

Protocol for the Examination of Specimens From Patients With Sarcoma of the Uterus

Protocol applies to sarcomas of the uterus.

Based on AJCC/UICC TNM, 7th edition and FIGO 2009 Annual Report

Protocol web posting date: December 2013

Procedures

- Hysterectomy
- Myomectomy

Authors

Christopher N. Otis, MD

Department of Pathology, Baystate Medical Center (Tufts University School of Medicine),
Springfield, Massachusetts

Areli Cuevas Ocampo, MD

Department of Pathology, Baystate Medical Center (Tufts University School of Medicine),
Springfield, Massachusetts

Marisa R. Nucci, MD

Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

W. Glenn McCluggage, MD

Department of Pathology, Belfast Health and Social Care Trust, Belfast, United Kingdom

For the Members of the Cancer Committee, College of American Pathologists

© 2013 College of American Pathologists (CAP). All rights reserved.

The College does not permit reproduction of any substantial portion of these protocols without its written authorization. The College hereby authorizes use of these protocols by physicians and other health care providers in reporting on surgical specimens, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for nonprofit purposes. This authorization does not extend to reproduction or other use of any substantial portion of these protocols for commercial purposes without the written consent of the College.

The CAP also authorizes physicians and other health care practitioners to make modified versions of the Protocols solely for their individual use in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, teaching, and carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes.

The CAP further authorizes the following uses by physicians and other health care practitioners, in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes: (1) **Dictation** from the original or modified protocols for the purposes of creating a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (2) **Copying** from the original or modified protocols into a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (3) The use of a **computerized system** for items (1) and (2), provided that the Protocol data is stored intact as a single text-based document, and is not stored as multiple discrete data fields.

Other than uses (1), (2), and (3) above, the CAP does not authorize any use of the Protocols in electronic medical records systems, pathology informatics systems, cancer registry computer systems, computerized databases, mappings between coding works, or any computerized system without a written license from the CAP.

Any public dissemination of the original or modified Protocols is prohibited without a written license from the CAP.

The College of American Pathologists offers these protocols to assist pathologists in providing clinically useful and relevant information when reporting results of surgical specimen examinations of surgical specimens. The College regards the reporting elements in the "Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary" portion of the protocols as essential elements of the pathology report. However, the manner in which these elements are reported is at the discretion of each specific pathologist, taking into account clinician preferences, institutional policies, and individual practice.

The College developed these protocols as an educational tool to assist pathologists in the useful reporting of relevant information. It did not issue the protocols for use in litigation, reimbursement, or other contexts. Nevertheless, the College recognizes that the protocols might be used by hospitals, attorneys, payers, and others. Indeed, effective January 1, 2004, the Commission on Cancer of the American College of Surgeons mandated the use of the data elements of the protocols as part of its Cancer Program Standards for Approved Cancer Programs. Therefore, it becomes even more important for pathologists to familiarize themselves with these documents. At the same time, the College cautions that use of the protocols other than for their intended educational purpose may involve additional considerations that are beyond the scope of this document.

The inclusion of a product name or service in a CAP publication should not be construed as an endorsement of such product or service, nor is failure to include the name of a product or service to be construed as disapproval.

CAP Uterine Sarcoma

Version Code

The definition of the version code can be found at www.cap.org/cancerprotocols.

Version: Uterine Sarcoma 3.0.0.0

Summary of Changes

This is a new protocol.

Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

Protocol web posting date: December 2013

UTERUS: Hysterectomy and Myomectomy, With or Without Other Organs or Tissues

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Specimen (select all that apply)

- Uterine corpus
- Cervix
- Right ovary
- Left ovary
- Right fallopian tube
- Left fallopian tube
- Left parametrium
- Right parametrium
- Peritoneum
- Vaginal cuff
- Omentum
- Other (specify): _____
- Not specified

Procedure (select all that apply)

- Supracervical hysterectomy
- Simple hysterectomy
- Radical hysterectomy
- Myomectomy
- Right oophorectomy
- Left oophorectomy
- Right salpingectomy
- Left salpingectomy
- Right salpingo-oophorectomy
- Left salpingo-oophorectomy
- Omentectomy
- Peritoneal biopsies
- Other (specify): _____
- Not specified

