Tumor, and Choriocarcinoma

HPL human placental lactogen; IT, intermediate trophoblast; ST, syncytiotrophoblast; β-HCG, human chorionic gonadotrophin.

<sup>#</sup>Mel-CAM, melanoma cell adhesion molecule, is a marker of intermediate trophoblast of implantation site origin. Percentages refer to percentage of immunopositive cells.

##12% of cases reported by Kalhor showed no staining for HPL.9

### Mainly in multinucleate intermediate trophoblast.

<sup>^</sup> 20% of cases reported by Kalhor showed no staining for p63.<sup>9</sup>

Adapted from Tsui-Lien M et al,<sup>8</sup> Kalhor N et al,<sup>9</sup> Shih IM et al.<sup>14</sup>

Immunohistochemistry in the Distinction of Intermediate Trophoblastic Tumors, Choriocarcinoma, and Cervical Carcinoma

# Table 3. Immunohistochemical Staining Results for Intermediate Trophoblastic Tumors (ITT), Primary Cervical Carcinomas (CA), and Choriocarcinomas (CC)

	CD10 (%)	CD146 (%)	CK5/6 (%)	hCG (%)	p16 (%)	Inhibin (%)	hPL (%)	P63 (%)	CEA (%)	Pan-K (%)
ITT	100	73	13	87	53	40	60	40	33	100
CA	20	20	100	10	100	20	0	80	80	100
СС	100	70		100		85	45	70		100

The percentages refer to the number of cases expressing the marker. Pan-K, Pankeratin (AE1AE3); CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen Adapted from Kalhor N et al.<sup>9</sup>

#### Additional Notes on Table 3

CD10: variable expression in ITTs and choriocarcinoma: 1% to 100% of cells staining.

- P16: Cervical carcinomas showed diffuse nuclear staining for this marker. About half the ITTs had variable staining (1% to 75% of cells), mainly cytoplasmic.
- CK5/6: All cervical carcinomas were positive, staining 26% to 100% of cells. Two cases of ITT were focally positive (<25% of cells).

### General

A recent review has highlighted the most common diagnostic errors in trophoblastic lesions.<sup>15</sup>

- 1. Misinterpretation of early complete hydatidiform mole as partial mole.
- 2. Overdiagnosis of hydatidiform mole in tubal pregnancy because of florid appearance of normal early firsttrimester trophoblastic proliferation.
- 3. Misdiagnosis of exuberant placental site nonvillous trophoblast as placental site trophoblastic tumor.
- 4. Misdiagnosis of nonvillous trophoblast, often seen in the context of complete hydatidiform mole, as choriocarcinoma or placental site trophoblastic tumor.

### D. TNM and Stage Groupings

The 7<sup>th</sup> edition of the TNM staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union Against Cancer (UICC)<sup>3,4</sup> and the corresponding updated 2009 edition of the staging system of the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO),<sup>5</sup> are recommended, as shown below. Both are based not only on the anatomic extent of the tumor, but on additional factors, including clinical and laboratory findings.

According to AJCC/UICC convention, the designation "T" refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol "p" refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and is based on gross and microscopic examination. pT entails a resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient or when pathologic classification is not possible. Gestational trophoblastic tumors do not have an N classification (see below).

Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (eg, when technically infeasible) and if the highest T category or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

### AJCC/UICC TNM Classification for Trophoblastic Tumors<sup>3,4</sup>

Primary Tumor (T)

- TX Primary tumor cannot be assessed
- T0 No evidence of primary tumor
- T1 Tumor confined to uterus
- T2 Tumor extends to other genital structures (vagina, ovary, broad ligament, fallopian tube) by metastasis or direct extension

### Regional Lymph Nodes (N)

There is no regional nodal designation (N classification) in the staging of gestational trophoblastic tumors. Nodal involvement in these tumors is rare but has an extremely poor prognosis. Nodal metastases should be classified as metastatic M1b disease.

