

# Protocol for the Examination of Specimens From Patients With Carcinoma of the Vagina

Protocol applies to all invasive carcinomas of the vagina.

Based on AJCC/UICC TNM, 7th edition, and FIGO 2006 Annual Report  
Protocol web posting date: December 2013

## Procedures

- Biopsy
- Excisional biopsy
- Vaginectomy
- Radical Vaginectomy

## Authors

Dina H. Kandil, MD, FCAP\*

Department of Pathology, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont

Philip A. Branton, MD

Department of Pathology, Inova Fairfax Hospital, Fairfax, Virginia

Anthony Montag, MD

Department of Pathology, University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois

Esther Oliva, MD

Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

Kumarasen Cooper, MBChB, DPhil, FRCPath†

Department of Pathology, Fletcher Allen Health Care, University of Vermont College of  
Medicine, Burlington, Vermont

For the Members of the Cancer Committee, College of American Pathologists

\* Denotes primary author. † Denotes senior author. All other contributing authors are listed alphabetically.

**Previous lead contributors:** Arthur L. Herbst, MD; Esther Oliva, MD; Patricia M Baker, MD; Robert J. Kurman, MD;  
Robert E. Scully, MD

© 2013 College of American Pathologists (CAP). All rights reserved.

The College does not permit reproduction of any substantial portion of these protocols without its written authorization. The College hereby authorizes use of these protocols by physicians and other health care providers in reporting on surgical specimens, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for nonprofit purposes. This authorization does not extend to reproduction or other use of any substantial portion of these protocols for commercial purposes without the written consent of the College.

The CAP also authorizes physicians and other health care practitioners to make modified versions of the Protocols solely for their individual use in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, teaching, and carrying out medical research for nonprofit purposes.

The CAP further authorizes the following uses by physicians and other health care practitioners, in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes: (1) **Dictation** from the original or modified protocols for the purposes of creating a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (2) **Copying** from the original or modified protocols into a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (3) The use of a **computerized system** for items (1) and (2), provided that the protocol data is stored intact as a single text-based document, and is not stored as multiple discrete data fields.

Other than uses (1), (2), and (3) above, the CAP does not authorize any use of the protocols in electronic medical records systems, pathology informatics systems, cancer registry computer systems, computerized databases, mappings between coding works, or any computerized system without a written license from the CAP.

Any public dissemination of the original or modified protocols is prohibited without a written license from the CAP.

The College of American Pathologists offers these protocols to assist pathologists in providing clinically useful and relevant information when reporting results of surgical specimen examinations of surgical specimens. The College regards the reporting elements in the "Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary" portion of the protocols as essential elements of the pathology report. However, the manner in which these elements are reported is at the discretion of each specific pathologist, taking into account clinician preferences, institutional policies, and individual practice.

The College developed these protocols as an educational tool to assist pathologists in the useful reporting of relevant information. It did not issue the protocols for use in litigation, reimbursement, or other contexts. Nevertheless, the College recognizes that the protocols might be used by hospitals, attorneys, payers, and others. Indeed, effective January 1, 2004, the Commission on Cancer of the American College of Surgeons mandated the use of the required data elements of the protocols as part of its Cancer Program Standards for Approved Cancer Programs. Therefore, it becomes even more important for pathologists to familiarize themselves with these documents. At the same time, the College cautions that use of the protocols other than for their intended educational purpose may involve additional considerations that are beyond the scope of this document.

The inclusion of a product name or service in a CAP publication should not be construed as an endorsement of such product or service, nor is failure to include the name of a product or service to be construed as disapproval.

## CAP Vagina Protocol Revision History

---

### Version Code

The definition of the version code can be found at [www.cap.org/cancerprotocols](http://www.cap.org/cancerprotocols).

**Version:** Vagina 3.1.0.2

### Summary of Changes

The following changes have been made since the June 2012 release.

### Explanatory Notes

#### I. TNM and FIGO Stage Groupings

##### **Regional Lymph Nodes: Isolated Tumor Cells**

“N1” was changed to “N0(i+)” in the last sentence, as follows:

There is currently no guidance in the literature as to how these patients should be coded; until further studies are available, they should be coded as “N0(i+)” with a comment noting how the cells were identified.

## Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

---

Protocol web posting date: December 2013

### VAGINA: Biopsy

**Note:** Use of case summary for biopsy specimens is optional.

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

#### + Procedure (Notes A through D)

- +  Incisional biopsy
- +  Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_
- +  Not specified

#### + Tumor Site

- +  Upper third
- +  Middle third
- +  Lower third
- +  Not specified

#### + Histologic Type (select all that apply) (Note E)

- +  Squamous cell carcinoma
  - +  Keratinizing
  - +  Nonkeratinizing
  - +  Basaloid
  - +  Verrucous
  - +  Warty
  - +  Not otherwise specified
- +  Adenocarcinoma
  - +  Clear cell
  - +  Mucinous
  - +  Endometrioid
  - +  Mesonephric
  - +  Intestinal type
  - +  Not otherwise specified
- +  Adenosquamous carcinoma
- +  Undifferentiated carcinoma
- + Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

#### + Histologic Grade (Note F)

- +  Not applicable
- +  GX: Cannot be assessed
- +  G1: Well differentiated
- +  G2: Moderately differentiated
- +  G3: Poorly differentiated
- +  G4: Undifferentiated
- +  Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

+ Data elements preceded by this symbol are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

**+ Microscopic Tumor Extension**

- + \_\_\_ Cannot be assessed
- + \_\_\_ Stromal invasion
- + \_\_\_ Muscle invasion

**+ Margins**

- + \_\_\_ Not applicable
- + \_\_\_ Cannot be assessed
- + \_\_\_ Uninvolved by tumor
- + \_\_\_ Involved by tumor
  - + Specify site: \_\_\_\_\_

**+ Additional Pathologic Findings (select all that apply) (Note G)**

- + \_\_\_ None identified
- + \_\_\_ Condyloma accuminatum
- + \_\_\_ Squamous dysplasia
- + \_\_\_ Carcinoma in-situ
- + \_\_\_ Adenocarcinoma in-situ
- + \_\_\_ Atypical adenosis
- + \_\_\_ Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**+ Comment(s)**

## Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary

---

Protocol web posting date: December 2013

### VAGINA: Excisional Biopsy, Resection (Vaginectomy, Radical Vaginectomy)

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

#### Procedure

- Excisional biopsy
- Partial vaginectomy
- Radical vaginectomy
- Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_
- Not specified

#### Tumor Site (select all that apply)

- Upper third
  - +  Circumferential
  - +  Anterior
  - +  Posterior
  - +  Left lateral
  - +  Right lateral
- Middle third
  - +  Circumferential
  - +  Anterior
  - +  Posterior
  - +  Left lateral
  - +  Right lateral
- Lower third
  - +  Circumferential
  - +  Anterior
  - +  Posterior
  - +  Left lateral
  - +  Right lateral
- Not specified

#### Tumor Size

- Greatest dimension: \_\_\_ cm
- + Additional dimensions: \_\_\_ x \_\_\_ cm
- Cannot be determined (see Comment)

#### Histologic Type (select all that apply) (Note E)

- Squamous cell carcinoma
  - +  Keratinizing
  - +  Nonkeratinizing
  - +  Basaloid
  - +  Verrucous
  - +  Warty
  - +  Not otherwise specified

- Adenocarcinoma  
     +  Clear cell  
     +  Endometrioid  
     +  Mucinous  
     +  Mesonephric  
     +  Intestinal type  
     +  Not otherwise specified  
 Adenosquamous carcinoma  
 Undifferentiated carcinoma  
 Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**Histologic Grade (Note F)**

- Not applicable  
 GX: Cannot be assessed  
 G1: Well differentiated  
 G2: Moderately differentiated  
 G3: Poorly differentiated  
 G4: Undifferentiated  
 Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**Margins (select all that apply)**

- Cannot be assessed  
 Margins uninvolved by invasive carcinoma  
     Distance of invasive carcinoma from closest margin: \_\_\_ mm  
     Specify margin, if possible: \_\_\_\_\_  
      Dysplasia/carcinoma in situ not identified at margin  
      Dysplasia present at margin (specify grade: \_\_\_\_\_)  
 Margin(s) involved by invasive carcinoma  
     Specify margin(s), if possible: \_\_\_\_\_

**+ Lymph-Vascular Invasion**

- Not identified  
 Present  
 Indeterminate

**Pathologic Staging (pTNM [FIGO]) (Note I)**

TNM Descriptors (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)

- m (multiple primary tumors)  
 r (recurrent)  
 y (posttreatment)