Lymph Node Sampling (select all that apply)

- Performed
 - Pelvic lymph nodes
 - Paraaortic lymph nodes
 - Other (specify): _____
- Not performed
- Not known

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Specimen Integrity

- Hysterectomy specimen (intact)
- Hysterectomy specimen without cervix
- Morcellated hysterectomy specimen
- Myomectomy (intact)
- Morcellated myomectomy specimen
- Other (specify): _____

Tumor Site

- Fundus
- Lower uterine segment/isthmus
- Cervix
- Other (specify): _____

Tumor Size

- Greatest dimension: ___ cm
- + Additional dimensions: ___ x ___ cm
- Cannot be determined

Histologic Type (select all that apply) (Notes B, C, D)

- Leiomyosarcoma
- Low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma#
- Low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma with:
 - Smooth muscle differentiation
 - Sex cord elements
 - Glandular elements
 - Other (specify): _____
- High-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma
- Undifferentiated uterine/endometrial sarcoma
- Adenosarcoma
- Adenosarcoma with:
 - Rhabdomyoblastic differentiation
 - Cartilagenous differentiation
 - Osseous differentiation
 - Other heterologous element (specify): _____
- Adenosarcoma with sarcomatous overgrowth
- Other (specify): _____

Low-grade endometrial sarcoma is distinguished from benign endometrial stromal nodule by infiltration into the surrounding myometrium and/or lymphovascular invasion. Minor marginal irregularity in the form of tongues <3 mm (up to 3) is allowable for an endometrial stromal nodule. This protocol does not apply to endometrial stromal nodule.

Histologic Grade

Leiomyosarcoma (Note D)

- Not applicable

Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma (Note C)

- Low grade
- High grade
- Cannot be assessed

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Adenosarcoma (Note B) (select all that apply)

- Low grade
 High grade
 With sarcomatous overgrowth
 Cannot be assessed

Myometrial Invasion (only for adenosarcoma)

- Cannot be determined (explain): _____
 Tumor is limited to the endometrium or cervical surface without myometrial invasion
 Tumor invades less than or equal to 50% ($\leq 50\%$) total myometrial thickness
 Tumor invades greater than 50% ($> 50\%$) total myometrial thickness

Involvement of Cervix

- Cannot be determined
 Not involved
 Tumor involves the glandular surface of the cervix only
 Tumor invades the cervical stromal connective tissue

Extent of Involvement of Other Organs (select all that apply)

- Not applicable
 Right ovary
 - Involved
 - Not involved Left ovary
 - Involved
 - Not involved Right fallopian tube
 - Involved
 - Not involved Left fallopian tube
 - Involved
 - Not involved Vaginal cuff
 - Involved
 - Not involved Right parametrium
 - Involved
 - Not involved Left parametrium
 - Involved
 - Not involved Omentum
 - Involved
 - Not involved Other (specify): _____

Margins

- ___ Cannot be assessed
 ___ Uninvolved by sarcoma
 + Distance of sarcoma from closest margin: ___ mm
 + Specify margin: _____
 ___ Involved by sarcoma
 Specify margin(s): _____

Lymph-Vascular Invasion

- ___ Not identified
 ___ Present
 ___ Indeterminate

+ Peritoneal Ascitic Fluid

- + ___ Negative for malignancy
 + ___ Atypical and/or suspicious (explain): _____
 + ___ Malignant (positive for malignancy)
 + ___ Unsatisfactory/nondiagnostic (explain): _____

+ Peritoneal Washing

- + ___ Negative for malignancy
 + ___ Atypical and/or suspicious (explain): _____
 + ___ Malignant (positive for malignancy)
 + ___ Unsatisfactory/nondiagnostic (explain): _____

Lymph Nodes

- ___ No nodes submitted or found
 Right pelvic lymph nodes:
 Number examined: ___
 ___ Number cannot be determined (explain): _____
 Number involved: ___
 ___ Number cannot be determined (explain): _____
 Left pelvic lymph nodes:
 Number examined: ___
 ___ Number cannot be determined (explain): _____
 Number involved: ___
 ___ Number cannot be determined (explain): _____
 Paraaortic lymph nodes:
 Number examined: ___
 ___ Number cannot be determined (explain): _____
 Number involved: ___
 ___ Number cannot be determined (explain): _____
 Lymph nodes (other, specify): _____
 Number examined: ___
 ___ Number cannot be determined (explain): _____
 Number involved: ___
 ___ Number cannot be determined (explain): _____

