Protocol for the Examination of Resection Specimens From Patients With Carcinoma of the Ureter and Renal Pelvis

Version: Ureter and Renal Pelvis Resection 2.1.0.0   Protocol Posting Date: August 2019

CAP Laboratory Accreditation Program Protocol Required Use Date: May 2020

Includes pTNM requirements from the 8th Edition, AJCC Staging Manual

For accreditation purposes, this protocol should be used for the following procedures AND tumor types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ureterectomy</td>
<td>Includes specimens designated ureterectomy and nephroureterectomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tumor Type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carcinomas</td>
<td>Includes invasive carcinomas of the urinary tract, including urothelial carcinoma and its morphological variants (squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, Mullerian carcinoma, neuroendocrine carcinoma, and sarcomatoid carcinoma)¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ This protocol is recommended for reporting noninvasive urothelial tumors (papillary and flat), but it is not required for accreditation purposes.

This protocol is NOT required for accreditation purposes for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>(consider the Ureter and Renal Pelvis Biopsy protocol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary resection</td>
<td>specimen with no residual cancer (eg, following neoadjuvant therapy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytologic specimens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following tumor types should NOT be reported using this protocol:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>(consider the Hodgkin or non-Hodgkin Lymphoma protocols)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoma</td>
<td>(consider the Soft Tissue protocol)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Accreditation Requirements
This protocol can be utilized for a variety of procedures and tumor types for clinical care purposes. For accreditation purposes, only the definitive primary cancer resection specimen is required to have the core and conditional data elements reported in a synoptic format.

- **Core data elements** are required in reports to adequately describe appropriate malignancies. For accreditation purposes, essential data elements must be reported in all instances, even if the response is "not applicable" or "cannot be determined."

- **Conditional data elements** are only required to be reported if applicable as delineated in the protocol. For instance, the total number of lymph nodes examined must be reported, but only if nodes are present in the specimen.

- **Optional data elements** are identified with "+" and although not required for CAP accreditation purposes, may be considered for reporting as determined by local practice standards.

The use of this protocol is not required for recurrent tumors or for metastatic tumors that are resected at a different time than the primary tumor. Use of this protocol is also not required for pathology reviews performed at a second institution (i.e., secondary consultation, second opinion, or review of outside case at second institution).

Synoptic Reporting
All core and conditionally required data elements outlined on the surgical case summary from this cancer protocol must be displayed in synoptic report format. Synoptic format is defined as:

- **Data element:** followed by its answer (response), outline format without the paired "Data element: Response" format is NOT considered synoptic.

- The data element should be represented in the report as it is listed in the case summary. The response for any data element may be modified from those listed in the case summary, including "Cannot be determined" if appropriate.

- Each diagnostic parameter pair (Data element: Response) is listed on a separate line or in a tabular format to achieve visual separation. The following exceptions are allowed to be listed on one line:
  - Anatomic site or specimen, laterality, and procedure
  - Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM) elements
  - Negative margins, as long as all negative margins are specifically enumerated where applicable

- The synoptic portion of the report can appear in the diagnosis section of the pathology report, at the end of the report or in a separate section, but all Data element: Responses must be listed together in one location. Organizations and pathologists may choose to list the required elements in any order, use additional methods in order to enhance or achieve visual separation, or add optional items within the synoptic report. The report may have required elements in a summary format elsewhere in the report IN ADDITION TO but not as replacement for the synoptic report i.e. all required elements must be in the synoptic portion of the report in the format defined above.