**Primary Tumor (pT)**

- pTX [--]: Cannot be assessed  
 pT0 [--]: No evidence of primary tumor  
 pTis [0]: Carcinoma in situ  
 pT1 [I]: Tumor confined to vaginal wall  
 pT2 [II]: Tumor invades paravaginal tissues but not the pelvic wall  
 pT3 [III]: Tumor extends to pelvic wall  
 pT4 [IVA]: Tumor invades mucosa of bladder or rectum and/or extends beyond true pelvis

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)

- pNX: Cannot be assessed  
 pN0: No regional lymph node metastasis  
 pN1 [III]: Pelvic or inguinal lymph node metastasis
- No nodes submitted or found

*Number of Lymph Nodes Examined*

- Specify: \_\_\_\_  
 Number cannot be determined (explain): \_\_\_\_\_

*Number of Lymph Nodes Involved*

- Specify: \_\_\_\_  
 Number cannot be determined (explain): \_\_\_\_\_

Distant Metastasis (pM)

- Not applicable  
 pM1 [IVB]: Distant metastasis  
     + Specify site(s), if known: \_\_\_\_\_

**+ Additional Pathologic Findings (select all that apply) (Note G)**

- +  None identified  
 +  Condyloma acuminatum  
 +  Squamous dysplasia  
 +  Carcinoma in-situ  
 +  Adenocarcinoma in-situ  
 +  Atypical adenosis  
 +  Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**+ Comment(s)**



## Explanatory Notes

---

### A. Prenatal DES Exposure

Prenatal exposure to diethylstilbestrol (DES) or related synthetic drugs was relatively common in the United States and other countries until 1971, when its relation to clear cell adenocarcinomas of the vagina and cervix led to proscription of these drugs by the Food and Drug Administration. From the 1970s to the turn of the 21st century, most patients with clear cell adenocarcinoma of the vagina had a history of DES exposure.<sup>1</sup> As this cohort ages, the diagnosis has been less common, and most women with this diagnosis currently have no DES exposure history. Furthermore, it has been reported that these patients have significantly worse outcomes than do patients with history of DES exposure and patients with squamous cell carcinoma.<sup>2</sup> A bimodal age peak for DES-related carcinoma has, however, been recently reported, and therefore a history of this type of prenatal drug exposure should alert the pathologist to the possible presence of those tumors and associated lesions.<sup>3,4</sup>

### B. Prior Tumors and Operations

A history of dysplasia, carcinoma in situ or invasive carcinoma of the cervix as well as knowledge of its microscopic features may be essential in the determination whether a subsequent vaginal tumor is a recurrent or new tumor. Also, a history of a carcinoma higher in the female genital tract may influence the interpretation of a neoplasm that is detected in a specimen from the vagina. Prior pathology slides and reports should be obtained and reviewed if a review is deemed essential by the clinician or pathologist for optimal pathologic evaluation of the present specimen.

### C. Clinical Findings and DES Exposure

Naked-eye examination, colposcopy, and iodine staining of the cervix and vagina may disclose a variety of changes highly suspicious of prenatal diethylstilbestrol (DES) exposure, such as cervical hypoplasia, pseudopolyp, or coxcomb deformity, and vaginal adenosis or ridge, any of which should alert the pathologist to look carefully for DES changes.<sup>4</sup>

### D. Bethesda Classification System of Cervical/Vaginal Cytology

For consistency in reporting, the cytologic classification proposed in The Bethesda System 2001 is recommended.<sup>5</sup> Although this protocol does not preclude the use of other systems of classification, use of the Papanicolaou class designation system is strongly discouraged.

## Cervical/Vaginal Cytology Classification (The Bethesda 2001 System)

### Negative for Intraepithelial Lesion or Malignancy

#### Organisms

- *Trichomonas vaginalis*
- Fungal organisms morphologically consistent with *Candida* spp
- Shift in flora suggestive of bacterial vaginosis
- Bacteria morphologically consistent with *Actinomyces* spp
- Cellular changes associated with Herpes simplex virus

#### Other nonneoplastic findings (optional to report, list not inclusive)

- Reactive cellular changes associated with
  - inflammation (includes typical repair)
  - irradiation
- Glandular cells status post hysterectomy
- Atrophy