Pathologic Staging (pTNM [FIGO])TNM Descriptors (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)

- m (multiple primary tumors)
 r (recurrent)
 y (posttreatment)

Leiomyosarcoma, Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma, and Undifferentiated Endometrial Sarcoma/Uterine SarcomaPrimary Tumor (pT)

- pTX [--]: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
 pT0 [--]: No evidence of primary tumor
 pT1 [I]: Tumor is limited to the uterus
 pT1a [IA]: Tumor is 5 cm or less (≤ 5 cm) in greatest dimension
 pT1b [IB]: Tumor is greater than 5 cm (> 5 cm) in greatest dimension
 pT2 [II]: Tumor extends beyond the uterus, but is within the pelvis (tumor extends to extrauterine pelvic tissue)
 pT2a [IIA]: Tumor involves the adnexa
 pT2b [IIB]: Tumor involves other pelvic tissue
 pT3 [III]: Tumor invades abdominal tissues (not just protruding into the abdomen)
 pT3a [IIIA]: Tumor invades abdominal tissues at one site
 pT3b [IIIB]: Tumor invades abdominal tissues at more than one site
 pT4 [IVA]: Tumor invades bladder mucosa and/or rectum

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)

- pNX: Cannot be assessed
 pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis
 pN1 [IIIC]: Regional lymph node metastasis to pelvic lymph nodes

Distant Metastasis (pM)

- Not applicable
 pM1 [IVB]: Distant metastasis (excluding adnexa, pelvic and abdominal tissues)
 Specify site(s), if known: _____

AdenosarcomaPrimary Tumor (pT)

- pTX [--]: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
 pT0 [--]: No evidence of primary tumor
 pT1 [I]: Tumor is limited to the uterus
 pT1a [IA]: Tumor is limited to the endometrium/endocervix without myometrial invasion
 pT1b [IB]: Tumor invades less than or equal to 50% ($\leq 50\%$) total myometrial thickness
 pT1c [IC]: Tumor invades greater than 50% ($> 50\%$) total myometrial thickness
 pT2 [II]: Tumor extends beyond the uterus, but is within the pelvis (tumor extends to extrauterine pelvic tissue)
 pT2a [IIA]: Tumor involves the adnexa
 pT2b [IIB]: Tumor involves other pelvic tissue
 pT3 [III]: Tumor invades abdominal tissues (not just protruding into the abdomen)
 pT3a [IIIA]: Tumor invades abdominal tissues at one site
 pT3b [IIIB]: Tumor invades abdominal tissues at more than one site
 pT4 [IVA]: Tumor invades bladder mucosa and/or rectum

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)

- pNX: Cannot be assessed
- pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis
- pN1 [IIC]: Regional lymph node metastasis to pelvic lymph nodes

Distant Metastasis (pM)

- Not applicable
- pM1 [IVB]: Distant metastasis (excluding adnexa, pelvic and abdominal tissues)
Specify site(s), if known: _____

+ Ancillary Studies

- + Specify: _____
- + Not performed

+ Comment(s)

Explanatory Notes

A. Carcinosarcoma

Carcinosarcoma (malignant mixed müllerian tumor) is excluded from the uterine sarcoma diagnostic category as it is considered in tumors of the endometrial epithelium.