Distant Metastasis (M)

- M0 No distant metastasis
- M1 Distant metastasis
- M1a Lung metastasis<sup>#</sup>
- M1b All other distant metastasis<sup>#</sup>

<sup>#</sup>Genital metastasis (vagina, broad ligament, ovary, fallopian tube) is classified as T2. Direct invasion or metastasis to any nongenital structure is classified using the M classification.

### FIGO Staging for Gestational Trophoblastic Tumors (2009)<sup>5</sup>

- Stage I Disease confined to the uterus
- Stage II Gestational trophoblastic tumor extends outside of uterus, but is limited to the genital structures (adnexa, vagina, broad ligament)

Stage III Gestational trophoblastic tumor extends to the lungs, with or without known genital tract involvement Stage IV All other metastatic sites

*Note:* Stages I to IV are subdivided into A (low risk) and B (high risk) according to the prognostic score (see below).

### Prognostic Score<sup>3-5</sup>

	Prognostic Score					
Prognostic Factor	0	1	2	4		
Age	<40	≥40	-	-		
Antecedent pregnancy	Mole	Abortion	Term	-		
Interval months from index pregnancy	<4	4 - 6	7 – 12	>12		
Pretreatment serum HCG (IU/L)	<10 <sup>3</sup>	$10^3 - 10^4$	10 <sup>4</sup> –10 <sup>5</sup>	>10 <sup>5</sup>		
Largest tumor size (including uterus)	<3 cm	3 – 5 cm	>5 cm	-		
Sites of metastasis	Lung	Spleen, kidney	Gastrointestinal	Liver, brain		
Number of metastasis	-	1 – 4	5 – 8	>8		
Previous failed chemotherapy	-	_	Single drug	2 or more drugs		

HCG, human chorionic gonadotropin.

### **Risk Categories**

Total prognostic score 6 or less is low risk (add "A" to FIGO Stage). Total prognostic score 7 or more is high risk (add "B" to FIGO Stage).

### Stage Groupings<sup>#</sup>

FIGO Stage	TNM Clas	ssification	Risk Category
Stage I	T1	MO	unknown
Stage IA	T1	MO	low
Stage IB	T1	MO	high
Stage II	T2	MO	unknown
Stage IIA	T2	MO	low
Stage IIB	T2	MO	high
Stage III	Any T	M1a	unknown
Stage IIIA	Any T	M1a	low
Stage IIIB	Any T	M1a	high
Stage IV	Any T	M1b	unknown
Stage IVA	Any T	M1b	low
Stage IVB	Any T	M1b	high

<sup>#</sup>The T and M categories are defined to correspond to the FIGO stages.

In determining the risk category, the following factors are not surgical pathology and are not considered required elements:

- Antecedent pregnancy
- Months from index pregnancy
- Pretreatment serum human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG)
- Previous failed chemotherapy

### **TNM Descriptors**

For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the "m" suffix and "y," "r," and "a" prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

<u>The "m" suffix</u> indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

<u>The "y" prefix</u> indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or after initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a "y" prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The "y" categorization is not an estimate of tumor before multimodality therapy (ie, before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

<u>The "r" prefix</u> indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval and is identified by the "r" prefix: rTNM.

The "a" prefix designates the stage determined at autopsy: aTNM.

### Additional Descriptors

### Residual Tumor (R)

Tumor remaining in a patient after therapy with curative intent (eg, surgical resection for cure) is categorized by a system known as R classification, shown below.

- RX Presence of residual tumor cannot be assessed
- R0 No residual tumor
- R1 Microscopic residual tumor
- R2 Macroscopic residual tumor

For the surgeon, the R classification may be useful to indicate the known or assumed status of the completeness of a surgical excision. For the pathologist, the R classification is relevant to the status of the margins of a surgical resection specimen. That is, tumor involving the resection margin on pathologic examination may be assumed to

correspond to residual tumor in the patient and may be classified as macroscopic or microscopic according to the findings at the specimen margin(s).