### Other

Epithelial Cell Abnormalities

## Squamous cell

- Atypical squamous cells
  - of undetermined significance (ASC-US)
  - cannot exclude HSIL (ASC-H)
- Low grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL)# encompassing: HPV/mild dysplasia/vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia (VAIN) I
- High grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) encompassing: moderate and severe dysplasia/ VAIN2/VAIN3/VACIS
  - with features suspicious for invasion (if invasion suspected)
- Squamous cell carcinoma

## Glandular cell

- Atypical
  - glandular cells (NOS or specify in comment)
  - glandular cells, favor neoplastic
- Adenocarcinoma
  - not otherwise specified (NOS)

Other Malignant Neoplasms

- Specify

# Cellular changes of HPV cytopathic effect, previously termed “koilocytosis,” “koilocytotic atypia,” or “condylomatous atypia,” are included in the category of LSIL.

**E. Histologic Type**

The World Health Organization (WHO) classification and nomenclature of vaginal tumors is recommended because of its wide acceptance.<sup>6</sup> The most common subtype is squamous cell carcinoma. However, when such tumor simultaneously involves the cervix or the vulva and the vagina, the tumor is considered to originate from the cervix or vulva respectively with secondary extension to the vagina. Also, when an adenocarcinoma is present in the vagina, it is important to keep in mind that many of those tumors represent secondary involvement either by direct extension or metastases, more commonly from the endometrium, colorectal, ovary, vulva, urethra, and urinary bladder. Although not included in the current WHO classification, primary intestinal type adenocarcinoma has recently been described in the vagina.<sup>7</sup> These tumors usually arise in a background of benign adenomatous lesion. Awareness of this new subtype is necessary to avoid misdiagnosis of a metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma.<sup>7-9</sup>

**WHO Classification****Precancerous Lesions and Carcinomas of the Vagina (Modified)**Epithelial tumors

## Squamous tumors and precursors

Squamous intraepithelial lesions	Vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia (VAIN)	
Mild dysplasia	VAIN 1	LSIL
Moderate dysplasia	VAIN 2	HSIL
Severe dysplasia	VAIN 3	HSIL
Carcinoma in situ	VAIN 3	HSIL
Squamous cell carcinoma, not otherwise specified		
Keratinizing		
Nonkeratinizing		
Basaloid		

- Verrucous

- Warty

- Glandular tumors

- Clear cell carcinoma

- Endometrioid adenocarcinoma

- Mucinous adenocarcinoma

- Mesonephric adenocarcinoma

- Other epithelial tumors

- Adenosquamous carcinoma

- Adenoid cystic carcinoma

- Adenoid basal carcinoma

- Carcinoid

- Small cell carcinoma

- Undifferentiated carcinoma

## F. Histologic Grade

No specific grading system for vaginal cancers is recommended. For the sake of uniformity, however, it is suggested that 3 grades be used, as shown below, with grades 1 to 3 assigned to carcinomas showing squamous or glandular differentiation.

Grade X	Cannot be assessed
Grade 1	Well differentiated
Grade 2	Moderately differentiated
Grade 3	Poorly differentiated
Grade 4	Undifferentiated

## G. Other Lesions

Squamous dysplasia or carcinoma in situ, adenocarcinoma in situ, or atypical adenosis, particularly if such changes are at the resection margin, may increase the frequency of recurrent tumor. Also, few cases of primary invasive carcinoma of vagina have been reported to occur in association with severe vaginal prolapse.<sup>10-12</sup>

## H. Staining of Mucosal Surface

Schiller's or Lugol's solutions stain glycogenated epithelium brown. Therefore, they stain glycogenated squamous epithelium and well-glycogenated tumors. The stains are useful in identifying sites of nonstaining vaginal adenosis or immature squamous metaplasia of adenosis in patients exposed to diethylstilbestrol (DES), which may not be detectable before staining.

## I. TNM and FIGO Stage Groupings

The TNM staging system for vaginal cancer endorsed by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union against Cancer (UICC),<sup>13,14</sup> and the parallel system formulated by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO)<sup>15</sup> are recommended.

By AJCC/UICC convention, the designation "T" refers to a primary tumor that has not been previously treated. The symbol "p" refers to the pathologic classification of the TNM, as opposed to the clinical classification, and is based on gross and microscopic examination. pT entails a resection of the primary tumor or biopsy adequate to evaluate the highest pT category, pN entails removal of nodes adequate to validate lymph node metastasis, and pM implies microscopic examination of distant lesions. Clinical classification (cTNM) is usually carried out by the referring physician before treatment during initial evaluation of the patient or when pathologic classification is not possible.