B. Adenosarcoma

According to World Health Organization (WHO) criteria, mitotic activity in the mesenchymal component in excess of 2 or more per 10 high-power fields (HPFs) is required for a diagnosis of adenosarcoma, but others use a cut-off of 4 per 10 HPFs.¹⁻⁵ However, given the multiple and well-known problems associated with counting mitotic figures and the fact that the number of mitoses may be variable from area to area, in practice, if the characteristic leaf-like architecture of adenosarcoma is present with periglandular cuffing resulting in a cambium layer, a diagnosis of adenosarcoma should be strongly considered with mitotic counts <2 per 10 HPFs or even in the absence of mitotic figures. In adenosarcomas without sarcomatous overgrowth, it is recommended to record on the pathology report whether the stromal component is morphologically "low grade" or "high grade." Even though there are no studies showing that this is of prognostic significance, anecdotal evidence suggests that even a small focus of "high-grade" sarcoma may result in an adverse behavior. It is suggested that the parameter of nuclear atypia be used to distinguish between low grade and high grade. In low-grade neoplasms, the atypia should be akin to that seen in low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. Sarcomatous overgrowth in adenosarcoma is defined as the presence of pure sarcoma, usually high grade and without an epithelial component, occupying at least 25% of the tumor.⁶

Adenosarcomas rarely exhibit lymphovascular invasion unless associated with deep myometrial invasion or sarcomatous overgrowth.

The depth of myometrial invasion is important in the substaging of stage I adenosarcomas (tumor confined to the uterus).⁷ Stage IA tumors are limited to the endometrium or endocervix with no myometrial involvement, stage IB equates to less than or half of myometrial invasion and stage IC equates to more than one half myometrial invasion. This staging system is similar to the 1988 FIGO staging system for carcinomas of the uterine corpus. Since low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma and leiomyosarcoma are predominantly myometrial-based lesions, myometrial invasion per se is not used in the staging of these neoplasms

In most adenosarcomas with a low-grade stromal component without sarcomatous overgrowth, the stromal element expresses estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PgR), CD10, and WT1, is negative ("wild-type") with p53 and exhibits a low MIB1 proliferation index.^{2,4} Thus, the immunophenotype resembles that of low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. Smooth muscle actin and desmin may also be positive. In areas of high-grade sarcoma and of sarcomatous overgrowth, the mesenchymal component exhibits a higher MIB1 proliferation index and may be p53 positive/aberrant. There is usually loss of expression of the cell differentiation markers ER, PgR and CD10, the immunophenotype being similar to that of an undifferentiated sarcoma. Rhabdomyosarcomatous elements in adenosarcomas express desmin and sometimes the skeletal muscle markers myogenin and myoD1. Sex cord-like elements may express inhibin and calretinin.

C. Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma

Even though in the past endometrial stromal sarcomas (ESS) were classified as low grade (LG) and high grade (HG) based on mitotic activity, the largest and most comprehensive review of these tumors by Chang and colleagues in 1990 showed that mitotic activity was not predictive of outcome in stage I tumors⁸. Thus, the diagnosis of HG-ESS was discouraged in those tumors that resemble proliferative-phase endometrial stroma but in which the mitotic index exceeded 10 per 10 HPF. Currently many expert gynecologic pathologists, without any proven basis outside of personal experience, make the

diagnosis of HG-ESS when there is a transition from high-grade undifferentiated sarcoma to areas that can be recognized as conventional LG-ESS.⁹ However, recently, a subset of cases previously diagnosed as HG-ESSs has been histologically and genetically defined by Lee, Nucci and colleagues.^{10,11} In these tumors, the high-grade areas are characterized by cells with a round cell-epithelioid appearance and high-grade cytologic features which often are associated with areas that have the appearance of the fibroblastic variant of low-grade conventional ESS.¹⁰ These tumors have been shown to have a novel genetic fusion between *YWHAE* and *FAM22A/B* and harbor t(10;17)(q22;p13). The high-grade areas of the tumor express cyclin D1 but lose CD10, ER, and PgR expression (in contrast to the conventional low-grade areas) consistent with a high-grade sarcoma.¹⁰ It is important to recognize these tumors as they have an intermediate prognosis between LG-ESS and undifferentiated uterine sarcoma (UUS) and appear not to respond to the usual treatment for low-grade ESS.

Low-grade ESS, high-grade ESS and UUS all exist and should be separately diagnosed, although UUS should be a diagnosis of exclusion (leiomyosarcomas and other high-grade sarcomas, for example rhabdomyosarcoma, should be excluded). Molecular testing is diagnostically unnecessary in conventional ESS and in USS, but is useful in confirming the diagnosis of HG-ESS in tumors with a round cell-epithelioid appearance that can be associated with areas that have the appearance of the fibroblastic variant of conventional LG-ESS.