Summary of Changes

**Version 2.1.0.0:**
Resection and biopsy case summaries separated into discrete cancer protocols

The following were modified:

- Procedure
- Tumor Site
- Histologic Type
- Size of Largest Metastatic Deposit, promoted to core (required)
Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

Protocol posting date: August 2019

RENAL PELVIS AND URETER: Resection

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Procedure (Note A)

___ Nephroureterectomy
___ Ureterectomy
___ Other (specify): ____________________________
___ Not specified

Specimen Laterality

___ Right
___ Left
___ Not specified

Tumor Site (select all that apply)

___ Ureter
___ Renal pelvis
___ Kidney
___ Cannot be determined

+ Tumor Size

+ Greatest dimension (centimeters): ___ cm
+ Additional dimensions (centimeters): ___ x ___ cm
+ ___ Cannot be determined

Histologic Type (select all that apply) (Note B)

Urothelial

___ Papillary urothelial carcinoma, noninvasive
___ Papillary urothelial carcinoma, invasive
___ Urothelial carcinoma in situ
___ Urothelial carcinoma, invasive
___ Urothelial carcinoma, nested (including large nested) variant
___ Urothelial carcinoma, microcystic variant
___ Urothelial carcinoma, micropapillary variant
___ Urothelial carcinoma, lymphoepithelioma-like variant
___ Urothelial carcinoma, plasmacytoid / signet ring / diffuse variant
___ Urothelial carcinoma, sarcomatoid variant
___ Urothelial carcinoma, giant cell variant
___ Urothelial carcinoma, poorly differentiated variant
___ Urothelial carcinoma, lipid-rich variant
___ Urothelial carcinoma, clear cell variant
___ Urothelial carcinoma with squamous differentiation
  + Specify percentage of squamous differentiation: _____% 
___ Urothelial carcinoma with glandular differentiation
  + Specify percentage of glandular differentiation: _____% 
___ Urothelial carcinoma with trophoblastic differentiation
  + Specify percentage of trophoblastic differentiation: _____% 
___ Urothelial carcinoma with Müllerian differentiation
  + Specify percentage of Müllerian differentiation: _____% 

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required for accreditation purposes. These optional elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Squamous</th>
<th>Glandular</th>
<th>Tumors of Müllerian Type</th>
<th>Neuroendocrine Tumors</th>
<th>Associated Epithelial Lesions</th>
<th>Histologic Grade</th>
<th>Tumor Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>___ Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>___ Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>___ Clear cell carcinoma</td>
<td>___ Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma</td>
<td>+ ___ None identified</td>
<td>___ Low grade</td>
<td>___ No evidence of primary tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___ Verrucous carcinoma</td>
<td>___ Adenocarcinoma, enteric</td>
<td>___ Endometrioid carcinoma</td>
<td>___ Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma</td>
<td>+ ___ Urothelial papilloma</td>
<td>___ High grade</td>
<td>___ Noninvasive papillary carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___ Squamous cell carcinoma in situ (no invasive carcinoma identified)</td>
<td>___ Adenocarcinoma, mucinous</td>
<td>___ Urothelial papilloma, inverted type</td>
<td>___ Well-differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma</td>
<td>+ ___ Urothelial papilloma, low malignant potential (PUNLMP)</td>
<td>___ Other (specify): ____________________________</td>
<td>___ Cannot be determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>___ Adenocarcinoma, mixed</td>
<td>___ Papillary urothelial neoplasm, low malignant potential (PUNLMP)</td>
<td>+ ___ Urothelial proliferation of uncertain malignant potential</td>
<td>+ ___ Urothelial dysplasia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ ___ Urothelial dysplasia</td>
<td>+ ___ Cannot be determined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ ___ Cannot be determined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>___ G1: Well differentiated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>___ G2: Moderately differentiated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>___ G3: Poorly differentiated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>___ GX: Cannot be assessed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>___ Other (specify): ____________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>___ Cannot be assessed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>___ Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required for accreditation purposes. These optional elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.*
Carcinoma in situ
___ Tumor invades subepithelial connective tissue
___ Tumor invades the muscularis
___ Tumor invades beyond muscularis into periureteral fat or peripelvic fat or the renal parenchyma
___ Tumor invades adjacent organs, or through the kidney into the perinephric fat
___ Cannot be assessed