Pathologic staging is usually performed after surgical resection of the primary tumor. Pathologic staging depends on pathologic documentation of the anatomic extent of disease, whether or not the primary tumor has been completely removed. If a biopsied tumor is not resected for any reason (eg, when technically unfeasible) and if the highest T and N categories or the M1 category of the tumor can be confirmed microscopically, the criteria for pathologic classification and staging have been satisfied without total removal of the primary cancer.

## TNM and FIGO Staging Systems for Vaginal Carcinoma

### Primary Tumor (T)

TNM Category	FIGO Stage	Definition
TX	(--)	Primary tumor cannot be assessed
T0	(--)	No evidence of primary tumor
Tis	0	Carcinoma in situ
T1	I	Tumor confined to vaginal wall
T2	II	Tumor invades paravaginal tissues but not the pelvic wall#
T3	III	Tumor extends to pelvic wall
T4	IVA	Tumor invades mucosa of bladder or rectum and/or extends beyond the true pelvis (bullous edema is not sufficient to classify a tumor as T4)
(M1)	IVB	Distant metastasis (excludes peritoneal metastasis)

# Pelvic wall is defined as muscle, fascia, neurovascular structures, or skeletal portions of the bony pelvis.

Microinvasive/early carcinoma is not, currently, a recognized entity in the vagina, in contradistinction to the cervix, and the term is therefore not used. Superficially invasive tumors which invade 3 mm or less without lymphovascular invasion (LVI) have a low incidence of lymph node metastasis.<sup>16</sup>

### Regional Lymph Nodes (N): TNM

NX	Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
N0	No regional lymph node metastasis
N1	Pelvic or inguinal lymph node metastasis

### Distant Metastasis (M): TNM

M0	No distant metastasis
M1	Distant metastasis

### Stage Groupings

AJCC/UICC TNM				FIGO
Stage 0	Tis	N0	M0	Stage 0
Stage I	T1	N0	M0	Stage I
Stage II	T2	N0	M0	Stage II
Stage III	T1	N1	M0	Stage III
	T2	N1	M0	
	T3	N0, N1	M0	
Stage IVA	T4	Any N	M0	Stage IVA
Stage IVB	Any T	Any N	M1	Stage IVB

### TNM Descriptors

For identification of special cases of TNM or pTNM classifications, the "m" suffix and "y," "r," and "a" prefixes are used. Although they do not affect the stage grouping, they indicate cases needing separate analysis.

The “m” suffix indicates the presence of multiple primary tumors in a single site and is recorded in parentheses: pT(m)NM.

The “y” prefix indicates those cases in which classification is performed during or following initial multimodality therapy (ie, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or both chemotherapy and radiation therapy). The cTNM or pTNM category is identified by a “y” prefix. The ycTNM or ypTNM categorizes the extent of tumor actually present at the time of that examination. The “y” categorization is not an estimate of tumor prior to multimodality therapy (i.e., before initiation of neoadjuvant therapy).

The “r” prefix indicates a recurrent tumor when staged after a documented disease-free interval, and is identified by the “r” prefix: rTNM.

The “a” prefix designates the stage determined at autopsy: aTNM.

### Additional Descriptors

#### Residual Tumor (R)

Tumor remaining in a patient after therapy with curative intent (e.g., surgical resection for cure) is categorized by a system known as R classification, shown below.

RX	Presence of residual tumor cannot be assessed
R0	No residual tumor
R1	Microscopic residual tumor
R2	Macroscopic residual tumor

For the surgeon, the R classification may be useful to indicate the known or assumed status of the completeness of a surgical excision. For the pathologist, the R classification is relevant to the status of the margins of a surgical resection specimen. That is, tumor involving the resection margin on pathologic examination may be assumed to correspond to residual tumor in the patient and may be classified as macroscopic or microscopic according to the findings at the specimen margin(s).

#### Lymph-Vascular Invasion (LVI)

LVI indicates whether microscopic lymph-vascular invasion is identified. LVI includes lymphatic invasion, vascular invasion, or lymph-vascular invasion. By AJCC/UICC convention, LVI does not affect the T category indicating local extent of tumor unless specifically included in the definition of a T category.