D. Leiomyosarcoma

By definition, uterine leiomyosarcoma (LMS) is a highly malignant neoplasm with survival rates depending upon the extent of spread. For tumors confined to the uterine corpus, size plays a significant role in prognosis. Despite differences in survival rates, it is clear that stage is a significant factor related to outcome. Histologic grade, however, has not been consistently identified as a significant prognostic parameter.¹² The utility of grading uterine LMS is controversial and no universally accepted grading system exist.⁵ In 2011, Veras et al¹³ tried to characterize "low-grade uterine leiomyosarcomas" as a clinicopathological entity but came to the conclusion that this can be diagnosed only retrospectively at present.¹³ Furthermore, when the Stanford criteria are strictly applied, all tumors classified as leiomyosarcomas, should be regarded intrinsically as high grade.^{13,14}

Conventional uterine LMS is a cellular tumor composed of fascicles of spindle-shaped cells exhibiting smooth muscle differentiation with moderate to severe pleomorphism. Usually coagulative tumor cell necrosis (CTCN) is present and mitoses exceed 10-15/10 HPF.¹⁴ Two LMS subtypes included in the WHO classification deserve special attention as their pathologic features differ from those of ordinary spindle cell LMS. Epithelioid leiomyosarcoma (E-LMS) is composed predominantly of round or polygonal cells with eosinophilic to clear cytoplasm exhibiting nested, plexiform or corded growth patterns. Nuclear atypia may be only mild and necrosis may be absent. Mitotic rate is generally $\leq 3/10$ HPF and most tumors infiltrate adjacent myometrium. Myxoid leiomyosarcoma (M-LMS) may be grossly gelatinous, microscopically hypocellular with a predominant myxoid stroma and often has a low mitotic rate. In the absence of severe cytologic atypia and high mitotic activity, both epithelioid and myxoid LMS are diagnosed as sarcomas based on their infiltrative borders.¹²

Ancillary Studies in the Differential Diagnosis

Immunoreactivity for smooth muscle actin, muscle specific actin, calponin, desmin, h-caldesmon and heavy chain smooth muscle myosin are commonly seen in uterine LMS. Desmin expression may be focal.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Similarly, E-LMS and M-LMS may demonstrate lesser degrees of immunoreactivity for these markers. Cell cycle related markers Ki-67, p53, and p16 are usually overexpressed in LMS compared to leiomyoma.¹⁸ Cytokeratins and EMA may be focally positive in LMS, especially in the epithelioid variant.

E. Undifferentiated Uterine/Endometrial Sarcoma

Undifferentiated uterine/endometrial sarcoma (UUS) is a high-grade sarcoma that lacks specific differentiation. Histopathologically these tumors show marked cellular pleomorphism and abundant

mitotic activity with atypical forms. They lack the typical growth pattern and vascularity of low-grade ESS and displace the myometrium in contrast to the infiltrative pattern of low-grade ESS. They often resemble the sarcomatous component of a carcinosarcoma. These sarcomas are most often aneuploid with an S-phase fraction greater than 10%, and are negative for ER and PgR,¹⁰ Nucci et al proposed that high-grade ESS with the novel fusion gene YWHAE-FAM22 should be distinguished from undifferentiated uterine/endometrial sarcoma.

F. Other

Other differential diagnostic considerations included in spindle/sarcomatous lesions primary to the uterus include perivascular epithelioid cell tumor (PEComa). PEComa belongs to a group of tumors characterized by both melanocytic and smooth muscle differentiation, and should be recognized separately from smooth muscle tumors.¹⁹⁻²¹

The TNM staging system for uterine sarcoma endorsed by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the parallel system formulated by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) are recommended, as shown below.

According to AJCC/International Union Against Cancer (UICC) convention, the designation "T" refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol "p" refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and is based on gross and microscopic examination. pT entails a resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, pN entails removal of nodes adequate to validate lymph node metastasis, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient or when pathologic classification is not possible.

Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (eg, when technically infeasible) and if the highest T and N categories or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

It is important to note that in uterine sarcoma, as in cancer of other organs, the validity of T stage depends upon the adequacy and completeness of the surgical staging.