+ Tumor Configuration (select all that apply)
+ ___ Papillary
+ ___ Solid/nodule
+ ___ Flat
+ ___ Ulcerated
+ ___ Cannot be determined
+ ___ Other (specify): ____________________________

Margins (select all that apply) (Note E)
___ Cannot be assessed
___ Uninvolved by invasive carcinoma and carcinoma in situ/ noninvasive urothelial carcinoma
___ Uninvolved by invasive carcinoma
___ Involved by invasive carcinoma
   ___ Proximal ureteral margin
   ___ Distal ureteral margin
   ___ Deep soft tissue margin
   ___ Other margin(s) (specify)#:
___ Involved by carcinoma in situ/noninvasive high-grade urothelial carcinoma
   ___ Proximal ureteral margin
   ___ Distal ureteral margin
   ___ Other margin(s) (specify)#:
___ Involved by noninvasive low-grade urothelial carcinoma/urothelial dysplasia
   ___ Proximal ureteral margin
   ___ Distal ureteral margin
   ___ Other margin(s) (specify)#:

# Note: If the specimen is received unoriented, precluding identification of margins as distal or proximal, it should be denoted here.

+ Lymphovascular Invasion (Note F)
+ ___ Not identified
+ ___ Present
+ ___ Cannot be determined

Regional Lymph Nodes (Note G)
___ No lymph nodes submitted or found

Lymph Node Examination (required only if lymph nodes are present in the specimen)

Number of Lymph Nodes Involved: _____
___ Number cannot be determined (explain): ____________________________

Number of Lymph Nodes Examined: _____
___ Number cannot be determined (explain): ____________________________
Size of Largest Metastatic Deposit (centimeters): ___ cm
  + Specify Site: __________

+ Size of Largest Lymph Node Involved (centimeters): ___ cm
  + Specify Site: __________

+ Extranodal Extension (ENE)
  + ___ Not identified
  + ___ Present
  + ___ Cannot be determined

Pathologic Stage Classification (pTNM, AJCC 8th Edition) (Note H)
Note: Reporting of pT, pN, and (when applicable) pM categories is based on information available to the pathologist at the time the report is issued. Only the applicable T, N, or M category is required for reporting; their definitions need not be included in the report. The categories (with modifiers when applicable) can be listed on 1 line or more than 1 line.

TNM Descriptors (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)
___ m (multiple)
___ r (recurrent)
___ y (posttreatment)

Primary Tumor (pT)
___ pTX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
___ pT0: No evidence of primary tumor
___ pTa: Papillary noninvasive carcinoma
___ pTis: Carcinoma in situ
___ pT1: Tumor invades subepithelial connective tissue
___ pT2: Tumor invades the muscularis
___ pT3: For renal pelvis only: Tumor invades beyond muscularis into peripelvic fat or into the renal parenchyma

For ureter only: Tumor invades beyond muscularis into periureteric fat
___ pT4: Tumor invades adjacent organs, or through the kidney into the perinephric fat

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)
___ pNX: Regional lymph node cannot be assessed
___ pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis
___ pN1: Metastasis ≤2 cm in greatest dimension, in a single lymph node
___ pN2: Metastasis >2 cm, in a single lymph node; or multiple lymph nodes

Distant Metastasis (pM) (required only if confirmed pathologically in this case)
___ pM1: Distant metastasis
  Specify site(s), if known: ____________________________

+ Additional Pathologic Findings (select all that apply)
  + ___ Inflammation/regenerative changes
  + ___ Therapy-related changes (specify): ____________________________
  + ___ Cautery artifact
  + ___ Cystitis cystica et glandularis
  + ___ Keratinizing squamous metaplasia
  + ___ Intestinal metaplasia
  + ___ Other (specify): ____________________________
Pathologic Findings in Ipsilateral Nonneoplastic Renal Tissue (select all that apply) (Note I)