### Regional Lymph Nodes: Isolated Tumor Cells

Isolated tumor cells (ITC) are single cells or small clusters of cells not more than 0.2 mm in greatest dimension. Lymph nodes or distant sites with ITC found by either standard histologic examination, immunohistochemical stains (eg, cytokeratin), or nonmorphological techniques (eg, flow cytometry, DNA analysis, polymerase chain reaction [PCR] amplification of a specific tumor marker) should be so identified. There is currently no guidance in the literature as to how these patients should be coded; until further studies are available, they should be coded as “N0(i+)” with a comment noting how the cells were identified.

### Sentinel Lymph Nodes

The sentinel lymph node is the first node to receive drainage from a primary tumor. There may be more than 1 sentinel node for some tumors. If a sentinel node contains metastatic tumor, it indicates that other more distant nodes may also contain metastatic disease. If sentinel nodes are negative, other regional nodes are less likely to contain metastasis.

## J. Cervical Abnormalities

Ectropion (erosion, eversion) of the cervix, which is characterized by the appearance of glandular (columnar) epithelium outside the external os of the cervix, is seen in approximately 90% of women exposed to diethylstilbestrol (DES) in utero (but is often seen in nonexposed women as well).

Approximately one-third of patients exposed to DES have 1 or more gross structural abnormalities of the cervix.<sup>1,4</sup>

## K. Fallopian Tubes

The fallopian tubes are abnormal in some women exposed to diethylstilbestrol (DES) in the form of hypoplasia or defects demonstrated on hysterosalpingographic examination.<sup>4</sup>

## References

1. Herbst AL, Ulfelder H, Poskanzer DC. Adenocarcinoma of the vagina: association of maternal stilbestrol therapy with tumor appearance in young women. *N Engl J Med.* 1971;284:878-881.
2. Frank SJ, Deavers MT, Jhingran A, et al. Primary adenocarcinoma of the vagina not associated with diethylstilbestrol (DES) exposure. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2007;105:470-474.
3. Hanselaar A, van Loosbroek M, Schuurbijs O, et al. Clear cell adenocarcinoma of the vagina and cervix: an update of the central Netherlands registry showing twin age incidence peaks. *Cancer.* 1997;79:2229-2236.
4. Kaufman RH, Noller K, Adam E, et al. Upper genital tract abnormalities and pregnancy outcome in diethylstilbestrol-exposed progeny. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1984;148:973-984.
5. Solomon D, Davey D, Kurman R, et al. The 2001 Bethesda System: terminology for reporting results of cervical cytology. *JAMA.* 2002;287:2114-2119.
6. Tavassoli FA, Devilee P, eds. *World Health Organization Classification of Tumours: Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of the Breast and Female Genital Organs.* Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2003.
7. Tjalma WA, Colpaert CG. Primary vaginal adenocarcinoma of intestinal type arising from a tubulovillous adenoma. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2006;16:1461-1465.
8. Mudhar HS, Smith JH, Tidy J. Primary vaginal adenocarcinoma of intestinal type arising from an adenoma: case report and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2001;20:204-209.
9. Ditto A, Martinelli F, Carcangiu ML, et al. Incidental diagnosis of primary vaginal adenocarcinoma of intestinal type: a case report and review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2007;26:490-493.
10. Iavazzo C, Vorigas G, Vecchini G, et al. Vaginal carcinoma in a completely prolapsed uterus: a case report. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2007;275:503-505.
11. Batista TP, Morais JA, Reis TJ, et al. A rare case of invasive vaginal carcinoma associated with vaginal prolapse. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* doi:10.1007/s00404-009-1016-1. Published online: 5 March 2009.
12. Gupta N, Mittal S, Dalmia S, et al. A rare case of primary invasive carcinoma of vagina associated with irreducible third degree uterovaginal prolapse. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2007; 276:563-4.
13. Edge SB, Byrd DR, Carducci MA, Compton CC, eds. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual.* 7th ed. New York, NY: Springer; 2009.
14. Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz M, Wittekind Ch, eds. *UICC TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours.* 7th ed. New York, NY: Wiley-Liss; 2009.
15. Current FIGO staging for cancer of the vagina, fallopian tube, ovary, and gestational trophoblastic neoplasia. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2009;105(1):3-4.
16. Peters WA, Kumar NB, Morley GW. Microinvasive carcinoma of the vagina: a distinct entity? *Obstet Gynecol.* 1985;153:105-107.