TNM Classification and FIGO Staging System for Leiomyosarcoma, Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma and Undifferentiated Uterine Sarcoma

TNM Category	FIGO Stage	Definition
<u>Primary Tumor</u>		
pTX	[--]:	Primary tumor cannot be assessed
pT0	[--]:	No evidence of primary tumor
pT1	[I]:	Tumor is limited to the uterus
pT1a	[IA]:	Tumor is 5 cm or less (≤ 5 cm) in greatest dimension
pT1b	[IB]:	Tumor is greater than 5 cm (> 5 cm) in greatest dimension
pT2	[II]:	Tumor extends beyond the uterus, but is within the pelvis (tumor extends to extrauterine pelvic tissue)
pT2a	[IIA]:	Tumor involves the adnexa
pT2b	[IIB]:	Tumor involves other pelvic tissue

pT3	[III]: Tumor invades abdominal tissues (not just protruding into the abdomen)
pT3a	[IIIA]: Tumor invades abdominal tissues at one site
pT3b	[IIIB]: Tumor invades abdominal tissues at more than one site
pT4	[IVA]: Tumor invades bladder mucosa and/or rectum

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)#

pNX:	Cannot be assessed
pN0:	No regional lymph node metastasis
pN1	[IIIC]: Regional lymph node metastasis to pelvic lymph nodes

Regional lymph nodes include the pelvic, obturator, internal iliac (hypogastric), external iliac, common iliac, paraaortic, presacral, and parametrial lymph nodes.

Distant Metastasis (pM)

pM0	No distant metastasis (no pathologic M0; use clinical M to complete stage group)
pM1	[IVB]: Distant metastasis (excluding adnexa, pelvic and abdominal tissues)

Adenosarcoma

TNM	FIGO
<u>Category</u>	<u>Stage</u> <u>Definition</u>

Primary Tumor

pTX	[--]:	Primary tumor cannot be assessed
pT0	[--]:	No evidence of primary tumor
pT1	[I]:	Tumor is limited to the uterus
pT1a	[IA]:	Tumor is limited to the endometrium/endocervix without myometrial invasion
pT1b	[IB]:	Tumor invades less than or equal to 50% ($\leq 50\%$) total myometrial thickness
pT1c	[IC]:	Tumor invades greater than 50% ($> 50\%$) total myometrial thickness
pT2	[II]:	Tumor extends beyond the uterus, but is within the pelvis (tumor extends to extrauterine pelvic tissue)
pT2a	[IIA]:	Tumor involves the adnexa
pT2b	[IIB]:	Tumor involves other pelvic tissue
pT3	[III]:	Tumor invades abdominal tissues (not just protruding into the abdomen)
pT3a	[IIIA]:	Tumor invades abdominal tissues at one site
pT3b	[IIIB]:	Tumor invades abdominal tissues at more than one site
pT4	[IVA]:	Tumor invades bladder mucosa and/or rectum

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)#

pNX:	Cannot be assessed
pN0:	No regional lymph node metastasis
pN1	[IIIC]: Regional lymph node metastasis to pelvic lymph nodes

Regional lymph nodes include the pelvic, obturator, internal iliac (hypogastric), external iliac, common iliac, paraaortic, presacral, and parametrial lymph nodes.

Distant Metastasis (pM)

pM0	No distant metastasis (no pathologic M0; use clinical M to complete stage group)
pM1	[IVB]: Distant metastasis (excluding adnexa, pelvic and abdominal tissues)

TNM Stage Groupings

Stage 0	Tis	N0	M0
---------	-----	----	----

Stage IA [#]	T1a	N0	M0
Stage IB [#]	T1b	N0	M0
Stage IC ^{##}	T1c	N0	M0
Stage II	T2	N0	M0
Stage IIIA	T3a	N0	M0
Stage IIIB	T3b	N0	M0
Stage IIIC	T1-T3	N1	M0
Stage IVA	T4	Any N	M0
Stage IVB	Any T	Any N	M1

[#] Stage IA and IB for adenosarcoma differ from those applied to leiomyosarcoma and endometrial stromal sarcoma

^{##} Stage IC does not apply for leiomyosarcoma and endometrial stromal sarcoma.