- ___ No or insufficient renal parenchyma
- ___ None identified
- ___ Glomerular disease (specify type): __________________
- ___ Tubulointerstitial disease (specify type): __________________
- ___ Vascular disease (specify type): __________________
- ___ Inflammation (specify type): __________________
- ___ Other (specify): __________________

+ Comment(s)
Explanatory Notes

A. Procedure
A relevant history is important for interpretation of all upper urinary tract (renal pelvis and ureter) specimens. A history of renal stones, recent urinary tract procedures, infections, or obstruction can influence the interpretation of random biopsies obtained from patients with hematuria. Any neoplasms previously diagnosed should be specified, including the histologic type, primary site, and histologic grade. Primary tumors may be associated with hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer (HNPCC) syndrome (Lynch syndrome II). Renal pelvic tumors are more often seen in analgesic abusers, who often have analgesic nephropathy, including papillary necrosis. If prior therapy has been given, it should be described (systemic or intravesical chemotherapy, immunotherapy, radiation, etc). The method of collection and date also should be specified in urine cytology specimens. Cytologic specimens from the ureter or renal pelvis may be over-interpreted if their site of sampling is not stated.

Sections for Microscopic Evaluation
Segmental ureterectomy is performed for tumors of the proximal or mid ureter. The length and diameter of the intact ureter is recorded, with a search for a mass by palpation and visual inspection. Proximal and distal cross-section margins are taken, and the outer aspect of the ureter is inked. The ureter is then opened longitudinally and assessed for mucosal abnormalities. After fixation in 10% formalin, sections are taken to demonstrate the deepest invasion of any lesion(s). At least one section of uninvolved ureter should be submitted.

Radical nephroureterectomy with bladder cuff. Gross examination and sampling should document the relationship of tumor to adjacent renal parenchyma, peripelvic fat, nearest soft tissue margin, and ureter. Sections of grossly unremarkable kidney, pelvis, and ureter should be obtained. The important urothelial margin is the urinary bladder cuff, which can be sampled as shave sections.

B. Histologic Type
Like the urinary bladder, the vast majority (more than 95%) of carcinomas of the renal pelvis and ureter are urothelial in origin. The most recent 2016 World Health Organization (WHO) classification of tumors of the urothelial tract, including urethra, urinary bladder, ureter, and renal pelvis, is provided in this note. Benign tumors are included in this classification because, within the same patient, a spectrum of differentiation from benign to malignant tumors may be seen, either at the same time or over the clinical course of the disease. The full spectrum of invasive urothelial carcinoma and its variants as found in the urinary bladder may also be found in the upper tract. The distinction between a urothelial carcinoma with divergent squamous, glandular, or Müllerian differentiation, and a pure squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma or Müllerian is rather arbitrary. Most authorities, including the 2016 WHO classification, require a pure histology of squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma or Müllerian to designate a tumor as such, all others with recognizable papillary, invasive, or flat carcinoma in situ (CIS) urothelial component being considered as urothelial carcinoma with divergent differentiation. A malignant neoplasm with small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma component arising in the urinary tract is designated as small cell carcinoma.

Lynch syndrome, also known as hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer, predisposes patients to urological cancer, particularly upper tract urothelial carcinoma. Upper tract urothelial carcinoma develops in up to 28% of patients with known Lynch syndrome. Therefore, pathologists should be aware of Lynch syndrome and their important role of identifying Lynch syndrome patients by considering appropriate tissue tests. Recently several guidelines have been published regarding when and what tissue testing is appropriate for screening patients with upper tract urothelial carcinoma.