### Lymph-Vascular Invasion

Lymph-vascular invasion (LVI) indicates whether microscopic lymph-vascular invasion is identified. LVI includes lymphatic invasion, vascular invasion, or lymph-vascular invasion. According to AJCC/UICC convention, LVI does not affect the T category indicating local extent of tumor unless specifically included in the definition of a T category.

In summary, the following factors should be considered and noted in reporting:

- 1. Prior chemotherapy for known gestational trophoblastic tumors should be reported.
- 2. Benign placental site lesions (exaggerated placental site and placental site nodule) should be reported separately and are not staged.
- 3. Histological verification of disease is not required when the HCG is abnormally elevated.
- 4. TNM and FIGO staging applies to choriocarcinoma, invasive hydatidiform mole, placental site trophoblastic tumor, and epithelioid trophoblastic tumor.
- 5. In contrast to other sites, an N classification (regional lymph node status) does not apply to gestational trophoblastic tumors.

### References

- 1. Kurman RJ, ed. *Blaustein's Pathology of the Female Genital Tract.* New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 2002.
- 2. Scully RE, Bonfiglio TA, Kurman RJ, Silverberg SG, Wilkinson EJ. *World Health Organization International Histological Classification of Tumours: Histological Typing of Female Genital Tract Tumours.* New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 1994.
- 3. Edge SB, Byrd DR, Carducci MA, Compton CC, eds. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual.* 7th ed. New York, NY: Springer; 2009.
- 4. Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz M, Wittekind Ch, eds. *UICC TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours*. 7th ed. New York, NY: Wiley-Liss; 2009.
- 5. Oncology CoG. Current FIGO staging for cancer of the vagina, fallopian tube, ovary, and gestational trophoblastic neoplasia. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2009;105(1):3-4.
- 6. Kurman RJ, Carcangiu ML, Harrington CS, Young RH, eds. *WHO Classification of Tumors of the Female Reproductive Organs.* Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press; 2014. *World Health Organization Classification of Tumors.* 4<sup>th</sup> edition.
- 7. Shih IM, Kurman RJ. Epithelioid trophoblastic tumor: a neoplasm distinct from choriocarcinoma and placental site trophoblastic tumor simulating carcinoma. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1998;22:1393-1403.
- 8. Tsui-Lien M, Seidman JD, Kurman RJ, Shih IM. Cyclin E and p16 immunoreactivity in epithelioid trophoblastic tumor: an aid in differential diagnosis. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2006, 30:1105-1110.
- 9. Kalhor N, Ramirez PT, Deavers MT, Malpica A, Silva EG. Immunohistochemical studies of trophoblastic tumors. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2009;33:633-638.
- 10. Young RH, Kurman RJ, Scully RE. Placental Site Nodules and Plaques: A clinicopathologic analysis of 20 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 1990;14:1001-1009
- 11. McConnell TG, Murphy KM, Hafez M, Vang R, Ronnett BM. Diagnosis and subclassification of hydatidiform moles using p57 immunohistochemistry and molecular genotyping: validation and prospective analysis in routine and consultation practice settings with development of an algorithmic approach. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2009;33:805-17
- 12. Lage JM, Minamiguchi S, Richardson MS. Gestational trophoblastic diseases: update on new immunohistochemical findings. *Curr Diagn Pathol.* 2003;9:1-10.
- Shih IM, Kurman RJ. Ki-67 labeling index in the differential diagnosis of exaggerated placental site, placental site trophoblastic tumor, and choriocarcinoma: a double immunohistochemical staining technique using Ki-67 and Mel-CAM antibodies. *Hum Pathol.* 1998;28:27-33.
- 14. Shih IM, Kurman RJ. p63 expression is useful in the distinction of epithelioid trophoblastic and placental site trophoblastic tumors by profiling trophoblastic subpopulations. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2004;28:1177-1183.
- 15. Wells M. The pathology of gestational trophoblastic disease: recent advances. *Pathology*. 2007;39:88-96.