TNM Descriptors

For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the “m” suffix and “y,” “r,” and “a” prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

The “m” suffix indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

The “y” prefix indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or after initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a “y” prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The “y” categorization is not an estimate of tumor before multimodality therapy (ie, before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

The “r” prefix indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval and is identified by the “r” prefix: rTNM.

The “a” prefix designates the stage determined at autopsy: aTNM.

Additional Descriptors

Residual Tumor (R)

Tumor remaining in a patient after therapy with curative intent (eg, surgical resection for cure) is categorized by a system known as R classification, shown below.

RX	Presence of residual tumor cannot be assessed
R0	No residual tumor
R1	Microscopic residual tumor
R2	Macroscopic residual tumor

For the surgeon, the R classification may be useful to indicate the known or assumed status of the completeness of a surgical excision. For the pathologist, the R classification is relevant to the status of the margins of a surgical resection specimen. That is, tumor involving the resection margin on pathologic examination may be assumed to correspond to residual tumor in the patient and may be classified as macroscopic or microscopic according to the findings at the specimen margin(s).

References

1. Clement PB, Scully RE. Mullerian adenosarcoma of the uterus: a clinicopathologic analysis of 100 cases with a review of the literature. *Hum Pathol.* 1990;21:363-381.
2. Gallardo A, Prat J. Mullerian adenosarcoma: a clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical study of 55 cases challenging the existence of adenofibroma. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2009;33:278-288.
3. McCluggage WG. Mullerian adenosarcoma of the female genital tract. *Adv Anat Pathol.* 2010;17:122-129.
4. Soslow RA, Ali A, Oliva E. Mullerian adenosarcomas: an immunophenotypic analysis of 35 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2008;32:1013-1021.
5. Tavassoli FA, Devilee P. *World Health Organization Classification of Tumors, Pathology and Genetics. Tumours of the Breast and Female Genital Organs.* Lyon, France: IARC Press, 2003.
6. Clement PB. Mullerian adenosarcomas of the uterus with sarcomatous overgrowth. A clinicopathological analysis of 10 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1989;13:28-38.
7. Prat J. FIGO staging for uterine sarcomas. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2009;104:177-178.
8. Chang KL, Crabtree GS, Lim-Tan SK, Kempson RL, Hendrickson MR. Primary uterine endometrial stromal neoplasms. A clinicopathologic study of 117 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1990;14:415-438.
9. Ohta Y, Suzuki T, Omatsu M, et al. Transition from low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma to high-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2010;29:374-377.
10. Lee CH, Marino-Enriquez A, Ou W, et al. The clinicopathologic features of YWHAЕ-FAM22 endometrial stromal sarcomas: a histologically high-grade and clinically aggressive tumor. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2012;36:641-653.
11. Lee CH, Ou WB, Marino-Enriquez A, et al. 14-3-3 fusion oncogenes in high-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2012;109:929-934.
12. Nucci MR. Tumors of the female genital tract, part d myometrium. In: Fletcher C, ed. *Diagnostic Histopathology of Tumors.* Philadelphia, PA: Churcill Livingstone Elsevier; 2007:683-696.
13. Veras E, Zivanovic O, Jacks L, Chiappetta D, Hensley M, Soslow R. "Low-grade leiomyosarcoma" and late-recurring smooth muscle tumors of the uterus: a heterogenous collection of frequently misdiagnosed tumors associated with an overall favorable prognosis relative to conventional uterine leiomyosarcomas. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2011;35:1626-1637.
14. Bell SW, Kempson RL, Hendrickson MR. Problematic uterine smooth muscle neoplasms: a clinicopathologic study of 213 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1994;18:535-558.
15. D'Angelo E, Spagnoli LG, Prat J. Comparative clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical analysis of uterine sarcomas diagnosed using the World Health Organization classification system. *Hum Pathol.* 2009;40:1571-1585.
16. Nucci MR, Harburger D, Koontz J, Dal Cin P, Sklar J. Molecular analysis of the JAZF1-JJAZ1 gene fusion by RT-PCR and fluorescence in situ hybridization in endometrial stromal neoplasms. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2007;31:65-70.
17. Oliva E, Young RH, Amin MB, Clement PB. An immunohistochemical analysis of endometrial stromal and smooth muscle tumors of the uterus: a study of 54 cases emphasizing the importance of using a panel because of overlap in immunoreactivity for individual antibodies. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2002;26:403-412.
18. Chen L, Yang B. Immunohistochemical analysis of p16, p53, and Ki-67 expression in uterine smooth muscle tumors. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2008;27:326-332.
19. Argani P, Aulmann S, Illei PB, et al. A distinctive subset of PEComas harbors TFE3 gene fusions. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2010;34:1395-1406.
20. Folpe AL, Mentzel T, Lehr HA, Fisher C, Balzer BL, Weiss SW. Perivascular epithelioid cell neoplasms of soft tissue and gynecologic origin: a clinicopathologic study of 26 cases and review of the literature. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2005;29:1558-1575.
21. Hornick JL, Fletcher CD. PEComa: what do we know so far? *Histopathology.* 2006;48:75-82.