2016 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Urothelial Tract

Urothelial tumors
Infiltrating urothelial carcinoma
   Nested, including large nested
   Microcystic
   Micropapillary
   Lymphoepithelioma-like
Plasmacytoid/signet ring cell/diffuse
Sarcomatoid
Giant cell
Poorly differentiated

Noninvasive urothelial lesions
Urothelial carcinoma in situ
Noninvasive papillary urothelial carcinoma, low grade
Noninvasive papillary urothelial carcinoma, high grade
Papillary urothelial neoplasm of low malignant potential
Urothelial papilloma
Inverted urothelial papilloma
Urothelial proliferation of uncertain malignant potential
Urothelial dysplasia

Squamous cell neoplasms
Squamous cell carcinoma
Verrucous carcinoma
Squamous cell papilloma

Glandular neoplasms
Adenocarcinoma, NOS
Enteric
Mucinous
Mixed
Villous adenoma

Tumors of Müllerian type
Clear cell carcinoma
Endometrioid carcinoma

Neuroendocrine tumors
Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma
Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma
Well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumor
Paragangioma
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C. Histologic Grade

The grading system is identical to that for urinary bladder neoplasms. Flat intraepithelial lesions and papillary and invasive lesions are graded separately. There has been significant controversy in the classification of these lesions.\(^1\) Due to variable classification systems and the need for a universally acceptable system, the World Health Organization/International Society of Urological Pathology (WHO/ISUP) consensus classification was proposed.\(^2\) This system is utilized in the WHO 2004 classification,\(^3\) the 2004 Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP) fascicle,\(^4\) and 2016 WHO classification,\(^5\) and has been validated by many studies to be prognostically significant. Other systems (that were being used previously) may still be used according to institutional preference. Urothelial carcinomas of the renal pelvis tend to more often be high grade\(^6,7\) compared to urinary bladder carcinomas.

Flat and papillary urothelial hyperplasia has been renamed as "urothelial proliferation of uncertain malignant potential" in 2016 WHO classification.

Squamous carcinomas and adenocarcinomas may be graded as well differentiated, moderately differentiated, and poorly differentiated.
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D. Extent of Invasion

Depth of invasion and pathologic stage are the most important prognostic indicators for patients with neoplasms of the upper urinary tract.\(^1,2\) A critical role of the surgical pathologist is to diagnose the depth and extent of invasion into the subepithelial connective tissue/lamina propria (pT1), muscularis propria (pT2), or beyond (pT3 or pT4). The patterns of invasion are similar to the urinary bladder, except that for renal pelvis carcinoma, the type of tumor involvement of the kidney, when present, impacts stage. Also, it is important to note that the lamina propria is absent beneath the urothelium lining the renal papillae in the pelvis and is thin along the minor calyces.\(^3\) As in the urinary bladder, in papillary tumors, invasion occurs most often at the base of the tumor and very infrequently in the stalk. Tumor infiltrating the lamina propria is pT1, and, like the urinary bladder, there is no accepted approach for assessing depth of lamina propria invasion. However, pathologists are encouraged to provide some assessment as to the extent of lamina propria invasion (ie, focal versus extensive, or depth in millimeters, or by level – above, at, or below muscularis mucosae). Designation of a tumor as merely muscle-invasive is inappropriate, but the type of muscle invasion, ie, muscularis mucosae (pT1 tumors) versus muscularis propria...
(pT2 tumors) invasion, needs to be clearly stated. Descriptive terminology, such as “urothelial carcinoma with muscle invasion, indeterminate for type of muscle invasion,” may be used when it is not possible to be certain whether the type of muscle invaded by the tumor is hypertrophic muscularis mucosae or muscularis propria. For renal pelvic tumors, in-situ extension of carcinoma into renal collecting ducts and renal tubules does not affect stage, while carcinoma invading into the renal parenchyma is pT3. Renal pelvic carcinoma that invades through the kidney into perinephric fat is pT4. Patients with upper tract urothelial carcinoma often present at higher stage compared to patients with urinary bladder carcinoma.4,5
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E. Margins
Resection margins, including those mentioned in Note F, should be carefully specified. Statements about deep soft tissue margins should specify whether peritoneal surfaces are involved by tumor. In renal pelvis, ureter, and nephroureterectomy specimens, the margins may include radial hilar soft tissue margin; bladder cuff; and ureteral, renal parenchymal, and Gerota’s fascia margins, depending on the type of surgical specimen.

F. Lymphovascular Invasion
Urothelial carcinoma may invade blood vessels or lymphatic channels. This is an important prognostic factor in upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma.1,2,3 In suspicious cases, blood vessels can be highlighted by immunohistochemical staining for factor VIII-related antigen, CD31 or CD34. Staining can help resolve the problem of differentiating lymphatic versus artifactual space formation by tumor cells, a frequent finding seen in urothelial tumors invading the lamina propria. Retraction artifact is also prominent in the “micropapillary variant” of urothelial carcinoma.
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G. Lymph Nodes
Regional lymph nodes are not always submitted or identified in cases of resection,1 but evaluation of these nodes is important. Submit one section from each grossly positive lymph node. All other lymph nodes should be entirely submitted, as presence of nodal disease may be used as an indication for adjuvant therapy. Limited data indicate that the presence of extranodal extension may be clinically significant.

The regional lymph nodes for the renal pelvis are renal hilar, paracaval, aortic, and retroperitoneal. The regional lymph nodes for the ureter are renal hilar, iliac (common, internal [hypogastric], external), paracaval, periretereral, and pelvic.

Involvement of lymph nodes beyond the regional lymph nodes is considered distant metastasis (M1).
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H. Pathologic Stage Classification
The TNM Staging System for carcinomas of the ureter and renal pelvis of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union Against Cancer (UICC) is recommended.1

By AJCC convention, the designation “T” refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol “p” refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and is based on gross and microscopic examination. pT entails a resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, pN entails removal of nodes adequate to validate lymph node metastasis, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient or when pathologic classification is not possible.

Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (eg, when technically unfeasible) and if the highest T and N categories or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

Primary Tumor (T) (Figure 1)
The suffix “m” should be added to the appropriate T category to indicate multiple tumors. The suffix “is” may be added to any T to indicate the presence of associated carcinoma in situ.

![Figure 1. Depiction of pTa, pT1, pT2, and pT3.](image-url)
TNM Descriptors
For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the "m" suffix and "y" and "r" prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

The "m" suffix indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

The "y" prefix indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or following initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a "y" prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The "y" categorization is not an estimate of tumor prior to multimodality therapy (ie, before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

The "r" prefix indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval and is identified by the "r" prefix: rTNM.
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I. Pathologic Findings in Nonneoplastic Kidney
It is important to recognize that medical kidney diseases may be present in nonneoplastic renal tissue in nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy specimens. Arterionephrosclerosis (or hypertensive nephropathy) and diabetic nephropathy are seen in approximately 30% and 20% of cases, respectively. Other medical renal diseases that have been identified include thrombotic microangiopathy, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, and IgA nephropathy. The findings of greater than 20% global glomerulosclerosis or advanced diffuse diabetic glomerulosclerosis are predictive of significant decline in renal function 6 months after radical nephrectomy. Evaluation for medical renal disease should be performed in each case; PAS and/or Jones methenamine silver stains should applied if necessary. Consultation with a nephropathologist should be pursued as needed.

However, no studies have specifically measured peritumoral-related changes in the renal cortex. Some tumors have no peritumoral changes. Oncocytoma is the best example. While some large tumors often have a large zone of peritumoral changes compared with smaller tumors. The pseudocapsule may contain sclerotic glomeruli, tubular atrophy and show fibrointimal thickening of arteries, followed by a zone of several millimeters of acute tubular injury, none of which is representative of the cortex elsewhere. A judgement whether the amount of nonneoplastic renal parenchyma is sufficient for evaluation of medical kidney diseases should be made on a case by case basis. Two studies have used 1 mm to 5 mm as the cut-off for insufficient renal parenchyma; 5 mm of nonneoplastic renal parenchyma is a reasonable recommendation.
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