Bibliography

- Chiang S, Ali R, Melnyk N, et al. Frequency of known gene rearrangements in endometrial stromal tumors. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2011;35:1364-1372.
- Chiang S, Oliva E. Cytogenetic and molecular aberrations in endometrial stromal tumors. *Hum Pathol*. 2011;42:609-617.
- Hrzenjak A, Moinfar F, Tavassoli FA, et al. JAZF1/JJAZ1 gene fusion in endometrial stromal sarcomas: molecular analysis by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction optimized for paraffin-embedded tissue. *J Mol Diagn*. 2005;7:388-395.
- Huang HY, Ladanyi M, Soslow RA. Molecular detection of JAZF1-JJAZ1 gene fusion in endometrial stromal neoplasms with classic and variant histology: evidence for genetic heterogeneity. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2004;28:224-232.
- Koontz JI, Soreng AL, Nucci M, et al. Frequent fusion of the JAZF1 and JJAZ1 genes in endometrial stromal tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98:6348-6353.
- Kurihara S, Oda Y, Ohishi Y, et al. Endometrial stromal sarcomas and related high-grade sarcomas: immunohistochemical and molecular genetic study of 31 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2008;32:1228-1238.
- Micci F, Panagopoulos I, Bjerkehagen B, Heim S. Consistent rearrangement of chromosomal band 6p21 with generation of fusion genes JAZF1/PHF1 and EPC1/PHF1 in endometrial stromal sarcoma. *Cancer Res*. 2006;66:107-112.
- Micci F, Walter CU, Teixeira MR, et al. Cytogenetic and molecular genetic analyses of endometrial stromal sarcoma: nonrandom involvement of chromosome arms 6p and 7p and confirmation of JAZF1/JJAZ1 gene fusion in t(7;17). *Cancer Genet Cytogenet*. 2003;144:119-124.
- Nucci MR, Harburger D, Koontz J, Dal Cin P, Sklar J. Molecular analysis of the JAZF1-JJAZ1 gene fusion by RT-PCR and fluorescence in situ hybridization in endometrial stromal neoplasms. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2007;31:65-70.
- Nucci MR, O'Connell JT, Huettner PC, Cviko A, Sun D, Quade BJ. h-Caldesmon expression effectively distinguishes endometrial stromal tumors from uterine smooth muscle tumors. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2001;25:455-463.
- Oliva E, de Leval L, Soslow RA, Herens C. High frequency of JAZF1-JJAZ1 gene fusion in endometrial stromal tumors with smooth muscle differentiation by interphase FISH detection. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2007;31:1277-1284.
- Oliva E, Young RH, Amin MB, Clement PB. An immunohistochemical analysis of endometrial stromal and smooth muscle tumors of the uterus: a study of 54 cases emphasizing the importance of using a panel because of overlap in immunoreactivity for individual antibodies. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2002;26:403-412.
- Yilmaz A, Rush DS, Soslow RA. Endometrial stromal sarcomas with unusual histologic features: a report of 24 primary and metastatic tumors emphasizing fibroblastic and smooth muscle differentiation. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2002;26:1142-1150.